A photograph of a snowy park path, likely in Central Park, New York. The path is covered in a thick layer of snow, with footprints visible. On either side of the path are ornate blue and gold lampposts. In the background, a large brick building is visible. The sky is overcast and it appears to be snowing.

EXPLAINING EXTREME EVENTS OF 2014

From A Climate Perspective

Special Supplement to the
Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society
Vol. 96, No. 12, December 2015

EXPLAINING EXTREME EVENTS OF 2014 FROM A CLIMATE PERSPECTIVE

Editors

Stephanie C. Herring, Martin P. Hoerling, James P. Kossin, Thomas C. Peterson, and Peter A. Stott

Special Supplement to the

Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society

Vol. 96, No. 12, December 2015

AMERICAN METEOROLOGICAL SOCIETY

CORRESPONDING EDITOR:

Stephanie C. Herring, PhD
NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information
325 Broadway, E/CC23, Rm 1B-131
Boulder, CO 80305-3328
E-mail: stephanie.herring@noaa.gov

COVER CREDITS:

FRONT: ©iStockphotos.com/coleong—Winter snow, Boston, Massachusetts, United States.

BACK: ©iStockphotos.com/nathanphoto—Legget, California, United States – August 13, 2014: CAL FIRE helicopter surveys a part of the Lodge Fire, Mendocino County.

HOW TO CITE THIS DOCUMENT

Citing the complete report:

Herring, S. C., M. P. Hoerling, J. P. Kossin, T. C. Peterson, and P. A. Stott, Eds., 2015: Explaining Extreme Events of 2014 from a Climate Perspective. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **96** (12), S1–S172.

Citing a section (example):

Yoon, J. H., S.-Y. S. Wang, R. R. Gillies, L. Hipps, B. Kravitz, and P. J. Rasch, 2015: Extreme fire season in California: A glimpse into the future? [in “Explaining Extremes of 2014 from a Climate Perspective”]. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **96** (12), S5–S9.

EDITORIAL AND PRODUCTION TEAM

Riddle, Deborah B., Lead Graphics Production, NOAA/NESDIS National Centers for Environmental Information, Asheville, NC

Love-Brotak, S. Elizabeth, Graphics Support, NOAA/NESDIS National Centers for Environmental Information, Asheville, NC

Veasey, Sara W., Visual Communications Team Lead, NOAA/NESDIS National Centers for Environmental Information, Asheville, NC

Griffin, Jessica, Graphics Support, Cooperative Institute for Climate and Satellites-NC, North Carolina State University, Asheville, NC

Maycock, Tom, Editorial Support, Cooperative Institute for Climate and Satellites-NC, North Carolina State University, Asheville, NC

Misch, Deborah J., Graphics Support, LMI Consulting, Inc., NOAA/NESDIS National Centers for Environmental Information, Asheville, NC

Osborne, Susan, Editorial Support, LMI Consulting, Inc., NOAA/NESDIS National Centers for Environmental Information, Asheville, NC

Schreck, Carl, Editorial Support, Cooperative Institute for Climate and Satellites-NC, North Carolina State University, and NOAA/NESDIS National Centers for Environmental Information, Asheville, NC

Sprain, Mara, Editorial Support, LAC Group, NOAA/NESDIS National Centers for Environmental Information, Asheville, NC

Young, Teresa, Graphics Support, STG, Inc., NOAA/NESDIS National Centers for Environmental Information, Asheville, NC

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract.....	ii
1. Introduction to Explaining Extreme Events of 2014 from a Climate Perspective	1
2. Extreme Fire Season in California: A Glimpse Into the Future?	5
3. How Unusual was the Cold Winter of 2013/14 in the Upper Midwest?.....	10
4. Was the Cold Eastern Us Winter of 2014 Due to Increased Variability?	15
5. The 2014 Extreme Flood on the Southeastern Canadian Prairies	20
6. Extreme North America Winter Storm Season of 2013/14: Roles of Radiative Forcing and the Global Warming Hiatus.....	25
7. Was the Extreme Storm Season in Winter 2013/14 Over the North Atlantic and the United Kingdom Triggered by Changes in the West Pacific Warm Pool?	29
8. Factors Other Than Climate Change, Main Drivers of 2014/15 Water Shortage in Southeast Brazil.....	35
9. Causal Influence of Anthropogenic Forcings on the Argentinian Heat Wave of December 2013	41
10. Extreme Rainfall in the United Kingdom During Winter 2013/14: The Role of Atmospheric Circulation and Climate Change.....	46
11. Hurricane Gonzalo and its Extratropical Transition to a Strong European Storm.....	51
12. Extreme Fall 2014 Precipitation in the Cévennes Mountains	56
13. Record Annual Mean Warmth Over Europe, the Northeast Pacific, and the Northwest Atlantic During 2014: Assessment of Anthropogenic Influence.....	61
14. The Contribution of Human-Induced Climate Change to the Drought of 2014 in the Southern Levant Region.....	66
15. Drought in the Middle East and Central–Southwest Asia During Winter 2013/14.....	71
16. Assessing the Contributions of East African and West Pacific Warming to the 2014 Boreal Spring East African Drought	77
17. The 2014 Drought in the Horn of Africa: Attribution of Meteorological Drivers.....	83
18. The Deadly Himalayan Snowstorm of October 2014: Synoptic Conditions and Associated Trends	89
19. Anthropogenic Influence on the 2014 Record-Hot Spring in Korea	95
20. Human Contribution to the 2014 Record High Sea Surface Temperatures Over the Western Tropical And Northeast Pacific Ocean	100
21. The 2014 Hot, Dry Summer in Northeast Asia.....	105
22. Role of Anthropogenic Forcing in 2014 Hot Spring in Northern China	111
23. Investigating the Influence of Anthropogenic Forcing and Natural Variability on the 2014 Hawaiian Hurricane Season.	115
24. Anomalous Tropical Cyclone Activity in the Western North Pacific in August 2014	120
25. The 2014 Record Dry Spell at Singapore: An Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) Drought.....	126
26. Trends in High-Daily Precipitation Events in Jakarta and the Flooding of January 2014	131
27. Extreme Rainfall in Early July 2014 in Northland, New Zealand—Was There an Anthropogenic Influence?	136
28. Increased Likelihood of Brisbane, Australia, G20 Heat Event Due to Anthropogenic Climate Change	141
29. The Contribution of Anthropogenic Forcing to the Adelaide and Melbourne, Australia, Heat Waves of January 2014	145
30. Contributors to the Record High Temperatures Across Australia in Late Spring 2014	149
31. Increased Risk of the 2014 Australian May Heatwave Due to Anthropogenic Activity.....	154
32. Attribution of Exceptional Mean Sea Level Pressure Anomalies South of Australia in August 2014	158
33. The 2014 High Record of Antarctic Sea Ice Extent.....	163
34. Summary and Broader Context.....	168

Understanding how long-term global change affects the intensity and likelihood of extreme weather events is a frontier science challenge. This fourth edition of explaining extreme events of the previous year (2014) from a climate perspective is the most extensive yet with 33 different research groups exploring the causes of 29 different events that occurred in 2014. A number of this year's studies indicate that human-caused climate change greatly increased the likelihood and intensity for extreme heat waves in 2014 over various regions. For other types of extreme events, such as droughts, heavy rains, and winter storms, a climate change influence was found in some instances and not in others. This year's report also included many different types of extreme events. The tropical cyclones that impacted Hawaii were made more likely due to human-caused climate change. Climate change also decreased the Antarctic sea ice extent in 2014 and increased the strength and likelihood of high sea surface temperatures in both the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans. For western U.S. wildfires, no link to the individual events in 2014 could be detected, but the overall probability of western U.S. wildfires has increased due to human impacts on the climate.

Challenges that attribution assessments face include the often limited observational record and inability of models to reproduce some extreme events well. In general, when attribution assessments fail to find anthropogenic signals this alone does not prove anthropogenic climate change did not influence the event. The failure to find a human fingerprint could be due to insufficient data or poor models and not the absence of anthropogenic effects.

This year researchers also considered other human-caused drivers of extreme events beyond the usual radiative drivers. For example, flooding in the Canadian prairies was found to be more likely because of human land-use changes that affect drainage mechanisms. Similarly, the Jakarta floods may have been compounded by land-use change via urban development and associated land subsidence. These types of mechanical factors re-emphasize the various pathways beyond climate change by which human activity can increase regional risk of extreme events.

30. CONTRIBUTORS TO THE RECORD HIGH TEMPERATURES ACROSS AUSTRALIA IN LATE SPRING 2014

PANDORA HOPE, EUN-PA LIM, GUOMIN WANG, HARRY H. HENDON, AND JULIE M. ARBLASTER

The record warm Australian spring of 2014 would likely not have occurred without increases in CO₂ over the last 50 years working in concert with an upper-level wave train.

The Event. Australia experienced in 2014 its highest springtime mean maximum temperature (T_{max}) since records began in 1910. The Australian-mean T_{max} was 2.32°C above the 1961–90 mean, and 0.26°C warmer than the previous record set in 2013 (Bureau of Meteorology 2014). While September was very warm, both October and November had the hottest Australia-average T_{max} on record, with maximum temperatures 2.76°C and 2.18°C above their 1961–90 means, respectively, and the south-east was particularly warm (Fig. 30.1a). Here we focus on the causes of the October–November extreme T_{max} anomaly.

Increasing CO₂. The previous monthly records in October and November were found to be six or seven times more likely in the current modelled climate than in the modelled climate with only natural forcing using the fraction of attributable risk (FAR) method of Lewis et al. (2014). Thus it is highly likely that anthropogenic forcing, especially increasing CO₂, played a role in the extreme heat of this event. While the FAR method is useful, below we describe results using a new method that allows for the influence of increasing CO₂ to be assessed within a coupled seasonal forecast framework.

The Australian Bureau of Meteorology's seasonal forecast model POAMA (Cottrill et al. 2013; Hudson et al. 2013) was used and the design of the forecast sensitivity experiments is described in the online supplemental material. The control forecast was ini-

tialized with observed atmosphere, ocean, and land initial conditions for 2014 and the CO₂ concentration was set to current levels (400 ppm). The extreme heat across Australia was captured well (Fig. 30.1b).

The response of the climate system to enhanced levels of CO₂ occurs on a number of time scales: a rapid adjustment in the atmosphere and longer term warming of the ocean. The immediate atmospheric adjustment is accounted for by re-running the control ensemble, but with CO₂ levels set at 1960 levels (315 ppm). The T_{max} ensemble mean anomaly across Australia from the 400-ppm forecast experiment is warmer compared to the 315-ppm experiment (Fig. 30.1c), but this change is not significant and the ensemble has large spread [compare the red and light blue bars in the probability distribution function (PDF) in Fig. 30.1g]. To assess the impacts of the long-term changes in the ocean due to rising levels of CO₂, a “preCO₂” experiment was conducted. The atmospheric CO₂ was set to 1960 levels and the CO₂ forced component of ocean temperatures and salinity since 1960 (termed oceanCO₂anom, see online supplemental material) was also removed from the initial conditions. Removing oceanCO₂anom from the 2014 ocean initial conditions had a far greater impact on predicted Australian temperatures (Fig. 30.1d) than did changing the atmospheric CO₂ alone. Figure 30.1e represents the contribution to Australian October–November temperatures in 2014 from changing atmospheric CO₂ and the ocean temperature response to the last 50 years of CO₂ change and shows widespread warmth across the continent. Figure 30.1f shows the predicted anomaly if the same initial conditions (i.e., synoptic state of the atmosphere) occurred under 1960 CO₂ levels and associated ocean conditions. Although the warmth was significantly reduced in the preCO₂ experiment (Fig. 30.1f) the predicted conditions in October–November 2014 in the absence of increased

AFFILIATIONS: HOPE, LIM, WANG, AND HENDON—Research and Development Branch, Bureau of Meteorology, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia; ARBLASTER—Research and Development Branch, Bureau of Meteorology, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia, and National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado

DOI:10.1175/BAMS-D-15-00096.1

A supplement to this article is available online (10.1175/BAMS-D-15-00096.2)

FIG. 30.1. (a) Observed anomaly of Oct–Nov mean Australian T_{max} in 2014 relative to 1981–2010 climatology; (b) control forecast T_{max} anomaly (with respect to the hindcast climatology) for Oct–Nov, initialized on 21, 25, and 28 September 2014, and with CO_2 levels set at 400 ppm; (c) difference in the forecasts under 400 ppm vs 315 ppm CO_2 concentration; (d) difference in the forecasts made using 2014 realistic initial conditions vs the same conditions but from which ocean CO_2 anom is removed under 315 ppm CO_2 (pre CO_2); (e) difference between the control forecast (with CO_2 set at 400 ppm) and the forecast with 315 ppm CO_2 and the adjusted ocean initial conditions (ocean CO_2 anom removed), which indicates the T_{max} associated with increased CO_2 since 1960; (f) difference between (b) and (e), which indicates the T_{max} that would have occurred without the influence of increased CO_2 since 1960; (g) PDFs of Australian-average T_{max} of 33 ensemble members from the control (400-ppm), 315-ppm, 315-ppm_coldOcean (pre CO_2) experiments. The number in parenthesis in the top right corner of (a) is the observed Australian-average T_{max} anomaly; in (b)–(f) it is Australian-average T_{max} anomaly of the ensemble mean forecast anomaly (or difference between experiments) with an indication of the ensemble spread. Differences with statistical significance greater than 90% confidence level are indicated with stippling. All temperature anomalies in $^{\circ}\text{C}$.

CO_2 would have still been conducive to a warm event occurring over the far south east of the country.

Compared to the hindcast climatology, forecast Australian late spring temperatures in 2014 were warmer than one standard deviation above the mean in more than half of the control ensemble members (Fig. 30.1g, red bars), but only in 2 of the 33 members from the pre CO_2 experiment (Fig. 30.1g, dark blue bars). Given that 2014 was a record event of more than two standard deviations above the mean, no member of the pre CO_2 experiment achieved this heat, even while the pattern across Australia reflected anomalously warm conditions in the south-east. Sampling and modelling errors may influence these results. However, the forecast spread of POAMA's prediction for Australian seasonal T_{max} has been shown to encompass the forecast error at short lead times (Hudson et al. 2013) and the clear shift seen in the PDFs between the control and pre CO_2 experiments suggest that this result is robust. Other contributors

to the extremity of this event could have arisen from anomalous land conditions in 2014, other large-scale climate drivers, or aspects of the synoptic situation. These are explored below.

Large-scale climate drivers. In order to elucidate the causes of the record heat that worked in concert with increased CO_2 , we explicitly describe the contribution from factors known to influence Australian spring temperatures (e.g., Marshall et al. 2013; White et al. 2013) using multiple linear regression (as described in Arblaster et al. 2014). We use three predictors that represent the large-scale climate drivers—ENSO (NINO3.4 index), Indian Ocean dipole (IOD), and the southern annular mode (SAM index)—as well as global mean temperature and antecedent Australian upper level soil moisture. The association of these individual predictors with Australian temperatures is demonstrated here by the regression pattern between Australian T_{max} and SST/MSLP (Supplemental Fig.

FIG. 30.2. (a)–(l) Patterns of Oct–Nov mean maximum temperature anomaly (°C) of 2014 from (a) observations, and the contribution of individual predictors from a multiple linear regression using (b) the southern annular mode, (c) global mean temperature, (d) 2014 September upper-layer soil moisture, (e) the Indian Ocean dipole index, and (f) Niño3.4 SSTs, where each regression map has been multiplied by the size of the predictor in Oct–Nov 2014. (g) The total reconstructed anomaly (sum of panels b–f); (h) the residual, that is, the difference between the observed (a) and reconstructed anomalies (g); (i) the reconstructed anomaly minus the 95% prediction interval; (j) the reconstructed anomaly plus the 95% prediction interval; and (k) difference between (a) and (j). (l) The observed Australian-average maximum temperature anomaly and the contribution by each of the predictors (orange), the total predicted anomaly, the residual, and the predicted anomaly accounting for the 95% prediction interval (green). Anomalies are relative to the 1982–2013 base period. (m) The observed (dark blue), reconstructed (light blue), and residual (gray) Tmax (°C) obtained from the multiple linear regression model described in Arblaster et al. (2014) and in the text. Red lines indicate 95% prediction intervals.

S30.3), with signatures of El Niño, positive IOD, and a strong negative SAM associated with warm Australian temperatures.

The observed October–November Australian average Tmax was clearly extreme—it fell outside the bounds of the 95% prediction interval of the regres-

sion model (which accounts for the uncertainty in the strength of the historical relationships Fig. 30.2m). The SST anomaly pattern of late austral spring 2014 (Supplemental Fig. S30.2a) was consistent with weak El Niño, and the tropical Indian Ocean and the far western Pacific Ocean were anomalously warm due

to a warming trend in the last 34 years. However, the regression model reproduces only 30% of the observed warmth across Australia, with global mean temperature being the dominant predictor (Fig. 30.2l). The observed antecedent soil moisture also contributed to the warmth captured by the regression model (Fig. 30.2d), particularly across the south. The pattern of the reconstruction reflects the observed anomalies across the country (Fig. 30.2g), however the magnitude of the 2014 event was much warmer in the east (Fig. 30.2h), and is outside the prediction interval of the regression model (Figs. 30.2j,k). Thus the contribution from large-scale climate drivers such as ENSO, IOD, and SAM as well as antecedent soil moisture to this extreme warm event appears to have been small but positive, and the result of this regression analysis confirms the importance of global mean temperature to this event.

Synoptic situation. While the regression analysis reveals that the large-scale drivers such as ENSO did not contribute strongly to the magnitude of this event, the forecast experiments indicate that there was a predictable contribution to this event over and above the response to global warming (Fig. 30.1f). To explore this further we examine aspects of the observed conditions in October–November 2014. The anomalous circulation at the surface (Supplemental Fig. S30.2b) and in the upper level of the atmosphere (Supplemental Fig. S30.2c) in late austral spring 2014 strongly resemble those typically related to high T_{max} over Australia (Supplemental Figs. S30.3c,d). At the surface, an anticyclonic circulation over the Australian continent and cyclonic circulations to its southeast and southwest were evident although they were not part of the SAM in 2014. The upper-level features are characterized by a strong signal of northwest to southeast Rossby wave propagation, which was likely to emanate from the tropical Indian Ocean, positioning high geopotential height anomalies over Australia (e.g., Supplemental Fig. S30.3c).

The degree to which these synoptic conditions are distinct from the large-scale climate drivers was assessed using the reconstruction from the regression model above. Regressing the 200-hPa geopotential height onto the time series of reconstructed T_{max} from Fig. 30.2m (Supplemental Fig. S30.4a) does not capture the high geopotential height anomaly described above, while regression onto the residual (Supplemental Fig. S30.4b) highlights the high geopotential height over the country. This suggests that in the upper atmosphere, the synoptic situation of

late spring 2014 was largely uncaptured by the five predictors of the regression model. At the surface, this distinction is less clear, and the regression of MSLP onto the reconstructed T_{max} (Supplemental Fig. S30.4c) has some similarity with the regression onto the observed series (Supplemental Fig. S30.3d) while the residual has less. This highlights that this upper-level feature is important in explaining the extremity of this warm event.

Further analysis reveals this Rossby wave train was likely to be promoted by the anomalously high rainfall in the tropical central Indian Ocean (Supplemental Fig. S30.2d). Regression of global 200-hPa geopotential height and rainfall onto the tropical central Indian Ocean rainfall (red box in Supplemental Fig. S30.5a,b) that is independent of IOD, reveals a similar wave train pattern and rainfall anomaly pattern to those of late spring 2014 (Supplemental Fig. S30.5 compared to Supplemental Figs. S30.2c,d). Significant trends in rainfall in this region have been inferred in observations (e.g., Deser and Phillips 2006) over the late 20th century, suggesting a potential trend in this type of synoptic system, but that is a topic for further study.

Conclusions. The record late spring temperatures over Australia in 2014 were analysed using both regression analysis and experiments with a seasonal forecast system. These varied approaches to defining the contributors to the warmth across Australia in late spring 2014 have proved complementary. The impact from ENSO, IOD, and SAM were minor and the antecedent land surface conditions played only a small role, but anomalous Rossby wave train activity was believed to be important to building heat across Australia. The immediate atmospheric adjustment to the changes in CO₂ levels over the last 50 years contributed to the heat, but the major driver of the Australian late spring 2014 heat was the long-term response to CO₂ levels over that time as expressed by an upward trend in oceanic temperature. No members of the preCO₂ experiments achieved the heat of late spring 2014. In summary, each season's warmth will be determined by a combination of anthropogenic forcing and internal variability, in 2014 the enhanced levels of CO₂ likely helped build the heat associated with an anomalous Rossby wave train into a record breaking event.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank Matthew Wheeler, Debbie Hudson, David Karoly, Thomas Peterson and two anonymous reviewers for their

constructive comments on this manuscript. This work has been undertaken as part of the Australian Climate Change Science Programme, funded jointly by the Australian Department of the Environment, the Bureau of Meteorology and CSIRO.

REFERENCES

- Arblaster, J. M., E.-P. Lim, H. H. Hendon, B. C. Trewin, M. C. Wheeler, G. Liu, and K. Braganza, 2014: Understanding Australia's hottest September on record [in "Explaining Extremes of 2013 from a Climate Perspective"]. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **95** (9), S37–S41.
- Bureau of Meteorology, 2014: Special climate statement 50: Australia's warmest spring on record. Bureau of Meteorology, 9 pp. [Available online at www.bom.gov.au/climate/current/statements/scs50.pdf.]
- Cottrill, A., and Coauthors, 2013: Seasonal forecasting in the Pacific using the coupled model POAMA-2. *Wea. Forecasting*, **28**, 668–680, doi:10.1175/WAF-D-12-00072.1.
- Deser, C., and A. S. Phillips, 2006: Simulation of the 1976/1977 climate transition over the North Pacific: Sensitivity to tropical forcing. *J. Climate*, **19**, 6170–6180.
- Hudson, D., A. G. Marshall, Y. Yin, O. Alves, and H. H. Hendon, 2013: Improving intraseasonal prediction with a new ensemble generation strategy. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **141**, 4429–4449, doi:10.1175/MWR-D-13-00059.1.
- Lewis, S., D. Karoly, and M. Yu, 2014: Quantitative estimates of anthropogenic contributions to extreme national and state monthly, seasonal and annual average temperatures for Australia. *Aust. Meteor. Oceanogr. J.*, **64**, 215–230.
- Marshall, A. G., D. Hudson, M. Wheeler, O. Alves, H. H. Hendon, M. J. Pook, and J. S. Risbey, 2013: Intra-seasonal drivers of extreme heat over Australia in observations and POAMA-2. *Climate Dyn.*, **43**, 1915–1937, doi:10.1007/s00382-013-2016-1.
- White, C. J., D. Hudson, and O. Alves, 2013: ENSO, the IOD and the intraseasonal prediction of heat extremes across Australia using POAMA-2. *Climate Dyn.*, **43**, 1791–1810, doi:10.1007/s00382-013-2007-2.

Table 34.I. ANTHROPOGENIC INFLUENCE

ON EVENT STRENGTH †

	INCREASE	DECREASE	NOT FOUND OR UNCERTAIN
Heat	Australia (Ch. 31) Europe (Ch.13) S. Korea (Ch. 19)		Australia, Adelaide & Melbourne (Ch. 29) Australia, Brisbane (Ch.28)
Cold		Upper Midwest (Ch.3)	
Winter Storms and Snow			Eastern U.S. (Ch. 4) N. America (Ch. 6) N. Atlantic (Ch. 7)
Heavy Precipitation	Canada** (Ch. 5)		Jakarta**** (Ch. 26) United Kingdom*** (Ch. 10) New Zealand (Ch. 27)
Drought	E. Africa (Ch. 16) E. Africa* (Ch. 17) S. Levant (Ch. 14)		Middle East and S.W. Asia (Ch. 15) N.E. Asia (Ch. 21) Singapore (Ch. 25)
Tropical Cyclones			Gonzalo (Ch. 11) W. Pacific (Ch. 24)
Wildfires			California (Ch. 2)
Sea Surface Temperature	W. Tropical & N.E. Pacific (Ch. 20) N.W. Atlantic & N.E. Pacific (Ch. 13)		
Sea Level Pressure	S. Australia (Ch. 32)		
Sea Ice Extent			Antarctica (Ch. 33)

† Papers that did not investigate strength are not listed.

†† Papers that did not investigate likelihood are not listed.

* No influence on the likelihood of low rainfall, but human influences did result in higher temperatures and increased net incoming radiation at the surface over the region most affected by the drought.

** An increase in spring rainfall as well as extensive artificial pond drainage increased the risk of more frequent severe floods from the enhanced rainfall.

*** Evidence for human influence was found for greater risk of UK extreme rainfall during winter 2013/14 with time scales of 10 days

**** The study of Jakarta rainfall event of 2014 found a statistically significant increase in the probability of such rains over the last 115 years, though the study did not establish a cause.

	ON EVENT LIKELIHOOD ††			Total Number of Papers
	INCREASE	DECREASE	NOT FOUND OR UNCERTAIN	
Heat	Argentina (Ch. 9) Australia (Ch. 30, Ch. 31) Australia, Adelaide (Ch. 29) Australia, Brisbane (Ch. 28) Europe (Ch. 13) S. Korea (Ch. 19) China (Ch. 22)		Melbourne, Australia (Ch. 29)	7
Cold		Upper Midwest (Ch.3)		1
Winter Storms and Snow	Nepal (Ch. 18)		Eastern U.S. (Ch. 4) N. America (Ch. 6) N. Atlantic (Ch. 7)	4
Heavy Precipitation	Canada** (Ch. 5) New Zealand (Ch. 27)		Jakarta**** (Ch. 26) United Kingdom*** (Ch. 10) S. France (Ch. 12)	5
Drought	E. Africa (Ch. 16) S. Levant (Ch. 14)		Middle East and S.W. Asia (Ch. 15) E. Africa* (Ch. 17) N.E. Asia (Ch. 21) S. E. Brazil (Ch. 8) Singapore (Ch. 25)	7
Tropical Cyclones	Hawaii (Ch. 23)		Gonzalo (Ch. 11) W. Pacific (Ch. 24)	3
Wildfires	California (Ch. 2)			1
Sea Surface Temperature	W. Tropical & N.E. Pacific (Ch. 20) N.W. Atlantic & N.E. Pacific (Ch. 13)			2
Sea Level Pressure	S. Australia (Ch. 32)			1
Sea Ice Extent			Antarctica (Ch. 33)	1
TOTAL				32

† Papers that did not investigate strength are not listed.

†† Papers that did not investigate likelihood are not listed.

* No influence on the likelihood of low rainfall, but human influences did result in higher temperatures and increased net incoming radiation at the surface over the region most affected by the drought.

** An increase in spring rainfall as well as extensive artificial pond drainage increased the risk of more frequent severe floods from the enhanced rainfall.

*** Evidence for human influence was found for greater risk of UK extreme rainfall during winter 2013/14 with time scales of 10 days

**** The study of Jakarta rainfall event of 2014 found a statistically significant increase in the probability of such rains over the last 115 years, though the study did not establish a cause.