

## CORRIGENDUM

BART J. H. VAN STRATUM

*Max Planck Institute for Meteorology, Hamburg, Germany*

JORDI VILÀ-GUERAU DE ARELLANO

*Meteorology and Air Quality Section, Wageningen University, Wageningen, Netherlands*

CHIEL C. VAN HEERWAARDEN

*Max Planck Institute for Meteorology, Hamburg, Germany*

HUUG G. OUWERSLOOT

*Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Mainz, Germany*

(Manuscript received and in final form 9 January 2015)

In appendix B, section c of [van Stratum et al. \(2014\)](#), two errors were introduced in the case description of the Gulf of Mexico Atmospheric Composition and Climate Study (GoMACCS) case. To ensure reproducibility and consistency with Fig. 4 of [van Stratum et al. \(2014\)](#), we propose the following amendment. The corrected surface moisture flux and input sounding, as used in the large-eddy simulation (LES) experiments, are provided in Eq. (1) [Eq. (B2) in [van Stratum et al. 2014](#)] and Table 1 (Table B3 in [van Stratum et al. 2014](#)), respectively:

$$(\overline{w'q'})_s = 14.5 \times 10^{-2} \sin\left(\pi \frac{t + a_1}{t_{\text{sim}} + a_2}\right), \quad (1)$$

with  $(\overline{w'q'})_s$  in  $\text{g kg}^{-1} \text{m s}^{-1}$ ,  $t$  the simulation time (s),  $t_{\text{sim}}$  the total simulation time (43 200 s),  $a_1 = 1800$  s, and  $a_2 = 3000$  s.

*Acknowledgments.* The authors thank Martin Sikma for his careful assessment of our paper and reporting the discrepancies between the paper and LES setup.

### REFERENCE

van Stratum, B. J. H., J. Vilà-Guerau de Arellano, C. C. van Heerwaarden, and H. G. Ouwersloot, 2014: Subcloud-layer feedbacks driven by the mass flux of shallow cumulus convection over land. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **71**, 881–895, doi:[10.1175/JAS-D-13-0192.1](https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-13-0192.1).

---

*Corresponding author address:* Bart van Stratum, Max Planck Institute for Meteorology, Bundesstraße 53, 20146 Hamburg, Germany.  
E-mail: [bart.vanstratum@mpimet.mpg.de](mailto:bart.vanstratum@mpimet.mpg.de)

TABLE 1. Description of the initial LES profiles for the GoMACCS case.

| $z$<br>(m) | $\theta_l$<br>(K) | $q_l$<br>(g kg <sup>-1</sup> ) | $\{u, v\}$<br>(ms <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 0          | 300.3             | 18.3                           | {0, 0}                            |
| 387.5      | 300.3             | 18.3                           | {0, 0}                            |
| 637.5      | —                 | 14.55                          | {0, 0}                            |
| 837.5      | 303.95            | —                              | {0, 0}                            |
| 1737.5     | 305.7             | 12.9                           | {0, 0}                            |
| 1887.5     | 306.8             | —                              | {0, 0}                            |
| 2187.5     | 308.3             | 10.65                          | {0, 0}                            |
| 5000       | 322.3             | 2.2                            | {0, 0}                            |