Stratospheric Connection to Northern Hemisphere Wintertime Weather: Implications for Prediction
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Abstract

The dynamical coupling between the stratospheric and tropospheric circulations yields a statistically significant level of potential predictability for extreme cold events throughout much of the Northern Hemisphere (NH) mid-high latitudes on both month-to-month and winter-to-winter timescales. Pronounced weakenings of the NH wintertime stratospheric polar vortex tend to be followed by episodes of anomalously low surface air temperatures and increased frequency of occurrence of extreme cold events throughout densely populated regions such as eastern North America, northern Europe, and eastern Asia that persist for ~2 months. Strengthenings of the vortex tend to be followed by surface temperature anomalies in the opposite sense. During midwinter, the quasi-biennial oscillation (QBO) in the equatorial stratosphere has a similar but somewhat weaker impact on NH weather, presumably through its impact on the strength and stability of the stratospheric polar vortex; that is, the easterly phase of the QBO favors an increased incidence of extreme cold events, and vice versa. The signature of the QBO in NH wintertime temperatures is roughly comparable in amplitude to that observed in relation to the El Niño–Southern Oscillation phenomenon.

1. Introduction

Tropospheric predictability on timescales beyond the 1–2-week limit of deterministic weather prediction is typically viewed as residing in patterns of variability of the coupled ocean–atmosphere system and in the Madden–Julian oscillation. There are recent indications that extended tropospheric predictability may also derive from the dynamical coupling between the stratospheric and tropospheric circulations. Variability in the circulation of the stratosphere is characterized by timescales considerably longer than those observed in the troposphere. This longer timescale reflects the differing dynamical processes that perturb the circulation about its mean state. Whereas the extratropical tropospheric circulation is continually disturbed by rapidly evolving baroclinic waves, variations in the circulation of the stratosphere are driven largely by relatively slower interactions between the zonal flow and planetary-scale waves dispersing upward from the troposphere.

The most striking example of wave-driven, low-frequency variability in the stratosphere is the equatorial quasi-biennial oscillation (QBO; Reed et al. 1961; Baldwin et al. 2001), a quasiperiodic variation in the direction of the zonal flow in the equatorial stratosphere with a mean period of ~27 months. In Northern Hemisphere (NH) high latitudes, variability of the stratosphere is dominated by the episodic weakening and strengthening of the westerly polar vortex that occurs on timescales of weeks to months during the winter season, in association with vacillation cycles (Holton and Mass 1976; Yoden 1990; Scott and Haynes 1998). The deceleration of the vortex is driven mainly by planetary wave breaking, while the acceleration is driven primarily by relaxation toward radiative equilibrium.

The low-frequency variability that characterizes the circulation of the stratosphere has relevance for weather prediction only to the extent that the circulation of the stratosphere impacts the circulation of the troposphere. This impact could occur directly through the mecha-
nisms described in Haynes et al. (1991), Hartley et al. (1998), and Black (2002). In this case, anomalous momentum forcing in the extratropical circulation induces a deep, thermally indirect mean meridional circulation below the level of the forcing that acts to transport momentum downward. It is also possible that the circulation of the stratosphere impacts the circulation of the troposphere indirectly through its effect on the refraction of planetary waves dispersing upward from the troposphere. As discussed in Hartmann et al. (2000) and Shindell et al. (2001), anomalous westerly flow in the extratropical stratosphere favors increased equatorward propagation (and hence an anomalous poleward flux of westerly momentum) in the upper troposphere/lower stratosphere, and vice versa.

Anecdotal evidence of the stratosphere’s impact on the troposphere can be traced back to Quiroz (1977), who noted that the anticyclonic circulation anomalies associated with the sudden stratospheric warming of January 1977 descended all the way down to the earth’s surface. More recently, Baldwin and Dunkerton (1999; 2001, hereafter BD) found that a statistically significant tendency for “downward propagation” of extratropical zonal wind anomalies of both signs is evident throughout the historical record. Large amplitude anomalies in the strength of the NH wintertime stratospheric polar vortex frequently precede anomalies of the same sign in the troposphere by 1–2 weeks (Baldwin and Dunkerton 1999), and the tropospheric anomalies tend to persist as long as the anomalies in the intensity of the stratospheric polar vortex, about 60 days (BD). The surface signature of the stratospheric anomalies strongly resembles the surface signature of the NH annular mode (NAM), a planetary-scale pattern of climate variability characterized by an out of phase relationship or seesaw in the strength of the zonal flow along ~55° and 35°N (Namias 1950; Thompson and Wallace 2000), also known as, the Arctic Oscillation (Thompson and Wallace 1998) and the North Atlantic Oscillation (Walker and Bliss 1932; van Loon and Rogers 1978; Hurrell 1995). The high-index polarity of the NAM is characterized by low sea level pressure over the Pole and anomalously strong westerlies along ~55°N, Baldwin and Dunkerton (2001) showed that weakenings of the polar vortex tend to be followed by extended periods in which the NAM is biased toward its low-index polarity (high sea level pressure over the Pole), and vice versa.

Sea level pressure anomalies consistent with the surface signature of the NAM emerge in composites based on the opposing polarities of the QBO in the equatorial stratosphere (Ebdon 1975; Holton and Tan 1980; Baldwin et al. 2001), and time series of the NAM and the QBO exhibit statistically significant coherence on ~27-month timescales (Coughlin and Tung 2001). Presumably, this linkage reflects the impact of the QBO on the intensity and stability of the NH wintertime stratospheric polar vortex (Holton and Tan 1980). Planetary waves originating in the troposphere are more likely to interact with, and hence weaken, the stratospheric polar vortex when the westerly waveguide is confined to the NH extratropics as is the case during the easterly phase of the QBO, than when it extends across the equator into the SH as it does during the westerly phase of the QBO (Holton and Tan 1980). Hence, the easterly phase of the QBO favors a weaker stratospheric polar vortex and—through the linkages observed in BD—anomalies in the tropospheric circulation characteristic of the low-index polarity of the NAM, and vice versa.

Fluctuations in the surface signature of the NAM, in turn, impact the mean temperature and the frequency of occurrence of extreme cold events throughout the NH: low-index conditions are marked by below-normal mean surface air temperatures (Hurrell 1995; Hurrell and van Loon 1997) and an enhanced frequency of occurrence of extreme cold events (Thompson and Wallace 2001) throughout much of North America, Europe, and Asia; high-index conditions are marked by anomalies in the opposite sense.

The observed linkages between low-frequency variability in the stratosphere and the circulation of the troposphere are clearly of theoretical interest, but it remains to be determined to what extent they are of practical use for weather forecasting. Here we gauge their usefulness by assessing the potential predictability of wintertime mean temperatures and the frequency of occurrence of extreme cold events throughout the NH that derives from the influence of the stratospheric circulation upon the surface signature of the NAM. To provide a benchmark for our findings, we compare the results with corresponding statistics pertaining to the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) phenomenon.

2. Analysis

This study is based on 42 yr (1958–99) of 4 times daily data from the National Centers for Environmental Prediction–National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCEP–NCAR) reanalysis [Kalnay et al. 1996; obtained from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Climate Diagnostics Center] supplemented with daily station data over the United States and Japan (obtained from the NOAA National Climate Data Center).

The potential predictability that derives from the downward propagation of long-lived zonal wind anomalies is assessed by comparing the mean daily surface air temperature and the frequency of occurrence of extreme cold events during the 60-day interval following the onset dates of weak and strong vortex conditions in the stratosphere (defined in accordance with the results of BD). Cold events are defined as days on which daily minimum temperature drops more than 1.5 standard deviations (rounded up to the nearest degree Celsius) below the January–February–March (JFM) mean. The on-
set dates of weak and strong vortex conditions in the stratosphere are defined as days when daily values of 10-hPa geopotential height poleward of 60°N standard-ized about the JFM climatology cross the +2 (weak vortex) and −2 (strong vortex) standard deviation thresholds, respectively. The resulting time series (Fig. 1) is dominated by low-frequency vacillations during the NH winter in which anomalies of the same sign typically persist for several weeks, consistent with the discussion in the previous section. Note that the onset dates of weak and strong vortex conditions (denoted by thin vertical lines in Fig. 1) can be defined in real time: they do not depend on how long the stratospheric anom-AL. The potential predictability of NH surface air tem-perature anomalies that derive from the linkage with the QBO is assessed by repeating the analysis that was performed for the QBO, but for composites based on warm versus cold years of the ENSO cycle, as defined by the eight warmest and eight coldest JFM mean sea surface temperature (SST) anomalies in the equatorial Pacific “cold tongue region” (6°S–6°N, 180°–90°W). The corresponding JFM mean SST thresholds for the warm and cold phase composites are +0.75 and −0.37 K, respectively. The years included in the warm and cold composites are indicated in Table 1. The SST anomalies that were used as a basis for selecting the years are based on the Comprehensive Ocean–Atmo-sphere Data Set (COADS; Woodruff et al. 1987).

3. Results

The difference between the surface temperature anomalies averaged over the 60-day period following the onset of weak and strong vortex conditions (Fig. 2, left) are largely consistent with the pattern of surface temperature anomalies associated with the surface signature of the annular mode (Hurrell 1995; Thompson and Wallace 2001): most of the mid–high-latitude land-masses tend to be anomalously cold following the onset of weak stratospheric polar vortex conditions while extreme eastern Canada and North Africa are anomalously warm (the pattern would be entirely consistent with the surface signature of the NAM if eastern Siberia and Alaska were of the opposite sign). Heavily populated regions such as eastern North America, northern Europe, and eastern Asia are ~1–2 K colder following the onset of weak vortex conditions than following the onset of strong vortex conditions. The 60-day interval following the onset of weak vortex conditions is characterized by a higher frequency of occurrence of extreme low tem-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Warm ENSO winters</th>
<th>Cold ENSO winters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Fig. 2. The difference in daily mean surface temperature anomalies within (left) the 60-day interval following the onset of weak and strong vortex conditions at 10 hPa, (middle) between Januarys when the QBO is easterly and westerly, and (right) between winters (JFM) corresponding to the warm and cold episodes of the ENSO cycle. The samples used in the analysis are documented in Fig. 1. Contour levels are at 0.5°C.

Table 2. Frequency of occurrence of extreme cold events (days in which daily minimum temperature drops 1.5 std dev below the JFM mean) during the 60-day interval (days +1–60) following the onset of weak and strong vortex conditions at 10 hPa and between Januarys when the QBO is easterly and westerly. The samples are indicated in Fig. 1. Individual results not exceeding the 95% confidence level are italicized.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature Threshold</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Weak Vortex</th>
<th>Strong Vortex</th>
<th>QBO: Easterly</th>
<th>QBO: Westerly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;-17°C in Juneau, AK</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;-18°C in Chicago, IL</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;-6°C in Atlanta, GA</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;-10°C in Washington, DC</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;-9°C in New York, NY</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1°C in London, U.K.</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;-3°C in Paris, France</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;-9°C in Stockholm, Sweden</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;=9°C in Berlin, Germany</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;=22°C in St. Petersburg, Russia</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;=20°C in Moscow, Russia</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;=29°C in Novosibirsk, Russia</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;=4°C in Shanghai, China</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;=1°C in Tokyo, Japan</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Temperature anomalies in most large cities that lie in NH midlatitudes, with typical ratios of ~2:1 found across North America to the east of the Rocky Mountains, and throughout northern Europe and Asia (Table 2, columns 3–4).

We define a simple daily index that characterizes the severity of winter temperatures at a given station by relating daily minimum temperature to the JFM climatological daily minimum temperature using the relation:

\[
\text{wsi}(x, t) = T''^2 \quad \text{for } T'' < -\sqrt{2} \\
\text{wsi}(x, t) = 0 \quad \text{for } T'' \geq -\sqrt{2}
\]

where wsi(x, t) are the daily “winter severity index” values for station x at time t and T'' is the daily minimum temperature standardized about the JFM climatology.

The resulting index is affected only by extreme cold events and weights the most extreme ones disproportionately. Since it is calculated relative to the local JFM mean and JFM standard deviation, the wsi exhibits a strong seasonality with peak values in midwinter, and its amplitude does not vary strongly with location. A single index indicative of the severity of winter conditions at major cities throughout the hemisphere [hereafter WSI(t)] can be defined by averaging wsi(x, t) calculated for all the stations listed in Table 2.

Values composited as a function of calendar date for the 60-day interval following the onset of weak vortex conditions in the stratosphere clearly exceed those for the 60-day interval following the onset of strong vortex conditions throughout much of the NH winter (Fig. 3).

Composites of the WSI for the contrasting phases of the QBO (Fig. 4) exhibit differences consistent with the linkages implied by the discussion in section 1; that is,
the easterly phase of the QBO favors an increased frequency of occurrence of extreme cold temperatures across the NH midlatitudes, and vice versa. That the largest composite differences in the WSI are found during January (Fig. 4, bottom) is consistent with the facts that the impact of the QBO on the extratropical circulation is largest during early winter (e.g., Baldwin and Dunkerton 1998), and that tropospheric anomalies tend to lag those in the stratosphere by ~2 weeks (Baldwin and Dunkerton 1999; BD). The difference in daily mean temperature between Januaries when the QBO is easterly and westerly (Fig. 2, middle) resembles the signature of the NAM in surface temperature. The mean of the anomalies poleward of 40°N in the middle panel of Fig. 2 (~0.5 K) is ~1/3 of that in the composite derived from the onset of anomalies in the strength of the stratospheric polar vortex (~1.5 K). The corresponding ratios in the frequency of occurrence of extreme cold events at major cities between the contrasting polarities of the QBO (Table 2, columns 5–6) are also weaker than those based on the onset of anomalies in the strength of the stratospheric polar vortex, but they are nevertheless of the expected sign in all cases.

The results in Figs. 2–4 and Table 2 exhibit a high level of statistical significance. The difference in mean temperatures poleward of 40°N (Fig. 2, left), wintertime values of the WSI (Figs. 3–4), and the differences in the frequency of occurrence of extreme events at individual stations in Table 2 can be replicated less than 5% of the time in 10⁴ randomized sortings of the data (with the exceptions noted in Table 2). The sortings are generated by randomizing the order of the years in the analysis, but not the days within each calendar year, hence preserving the autocorrelation characteristics of the data. The cumulative significance of the results in Table 2 is even higher: the likelihood that all stations in Table 2 would exhibit differences of the observed amplitude and expected sign by chance is less than 1 in 10⁴ for both the 60-day intervals following the onset of weak and strong vortex events and the opposing polarities of the QBO.

4. Comparison with results for ENSO

The results in this section document the impact of ENSO on wintertime mean temperatures and the frequency of occurrence of extreme cold events at select locations over the NH. The results are not meant to constitute a comprehensive review of the impacts of ENSO on NH wintertime weather. They are presented simply to provide a benchmark for the results presented in the previous section.

Figure 2 (right) shows the composite difference in daily mean temperature between winters (January–March) corresponding to warm and cold episodes of the ENSO cycle (as defined in section 2). Consistent with previous results (e.g., Ropelewski and Halpert 1986), the warm phase of the ENSO cycle favors anomalously warm wintertime mean conditions over much of northern North America, and anomalously cold wintertime mean conditions over the southeastern United States. The composites also suggest that warm episodes of the ENSO cycle are characterized by anomalously warm...
The relative frequencies of occurrence of extreme cold events during the opposing phases of the ENSO cycle are shown in Table 3 for a selection of locations over North America. Winters corresponding to the warm phase of the ENSO cycle are marked by a decreased incidence of extreme cold events throughout much of northern North America, including regions in the south-central United States where the associated wintertime mean conditions are anomalously cold. The decreased incidence of cold events over the United States during the warm phase of ENSO is consistent with the decreased frequency of occurrence of blocking events over Alaska (Renwick and Wallace 1996), which are associated with cold air outbreaks downstream (Namias 1950). The inverse relationship between the frequency of occurrence of cold events over the south-central United States and the corresponding wintertime mean temperature anomalies exemplifies the importance of assessing the impacts of climate phenomenon on not only the mean climate, but on the frequency of occurrence of extreme events as well. A more thorough treatment of the impact of ENSO on the incidence of extreme cold events is beyond the scope of this paper.

The samples used to assess the impact of the QBO and ENSO on NH wintertime climate have different characteristics: the QBO sample includes 34 winter seasons, but only January days; the ENSO sample includes all days during January–March, but only 16 winter seasons. Additionally, the stations analyzed in the QBO samples are intended to be representative of major cities throughout the NH mid- and high latitudes, whereas those analyzed in the ENSO samples were selected to represent regions in which the impacts of ENSO are known to be strong. Hence, it is difficult to make a direct quantitative comparison between the impact of these two phenomena. Nevertheless, a qualitative comparison of the results based on the QBO and ENSO suggests that for the affected times of year over North America, their impacts are roughly comparable: the differences in the frequency of occurrence of extreme cold events between the easterly and westerly phases of the QBO are similar to those observed during the opposing phases of the ENSO cycle (Tables 2–3), as are the scale and amplitude of the corresponding differences in mean temperature (Fig. 2, middle and right).

5. Concluding remarks

The findings in this study suggest that low-frequency variability in the stratospheric circulation impacts NH wintertime weather on both month-to-month and winter-to-winter timescales. In order to exploit this skill, nu-
numerical weather prediction models must have reasonable representations of the relevant stratospheric dynamics. The observed linkage between the QBO and the strength and stability of the NH stratospheric polar vortex has been simulated in numerous modeling studies (e.g., Dameris and Ebel 1990; O’Sullivan and Salby 1990; Holton and Austin 1991; Hamilton 1998; Niwano and Takahashi 1998). As noted in the introduction, this linkage is entirely consistent with northward displacement of the critical latitude for Rossby wave breaking during the easterly phase of the QBO, and vice versa. The observed downward propagation of anomalies in the NH polar vortex has also been simulated in numerical studies (Christiansen 2001). The dynamics of this linkage are still under investigation, but they most likely reflect the impact of anomalies in the strength of the lower-stratospheric polar vortex on the poleward eddy fluxes of zonal momentum in the upper troposphere, and the induced mean meridional circulations that extend all the way down to the earth’s surface.

To what extent do the results for days 1–60 following the onset dates of weak and strong conditions in the stratospheric polar vortex reflect predictability beyond the ~10-day limit of deterministic weather prediction? To address this question we restricted the analyses in Table 2 and Figs. 2–3 to days 11–60 following the respective onset dates. In all cases, the significance of the results was unaffected. In several cases, the skill was found to improve slightly (~10%), consistent with the 1–2-week time lag between large amplitude anomalies in the strength of the stratospheric polar vortex and the circulation of the troposphere (Baldwin and Dunkerton 1999). We also tested to what extent recent trends in surface temperatures might bias the results in Tables 2–3 by repeating the analyses with detrended data. Again, in all cases, the significance of the results was unaffected.

We estimated the potential predictability that derives from the downward propagation of zonal wind anomalies using an index indicative of the strength of the NH polar vortex at 10 hPa, which corresponds to the highest level available in the NCEP–NCAR reanalysis. Since vacillation cycles in the stratosphere often originate equatorward of the polar vortex at levels above 10 hPa (e.g., Kodera 1995; Scott and Haynes 1998), it is possible that results based on higher levels will yield an even longer lead time with respect to weather at the surface. Hence, making use of stratospheric data from the Advanced Microwave Sounding Unit (AMSU), it might be possible to make an earlier and more definitive determination of the major swings in the stratospheric polar vortex.

It would be interesting to test the extent to which state-of-the-art numerical models are capable of exploiting both the impact of the QBO on the polar vortex and the downward propagation of stratospheric zonal wind anomalies for making real-time forecasts.
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