

## Reply

ARLEN W. HUGGINS

*Electronic Techniques, Inc., Auburn, CA 95603*

ALFRED R. RODI

*University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY 82071*

14 June 1986

Schaefer and Vonnegut (1986) correctly point out that the description of seeding methodology in Huggins and Rodi (1985) lacked some of the details necessary to completely evaluate the dry ice seeding procedures used in the experiment we described. One of our original references (Bureau of Reclamation, 1983) does describe the design of the experiment much more fully, including the seeding agent and seeding procedures. Also, Stith (1984) made calculations of dry ice effectiveness in clouds seeded as part of the Sierra Cooperative Pilot Project (SCPP), where similar methods and dry ice seeding rates were used. Depending on the type of instrument used to determine ice crystal concentration, Stith computed dry ice efficiencies ranging from  $9.8 \times 10^{11}$ – $1.5 \times 10^{13}$  crystals per gram of sublimated dry ice.

Specifically, during the experiment on 19 January 1983 (Huggins and Rodi, 1985), cylindrically shaped dry ice pellets were dispensed from the bottom of the seeding aircraft using an auger-type mechanism. The pellets were formed by an extruding process, had a consistent diameter of 1.5 cm, but varied in length from 1.5–2.5 cm. The most common pellet was about 1.5 cm in length and had a mass of about 3.5 g (density of  $1.4 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ).

A week prior to the seeding experiment on 19 January, some dry ice drop tests were performed. Pellets were dropped from eight evenly spaced altitudes varying from 300–2400 m above the ground. The time of fall was measured at each altitude and the resultant average fallspeed estimate was  $20 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  ( $\pm 1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ). Pellets were observed to hit the ground or a lake surface from each altitude, although very few were observed from the 2400 m drop. As Stith (1984) reported, pellets were collected and sized after fall. For a fall of 1500 m, which required about 76 s, the pellets collected were about 50% of their original size. For a 3.5 g pellet this would correspond to a sublimation rate of  $2.3 \times 10^{-2} \text{ g s}^{-1}$ . This is in reasonable agreement with the calculations of Holroyd et al. (1978) who used an empirical relationship from Eadie and Mee (1963). The equation

predicted a sublimation rate of  $2.3 \times 10^{-2} \text{ g s}^{-1}$  for a pellet whose original mass was 3.5 g in an environmental temperature of  $-5^\circ\text{C}$ .

Seeding on 19 January occurred from  $-13^\circ\text{C}$  (3018 m MSL). The distance to the  $0^\circ\text{C}$  level was about 1820 m. The tests described herein indicate that pellets would have reached the freezing level after about 91 s, and about 60% of the original pellet mass would have sublimated. Huggins and Rodi (1985) quoted a seeding rate of  $100$ – $200 \text{ g km}^{-1}$ . This was based on a hopper dispensing rate of  $468$ – $936 \text{ g min}^{-1}$  at an aircraft airspeed of  $78 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ . The variability in the hopper rate was due to pellet size variation, some pellet aggregation and nonuniformity in the rate at which pellets were fed into the auger mechanism.

Huggins and Rodi (1985) made no estimates of the efficiency of the dry ice seeding on the 19 January experiment and attempt no detailed analysis here. A simple calculation is, however, provided as an example. Using a specific dispensing rate of  $544 \text{ g min}^{-1}$  and assuming 60% of the dry ice mass sublimated in falling from  $-13^\circ\text{C}$  to  $0^\circ\text{C}$ , the effective seeding rate for a 1 km wide cloud would be  $70 \text{ g km}^{-1}$ . Using data (PMS 1DC probe) found in Huggins and Rodi (1985) for seeded cell 1, the mean particle concentration in the seeding plume was about  $350 \text{ l}^{-1}$  at 2.5 min after seeding. Assuming the plume was vertically uniform, the cloud volume affected was about 1.8 km deep, 1 km long and 450 m wide. Liquid water probe data suggested the cloud was at least 1 km wide, so ice crystals had not filled the entire cloud volume. Dry ice efficiency is then estimated by multiplying volume by concentration and dividing by sublimated mass of dry ice. This example gives a value of  $4 \times 10^{12} \text{ crystals g}^{-1}$  of  $\text{CO}_2$ . This agrees well with the value of  $10^{12}$ – $10^{13} \text{ crystals g}^{-1}$  reported by Lawson and Rodi (1986) for clouds in Montana seeded using similar methodology to that of SCPP.

As Schaefer and Vonnegut point out, crystal production can be a function of pellet terminal velocity. Eadie and Mee (1963) showed a sharp drop in crystals

produced at temperatures warmer than about  $-8^{\circ}\text{C}$  by fast falling ( $\sim 16 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ) pellets. Morrison et al. (1984) also show that dry ice effectiveness is temperature dependent, with values ranging from  $2.2 \times 10^{11}$  crystals  $\text{g}^{-1}$  at  $-2^{\circ}\text{C}$  to  $8.9 \times 10^{12}$  at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The example just given is therefore probably an overestimate since all concentration measurements in Huggins and Rodi (1985) were taken at about  $-8.5^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Uncertainty in estimates of cloud volume or sublimated mass of  $\text{CO}_2$  could increase or decrease the sample calculation by at least 50%.

*Acknowledgments.* This research was funded by the Bureau of Reclamation, Department of the Interior, under Contract 4-CR-81-03860.

#### REFERENCES

- Bureau of Reclamation, 1983: The design of SCPP-1, a randomized precipitation augmentation experiment on winter cellular convection in the central Sierra Nevada. (Second Revision.) Bureau of Reclamation, Division of Atmos. Resources Res., D-1200, P.O. Box 25007, Denver, CO, 61 pp.
- Eadie, W. J., and T. R. Mee, 1963: The effect of dry-ice pellet velocity on the generation of ice crystals. *J. Appl. Meteor.*, **2**, 260–265.
- Holroyd, E. W., III, A. B. Super and B. A. Silverman, 1978: The practicability of dry ice for on-top seeding of convective clouds. *J. Appl. Meteor.*, **17**, 49–63.
- Huggins, A. W., and A. R. Rodi, 1985: Physical response of convective clouds over the Sierra Nevada to seeding with dry ice. *J. Climate Appl. Meteor.*, **24**, 1082–1098.
- Lawson, R. P., and A. R. Rodi, 1986: Observations and interpretation of ice plume dispersion in cumuli seeded with dry ice. (Submitted to *J. Climate Applied Meteor.*)
- Morrison, B. J., W. G. Finnegan, R. D. Horn and L. O. Grant, 1984: A laboratory characterization of dry ice as a glaciogenic seeding agent. *Preprints, Conf. on Weather Modification*, Park City, UT, Amer. Meteor. Soc., 8–9.
- Schaefer, V. J., and B. Vonnegut, 1986: Comments on “Need for requirement that details of seeding operations be adequately specified”. *J. Climate Appl. Meteor.*, **25**, 2037.
- Stith, J. L., 1984: The effectiveness of dry ice as a seeding agent in the Sierra Cooperative Pilot Project. *Preprints, Conf. on Weather Modification*, Park City, UT, Amer. Meteor. Soc., 10–11.