A photograph of a snowy park path, likely in Central Park, New York. The path is covered in a thick layer of snow, with footprints visible. On either side of the path are ornate blue and gold lampposts. In the background, a large brick building is visible. The sky is overcast and it appears to be snowing.

EXPLAINING EXTREME EVENTS OF 2014

From A Climate Perspective

Special Supplement to the
Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society
Vol. 96, No. 12, December 2015

EXPLAINING EXTREME EVENTS OF 2014 FROM A CLIMATE PERSPECTIVE

Editors

Stephanie C. Herring, Martin P. Hoerling, James P. Kossin, Thomas C. Peterson, and Peter A. Stott

Special Supplement to the

Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society

Vol. 96, No. 12, December 2015

AMERICAN METEOROLOGICAL SOCIETY

CORRESPONDING EDITOR:

Stephanie C. Herring, PhD
NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information
325 Broadway, E/CC23, Rm 1B-131
Boulder, CO 80305-3328
E-mail: stephanie.herring@noaa.gov

COVER CREDITS:

FRONT: ©iStockphotos.com/coleong—Winter snow, Boston, Massachusetts, United States.

BACK: ©iStockphotos.com/nathanphoto—Legget, California, United States – August 13, 2014: CAL FIRE helicopter surveys a part of the Lodge Fire, Mendocino County.

HOW TO CITE THIS DOCUMENT

Citing the complete report:

Herring, S. C., M. P. Hoerling, J. P. Kossin, T. C. Peterson, and P. A. Stott, Eds., 2015: Explaining Extreme Events of 2014 from a Climate Perspective. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **96** (12), S1–S172.

Citing a section (example):

Yoon, J. H., S.-Y. S. Wang, R. R. Gillies, L. Hipps, B. Kravitz, and P. J. Rasch, 2015: Extreme fire season in California: A glimpse into the future? [in “Explaining Extremes of 2014 from a Climate Perspective”]. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **96** (12), S5–S9.

EDITORIAL AND PRODUCTION TEAM

Riddle, Deborah B., Lead Graphics Production, NOAA/NESDIS National Centers for Environmental Information, Asheville, NC

Love-Brotak, S. Elizabeth, Graphics Support, NOAA/NESDIS National Centers for Environmental Information, Asheville, NC

Veasey, Sara W., Visual Communications Team Lead, NOAA/NESDIS National Centers for Environmental Information, Asheville, NC

Griffin, Jessica, Graphics Support, Cooperative Institute for Climate and Satellites-NC, North Carolina State University, Asheville, NC

Maycock, Tom, Editorial Support, Cooperative Institute for Climate and Satellites-NC, North Carolina State University, Asheville, NC

Misch, Deborah J., Graphics Support, LMI Consulting, Inc., NOAA/NESDIS National Centers for Environmental Information, Asheville, NC

Osborne, Susan, Editorial Support, LMI Consulting, Inc., NOAA/NESDIS National Centers for Environmental Information, Asheville, NC

Schreck, Carl, Editorial Support, Cooperative Institute for Climate and Satellites-NC, North Carolina State University, and NOAA/NESDIS National Centers for Environmental Information, Asheville, NC

Sprain, Mara, Editorial Support, LAC Group, NOAA/NESDIS National Centers for Environmental Information, Asheville, NC

Young, Teresa, Graphics Support, STG, Inc., NOAA/NESDIS National Centers for Environmental Information, Asheville, NC

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract.....	ii
1. Introduction to Explaining Extreme Events of 2014 from a Climate Perspective	1
2. Extreme Fire Season in California: A Glimpse Into the Future?	5
3. How Unusual was the Cold Winter of 2013/14 in the Upper Midwest?.....	10
4. Was the Cold Eastern Us Winter of 2014 Due to Increased Variability?	15
5. The 2014 Extreme Flood on the Southeastern Canadian Prairies	20
6. Extreme North America Winter Storm Season of 2013/14: Roles of Radiative Forcing and the Global Warming Hiatus.....	25
7. Was the Extreme Storm Season in Winter 2013/14 Over the North Atlantic and the United Kingdom Triggered by Changes in the West Pacific Warm Pool?	29
8. Factors Other Than Climate Change, Main Drivers of 2014/15 Water Shortage in Southeast Brazil.....	35
9. Causal Influence of Anthropogenic Forcings on the Argentinian Heat Wave of December 2013	41
10. Extreme Rainfall in the United Kingdom During Winter 2013/14: The Role of Atmospheric Circulation and Climate Change.....	46
11. Hurricane Gonzalo and its Extratropical Transition to a Strong European Storm.....	51
12. Extreme Fall 2014 Precipitation in the Cévennes Mountains	56
13. Record Annual Mean Warmth Over Europe, the Northeast Pacific, and the Northwest Atlantic During 2014: Assessment of Anthropogenic Influence.....	61
14. The Contribution of Human-Induced Climate Change to the Drought of 2014 in the Southern Levant Region.....	66
15. Drought in the Middle East and Central–Southwest Asia During Winter 2013/14.....	71
16. Assessing the Contributions of East African and West Pacific Warming to the 2014 Boreal Spring East African Drought	77
17. The 2014 Drought in the Horn of Africa: Attribution of Meteorological Drivers.....	83
18. The Deadly Himalayan Snowstorm of October 2014: Synoptic Conditions and Associated Trends	89
19. Anthropogenic Influence on the 2014 Record-Hot Spring in Korea	95
20. Human Contribution to the 2014 Record High Sea Surface Temperatures Over the Western Tropical And Northeast Pacific Ocean	100
21. The 2014 Hot, Dry Summer in Northeast Asia.....	105
22. Role of Anthropogenic Forcing in 2014 Hot Spring in Northern China	111
23. Investigating the Influence of Anthropogenic Forcing and Natural Variability on the 2014 Hawaiian Hurricane Season.	115
24. Anomalous Tropical Cyclone Activity in the Western North Pacific in August 2014	120
25. The 2014 Record Dry Spell at Singapore: An Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) Drought.....	126
26. Trends in High-Daily Precipitation Events in Jakarta and the Flooding of January 2014	131
27. Extreme Rainfall in Early July 2014 in Northland, New Zealand—Was There an Anthropogenic Influence?	136
28. Increased Likelihood of Brisbane, Australia, G20 Heat Event Due to Anthropogenic Climate Change	141
29. The Contribution of Anthropogenic Forcing to the Adelaide and Melbourne, Australia, Heat Waves of January 2014	145
30. Contributors to the Record High Temperatures Across Australia in Late Spring 2014	149
31. Increased Risk of the 2014 Australian May Heatwave Due to Anthropogenic Activity.....	154
32. Attribution of Exceptional Mean Sea Level Pressure Anomalies South of Australia in August 2014	158
33. The 2014 High Record of Antarctic Sea Ice Extent.....	163
34. Summary and Broader Context.....	168

Understanding how long-term global change affects the intensity and likelihood of extreme weather events is a frontier science challenge. This fourth edition of explaining extreme events of the previous year (2014) from a climate perspective is the most extensive yet with 33 different research groups exploring the causes of 29 different events that occurred in 2014. A number of this year's studies indicate that human-caused climate change greatly increased the likelihood and intensity for extreme heat waves in 2014 over various regions. For other types of extreme events, such as droughts, heavy rains, and winter storms, a climate change influence was found in some instances and not in others. This year's report also included many different types of extreme events. The tropical cyclones that impacted Hawaii were made more likely due to human-caused climate change. Climate change also decreased the Antarctic sea ice extent in 2014 and increased the strength and likelihood of high sea surface temperatures in both the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans. For western U.S. wildfires, no link to the individual events in 2014 could be detected, but the overall probability of western U.S. wildfires has increased due to human impacts on the climate.

Challenges that attribution assessments face include the often limited observational record and inability of models to reproduce some extreme events well. In general, when attribution assessments fail to find anthropogenic signals this alone does not prove anthropogenic climate change did not influence the event. The failure to find a human fingerprint could be due to insufficient data or poor models and not the absence of anthropogenic effects.

This year researchers also considered other human-caused drivers of extreme events beyond the usual radiative drivers. For example, flooding in the Canadian prairies was found to be more likely because of human land-use changes that affect drainage mechanisms. Similarly, the Jakarta floods may have been compounded by land-use change via urban development and associated land subsidence. These types of mechanical factors re-emphasize the various pathways beyond climate change by which human activity can increase regional risk of extreme events.

32. ATTRIBUTION OF EXCEPTIONAL MEAN SEA LEVEL PRESSURE ANOMALIES SOUTH OF AUSTRALIA IN AUGUST 2014

MICHAEL R. GROSE, MITCHELL T. BLACK, JAMES S. RISBEY, AND DAVID J. KAROLY

It is likely that human influences on climate increased the odds of the extreme high pressure anomalies south of Australia in August 2014 that were associated with frosts, lowland snowfalls and reduced rainfall.

Introduction. August 2014 saw very strong monthly positive mean sea level pressure (MSLP) anomalies and intense daily to multiday MSLP events south of Australia and in the Tasman Sea (Fig. 32.1a). To the west of Tasmania there were monthly anomalies of over 10 hPa (2.4 standard deviations from the mean), the highest on record since 1979 using ERA-Interim reanalysis (ERA-Interim; Dee et al. 2011), or from 1850 using the Hadley Centre Sea Level Pressure analysis (HadSLP2r; Allan and Ansell 2006). Atmospheric blocking west of Tasmania on 10–15 August (Figs. 32.1b,c) featured the highest daily August MSLP anomaly in either record in that location. Blocking was seen in the south Tasman Sea later in the month, including the highest daily MSLP anomaly on record at that location. The spatial distribution of the monthly MSLP anomalies resembles a wave-3 pattern (Fig. 32.1a).

The strong MSLP anomalies were associated with severe frosts in southeast Australia throughout August, snow down to 200 m in parts of Tasmania on 10–11 August ahead of the particularly strong high, drier than average monthly rainfall in some regions of southern Australia, with <20% average rainfall in places (Bureau of Meteorology 2015), and a prolonged dry spell in the South Island of New Zealand from mid-August (NIWA 2015). A long-term increase in Southern Hemisphere midlatitude MSLP has already been partly attributed to anthropogenic influence (Gillett et al. 2013), and here we undertake the first event attribution of high monthly MSLP that we are

aware of. We use the fraction of attributable risk (FAR) framework as adapted for climate work by Allen (2003), with August 2014 MSLP as a case study.

Methods. We used the atmospheric model framework provided by *weather@home*; see Massey et al. (2015) and Black et al. (2015) for more details. We used this framework rather than coupled global climate models (GCMs) as previous studies have shown that coupled models underestimate the MSLP response to external forcings (Gillett et al. 2003, 2005; Gillett and Stott 2009; Barkhordarian 2012; Bhend and Whetton 2013) and underestimate atmospheric blocking (Scaife et al. 2010; Flato et al. 2013). Models with lower biases, including atmosphere-only models, have been shown to perform better (Scaife et al. 2010; Risbey et al. 2011).

We examined *weather@home* global simulations (1.25° latitude × 1.875° longitude resolution) forced by observed sea surface temperatures (SST) and atmospheric greenhouse gas, ozone, and aerosol concentrations labelled “all forcing” (2765 simulations). We compare these to simulations using 2014 observed SST with the mean estimated anthropogenic warming signal subtracted and estimated pre-industrial concentrations of greenhouse gases, ozone, and aerosols, labelled “natural”. We examine eleven sets of “natural” simulations, produced using the anthropogenic signal estimated from each of ten GCMs (CanESM2, CCSM4, CNRM-CM5, GFDL-CM3, GISS-E2-H, GISS-E2-R, HadGEM2-ES, IPSL-CM5A-LR, IPSL-CM5A-MR, and MIROC-ESM) and the multimodel mean (MMM) of those ten. There were 490–511 simulations in each “natural” group. Ensembles were created using perturbed initial conditions of atmospheric variables and soil moisture.

Variability in August MSLP in the 2765 “all forcing” simulations, zonal wind at 200 hPa, and the longitudinal profile of the Bureau of Meteorology

AFFILIATIONS: GROSE AND RISBEY—CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia; BLACK AND KAROLY—School of Earth Sciences and ARC Centre of Excellence for Climate System Science, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

DOI:10.1175/BAMS-D-15-00116.1

A supplement to this article is available online (10.1175/BAMS-D-15-00116.2)

FIG. 32.1. Mean sea level pressure during Aug 2014: (a) MSLP monthly anomaly in ERAint showing where 2014 was highest in the record (black) and lowest in the record (white) and the analysis box (green); (b) Hovmöller plot of daily MSLP in at 45°S (hPa); (c) Hovmöller plot of the daily Bureau of Meteorology blocking index (BI) plotted as standard deviations (σ) with $>1 \sigma$ outlined by a black line

blocking index (Pook and Gibson 1999) from 90° to 200°E in the “all forcing” simulations was compared to ERAint. This was not a formal evaluation, as it compared multiple simulations of 2014 to a climatology of multiple years, but was done to gauge the general model performance in terms of variability and circulation.

To avoid uncertainty in the extreme tail of distributions, event attribution studies typically use the second highest extreme rather than the actual extreme value as the threshold for FAR (e.g., Lewis and Karoly 2013). Here we used the second highest monthly MSLP in the analysis box from 1914 in HadSLP2r, 1021.0 hPa (2014 was 1025.5 hPa). We calculate $\text{FAR} = 1 - \text{Natural}/\text{All forcing}$ and $\text{Likelihood Ratio} = \text{All forcing}/\text{Natural}$ using pressure at this magnitude.

Results. The standard deviation of monthly mean August MSLP in “all forcing” simulations is broadly similar to ERAint over southern Australia (Figs. 32.2a,b). The standard deviation of MSLP in the

analysis box in “all forcing” simulations it is 3.8 hPa, which lies between 4.7 hPa in ERAint in 1979–2014, and 2.4 in HadSLP2r in 1850–2014. The model also shows a similar depiction of jets and the wintertime split jet over the Tasman Sea to ERAint (Figs. 32.2c,d) and the longitudinal profile of the Bureau of Meteorology blocking index is also similar to ERAint (not shown). The model produces internal variability of August mean MSLP comparable with observed interannual variability and contains the basic components of southern hemisphere circulation similar to reanalyses.

MSLP is more than 1 hPa higher in the mean of “all forcing” compared to “natural (MMM)” simulations over the subtropical jet region in both the mean and more than 2 hPa lower over parts of the Antarctic coast (Fig. 32.2e).

In the analysis box the mean difference is 1.2 hPa (range of 0.01–2.4 hPa using different “natural” simulations). There is a similar pattern in the difference of 90th percentiles of MMM, and there is a slightly different spatial pattern in each of the sets of “natural” simulations (Supplemental Figs. S32.1, S32.2). Also, geopotential height at the 500-hPa level is more positive over the positive MSLP region, zonal wind at the 500-hPa level simulations is more negative and rainfall is lower to the north of the region with negative zonal wind and positive rainfall anomalies to the south (not shown). The mean difference between “natural” and “all forcing” simulations is more zonally symmetric than the observed 2014 anomalies (Fig. 32.1a), indicating that there was a component of forced response but also a component of natural internal variability leading to the wave-3 pattern observed.

The “natural” and “all forcing” monthly data for the box (MMM shown in Fig. 32.2f) are statistically different (Kolmogorov–Smirnov test with 0.05 significance) in all cases except for the simulations using the GISS-E2-H signal. Using a generalised

extreme value (GEV) distribution for the MMM simulations, FAR for the 1021.0-hPa threshold is 0.63 and the Likelihood Ratio is 2.7 (170% more likely). The FAR estimate is quite insensitive to the choice of distribution (e.g., Beta gives 0.70, Pearson gives 0.51), or to the threshold of MSLP (e.g., 1020 hPa gives 0.65, 1022 hPa gives 0.70). In the different “natural” simulations, FAR is 0.42–0.86 (mean 0.67) and Likelihood Ratio is 1.7–6.3 (mean 3.9), excluding the non-significant GISS-E2-H results (where FAR = 0.3 and Likelihood = 1.4).

Discussion and Conclusion. Here we have performed the first case of event attribution of extreme monthly mean MSLP anomalies that we are aware of. The results suggest that the monthly MSLP anomaly of the intensity observed south of Australia in August 2014 was about twice as likely (at least 70%) given the climate change we have seen since pre-industrial times. Or to phrase it another way, the MSLP anomaly would have been about 1 hPa less intense without the anthropogenic influences. However, attribution was not significant using one model. For the others the attributions are modest but statistically significant, so the attribution is meaningful.

The MSLP anomalies were associated with low rainfall in southeast Australia, consistent with

FIG. 32.2. Aug mean sea level pressure and zonal wind: (a) MSLP standard deviation in NCEP1 1948–2014; (b) MSLP standard deviation in 2765 “all forcing” simulations; (c) zonal wind at the 200-hPa level in ERAint 1979–2014; (d) zonal wind at the 200-hPa level in the mean of “all forcing” simulations; (e) difference in MSLP between “all forcing” and “natural (MMM)” simulations (analysis box shown in green); (f) histogram and fitted GEV distribution of August MSLP in “natural” and “all forcing” simulations in the analysis box (normalized by number of simulations), dashed line marks the second highest MSLP value reached in HadSLP2r (1021 hPa) used for the FAR calculation.

climate projections due to anthropogenic forcing. The MSLP anomalies were also linked to severe frosts in southeast Australia and snow down to low levels in Tasmania, so this attribution implies that human influence has contributed to an increase in the likelihood of frost, offsetting the influence of long-term warming. Also, some other notable events were possibly linked, at least in part, to the strong MSLP anomalies of August 2014 such as high temperature anomalies in parts of Western Australia and higher than average rainfall on the Australian eastern seaboard with 400% of average rainfall in places (Bureau of Meteorology 2015).

The *weather@home* modelling system provided a method for examining changes due to anthropogenic climate change while possibly avoiding many of the problems associated with coupled models such as their bias in atmospheric blocking and possible under-estimation of response to forcings. The use of a very large ensemble of atmospheric model simulations allowed us to estimate the uncertainty from initial conditions. However, the limitations of the methods used must be acknowledged. The system uses one atmospheric model and so does not sample the structural uncertainty from different models. It also assumes that removing an estimate of the climate change signal from the observations of a particular year creates a meaningful proxy for pre-industrial conditions.

This event attribution in MSLP is consistent with a wider change in the mean state of atmospheric circulation expected as greenhouse gas forcing increases, including an expansion of the Hadley Cell and poleward shift in storm tracks (Collins et al. 2013). Recent decreases in MSLP at high latitudes have already been partly attributed to greenhouse and aerosol forcings (Gillett et al. 2003, 2005; Hegerl et al. 2007) and some studies also attribute MSLP increases over the Mediterranean in winter (Gillett et al. 2003; Gillett and Stott 2009; Barkhordarian 2012). External forcing from greenhouse gasses, aerosol, and stratospheric ozone depletion each have a distinct seasonal and geographic signature on MSLP, all contributing to MSLP increase over southern Australia in winter but greenhouse gases contributing the most (Gillett et al. 2013). The results can be contrasted with Dole et al. (2011) who finds no greenhouse attribution for blocking in the Russian heatwave of 2010. The consistency in different atmospheric variables and shift in the mean

climate adds physical understanding and therefore confidence to the results.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. We thank Weather@Home at Oxford University, the Australian Detection and Attribution workshop (Will Hobbs and Nathan Bindoff), Andrew Marshall, Terry O’Kane, Peter McIntosh and Mike Pook. Michael Grose was supported by ACCSP and the Weather and Climate Extremes project, James Risbey was supported by ACCSP and GRDC. The Weather@Home ANZ simulations, and Mitchell Black and David Karoly were supported by the ARC Centre of Excellence for Climate System Science (Grant CE110001028).

REFERENCES

- Allan, R., and T. Ansell, 2006: A new globally complete monthly historical gridded mean sea level pressure dataset (HadSLP2): 1850–2004. *J. Climate*, **19**, 5816–5842.
- Allen, M. R., 2003: Liability for climate change. *Nature*, **421**, 891–892.
- Barkhordarian, A., 2012: Investigating the influence of anthropogenic forcing on observed mean and extreme sea level pressure trends over the Mediterranean region. *Sci. World J.*, 2012, Article 525303, doi:10.1100/2012/525303.
- Bhend, J., and P. Whetton, 2013: Consistency of simulated and observed regional changes in temperature, sea level pressure and precipitation. *Climatic Change*, **118**, 799–810, doi:10.1007/s10584-012-0691-2.
- Black, M. T., D. J. Karoly, and A. D. King, 2015: The contribution of anthropogenic forcing to the Adelaide and Melbourne, Australia, heatwaves of January 2014 [in “Explaining Extreme Events of 2014 from a Climate Perspective”]. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **96** (12), S145–S148, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-15-00098.1.
- Bureau of Meteorology, 2015: Annual climate report 2014. Commonwealth of Australia, 26 pp. [Available online at www.bom.gov.au/climate/current/annual/aus/].
- Collins, M., and Coauthors, 2013: Long-term climate change: Projections, commitments and irreversibility. *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis*, T. F. Stocker et al., Eds., Cambridge University Press, 1029–1136.
- Dee, D. P., and Coauthors, 2011: The ERA-Interim reanalysis: Configuration and performance of the data assimilation system. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, **137**, 553–597, doi:10.1002/gj.828.

- Dole, R., and Coauthors, 2011: Was there a basis for anticipating the 2010 Russian heat wave? *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **38**, L06702, doi:10.1029/2010GL046582.
- Flato, G. M., and Coauthors, 2013: Evaluation of climate models. *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis*, T. F. Stocker et al., Eds., Cambridge University Press, 741–866.
- Gillett, N. P., and P. A. Stott, 2009: Attribution of anthropogenic influence on seasonal sea level pressure. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **36**, L23709, doi:10.1029/2009GL041269.
- , F. W. Zwiers, A. J. Weaver, and P. A. Stott, 2003: Detection of human influence on sea-level pressure. *Nature*, **422**, 292–294.
- , R. J. Allan, and T. J. Ansell, 2005: Detection of external influence on sea level pressure with a multi-model ensemble. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **32**, L19714, doi:10.1029/2005GL023640.
- , J. C. Fyfe, and D. E. Parker, 2013: Attribution of observed sea level pressure trends to greenhouse gas, aerosol, and ozone changes. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **40**, 2302–2306, doi:10.1002/grl.50500.
- Hegerl, G. C., and Coauthors, 2007: Understanding and attributing climate change. *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis*, S. Solomon et al., Eds., Cambridge University Press, 663–745.
- Lewis, S. C., and D. J. Karoly, 2013: Anthropogenic contributions to Australia's record summer temperatures of 2013. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **40**, 3705–3709, doi:10.1002/grl.50673.
- Massey, N., and Coauthors, 2015: weather@home—development and validation of a very large ensemble modelling system for probabilistic event attribution. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, **141**, 1528–1545, doi:10.1002/qj.2455, .
- NIWA, 2015: Annual climate summary. NIWA National Climate Centre, 27 pp. [Available online at www.niwa.co.nz/sites/niwa.co.nz/files/2014_Annual_Climate_Summary.pdf.]
- Pook, M. J., and T. T. Gibson, 1999: Atmospheric blocking and storm tracks during SOP-1 of the FROST Project. *Aust. Meteor. Mag.*, **48**, 51–60.
- Risbey, J. S., P. C. McIntosh, M. J. Pook, H. A. Rashid, and A. C. Hirst, 2011: Evaluation of rainfall drivers and teleconnections in an ACCESS AMIP run. *Aust. Meteor. Oceanogr. J.*, **61**, 91–95.
- Scaife, A. A., T. Woollings, J. Knight, G. Martin, and T. Hinton, 2010: Atmospheric blocking and mean biases in climate models. *J. Climate*, **23**, 6143–6152, doi:10.1175/JCLJ3728.1.

Table 34.I. ANTHROPOGENIC INFLUENCE

ON EVENT STRENGTH †

	INCREASE	DECREASE	NOT FOUND OR UNCERTAIN
Heat	Australia (Ch. 31) Europe (Ch.13) S. Korea (Ch. 19)		Australia, Adelaide & Melbourne (Ch. 29) Australia, Brisbane (Ch.28)
Cold		Upper Midwest (Ch.3)	
Winter Storms and Snow			Eastern U.S. (Ch. 4) N. America (Ch. 6) N. Atlantic (Ch. 7)
Heavy Precipitation	Canada** (Ch. 5)		Jakarta**** (Ch. 26) United Kingdom*** (Ch. 10) New Zealand (Ch. 27)
Drought	E. Africa (Ch. 16) E. Africa* (Ch. 17) S. Levant (Ch. 14)		Middle East and S.W. Asia (Ch. 15) N.E. Asia (Ch. 21) Singapore (Ch. 25)
Tropical Cyclones			Gonzalo (Ch. 11) W. Pacific (Ch. 24)
Wildfires			California (Ch. 2)
Sea Surface Temperature	W. Tropical & N.E. Pacific (Ch. 20) N.W. Atlantic & N.E. Pacific (Ch. 13)		
Sea Level Pressure	S. Australia (Ch. 32)		
Sea Ice Extent			Antarctica (Ch. 33)

† Papers that did not investigate strength are not listed.

†† Papers that did not investigate likelihood are not listed.

* No influence on the likelihood of low rainfall, but human influences did result in higher temperatures and increased net incoming radiation at the surface over the region most affected by the drought.

** An increase in spring rainfall as well as extensive artificial pond drainage increased the risk of more frequent severe floods from the enhanced rainfall.

*** Evidence for human influence was found for greater risk of UK extreme rainfall during winter 2013/14 with time scales of 10 days

**** The study of Jakarta rainfall event of 2014 found a statistically significant increase in the probability of such rains over the last 115 years, though the study did not establish a cause.

	ON EVENT LIKELIHOOD ††			Total Number of Papers
	INCREASE	DECREASE	NOT FOUND OR UNCERTAIN	
Heat	Argentina (Ch. 9) Australia (Ch. 30, Ch. 31) Australia, Adelaide (Ch. 29) Australia, Brisbane (Ch. 28) Europe (Ch. 13) S. Korea (Ch. 19) China (Ch. 22)		Melbourne, Australia (Ch. 29)	7
Cold		Upper Midwest (Ch.3)		1
Winter Storms and Snow	Nepal (Ch. 18)		Eastern U.S. (Ch. 4) N. America (Ch. 6) N. Atlantic (Ch. 7)	4
Heavy Precipitation	Canada** (Ch. 5) New Zealand (Ch. 27)		Jakarta**** (Ch. 26) United Kingdom*** (Ch. 10) S. France (Ch. 12)	5
Drought	E. Africa (Ch. 16) S. Levant (Ch. 14)		Middle East and S.W. Asia (Ch. 15) E. Africa* (Ch. 17) N.E. Asia (Ch. 21) S. E. Brazil (Ch. 8) Singapore (Ch. 25)	7
Tropical Cyclones	Hawaii (Ch. 23)		Gonzalo (Ch. 11) W. Pacific (Ch. 24)	3
Wildfires	California (Ch. 2)			1
Sea Surface Temperature	W. Tropical & N.E. Pacific (Ch. 20) N.W. Atlantic & N.E. Pacific (Ch. 13)			2
Sea Level Pressure	S. Australia (Ch. 32)			1
Sea Ice Extent			Antarctica (Ch. 33)	1
TOTAL				32

† Papers that did not investigate strength are not listed.

†† Papers that did not investigate likelihood are not listed.

* No influence on the likelihood of low rainfall, but human influences did result in higher temperatures and increased net incoming radiation at the surface over the region most affected by the drought.

** An increase in spring rainfall as well as extensive artificial pond drainage increased the risk of more frequent severe floods from the enhanced rainfall.

*** Evidence for human influence was found for greater risk of UK extreme rainfall during winter 2013/14 with time scales of 10 days

**** The study of Jakarta rainfall event of 2014 found a statistically significant increase in the probability of such rains over the last 115 years, though the study did not establish a cause.