Recent Changes in Surface Humidity: Development of the HadCRUH Dataset
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ABSTRACT

Water vapor constitutes the most significant greenhouse gas, is a key driver of many atmospheric processes, and hence, is fundamental to understanding the climate system. It is a major factor in human “heat stress,” whereby increasing humidity reduces the ability to stay cool. Until now no truly global homogenized surface humidity dataset has existed with which to assess recent changes. The Met Office Hadley Centre and Climatic Research Unit Global Surface Humidity dataset (HadCRUH), described herein, provides a homogenized quality controlled near-global 5° by 5° gridded monthly mean anomaly dataset in surface specific and relative humidity from 1973 to 2003. It consists of land and marine data, and is geographically quasi-complete over the region 60°N–40°S.

Between 1973 and 2003 surface specific humidity has increased significantly over the globe, tropics, and Northern Hemisphere. Global trends are 0.11 and 0.07 g kg\(^{-1}\) (10 yr\(^{-1}\)) for land and marine components, respectively. Trends are consistently larger in the tropics and in the Northern Hemisphere during summer, as expected: warmer regions exhibit larger increases in specific humidity for a given temperature change under conditions of constant relative humidity, based on the Clausius–Clapeyron equation. Relative humidity trends are not significant when averaged over the landmass of the globe, tropics, and Northern Hemisphere, although some seasonal changes are significant.

A strong positive bias is apparent in marine humidity data prior to 1982, likely owing to a known change in reporting practice for dewpoint temperature at this time. Consequently, trends in both specific and relative humidity are likely underestimated over the oceans.

1. Introduction

Changes in atmospheric humidity are key to understanding the dynamical and radiative aspects of climate change (Manabe and Wetherald 1967; Kiehl and Trenberth 1997; Held and Soden 2000). They are also fundamental in quantifying changes in the atmospheric heat content (Davey et al. 2006): temperature alone is insufficient. The Clausius–Clapeyron relation describes

the exponential increase of the water holding capacity of the atmosphere with increasing temperature \((T)\) such that for a 1-K increase in \(T\), surface saturated specific humidity \((q_s)\) or saturated vapor pressure \((e_s)\) should increase approximately 7%, increasing with latitude and altitude (Sun and Held 1996; Held and Soden 2006). It has often been suggested that relative humidity \((RH)\) should remain quasi-constant under conditions of climate change (Arrhenius 1896; Manabe and Wetherald 1975) and, indeed, this has been an emergent property of general circulation models (GCMs) of the climate system (Held and Soden 2000; Allen and Ingram 2002; Ingram 2002). Therefore it may be expected that the actual amount of moisture held in the
atmosphere [the specific humidity ($q$) and vapor pressure ($e$)] will increase with increasing $T$. The question is, does this hold true in the real world and, if so, over what spatial and temporal scales?

Monitoring of changes in surface humidity, as a source of water vapor throughout the troposphere, is an essential first step to documenting and understanding changes aloft. Such understanding has implications for a number of important issues: quantifying climate sensitivity due to the positive water vapor feedback mechanism (Held and Soden 2000); the formation of and radiative interplay with clouds which are the main source of uncertainty in GCMs (Trenberth et al. 2005; Webb et al. 2006); the earth’s energy budget in terms of additional latent heat release in the free troposphere, with potential implications for hurricane formation and intensity (Trenberth 2005; Anthes et al. 2006); and intensification of the hydrological cycle (Trenberth 1999a,b; Pall et al. 2007; Wentz et al. 2007; Zhang et al. 2007).

Quantifying if and how changes in surface RH have occurred may help clarify recent debate over an apparent disparity between upper-tropospheric water vapor in models and observations (Zhang and Sun 2006).

In addition to improving our understanding of the climate system as a whole, quantifying changes in surface humidity across the globe enables further and more detailed diagnosis of climate change. Based on the Clausius–Clapeyron relationship the strongest moistening signals are likely to occur in warmer regions, particularly those where there is a substantial surface moisture source. Humidity has relevance for climate impact studies including human heat stress, whereby an increase in humidity compromises the body’s ability to keep cool through evaporation of perspiration (Steadman 1984; Souch and Grimmond 2004). There is much potential for combining historical humidity, temperature, and epidemiological records with forecast capabilities to provide improved human health warnings and hospital demand forecasts, over time scales from days to decades.

There are a number of previous surface humidity studies of note (summarized in Table 1), all generally

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Trends and variables</th>
<th>Quality control applied</th>
<th>Long-term homogeneity assessments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gaffen and Ross (1999)</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>1961–95</td>
<td>Significant moistening ($q$, $e$, and $T_d$) over most regions/seasons</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Some relative moistening (RH), at night, during winter but lacking significance and spatial consistency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robinson (2000)</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>1951–92</td>
<td>Moistening ($T_d$) over most regions/seasons</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vincent et al. (2007)</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>1953–2005</td>
<td>Significant moistening ($q$, $T_d$) especially at night Lower RH in winter and spring</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaiser (2000)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>1954–96</td>
<td>Significant moistening ($e$) over most regions/seasons</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang and Gaffen (2001)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>1951–94</td>
<td>Significant moistening ($e$) over most regions/seasons</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schönwiese et al. (1994); Schönwiese and Rapp (1997)</td>
<td>Europe (35°–72°N, 15°W–50°E)</td>
<td>1961–90</td>
<td>Significant moistening in $e$</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New et al. (2000)</td>
<td>Global (land only)</td>
<td>1975–95</td>
<td>Nominally significant moistening ($e$) is widespread with patches of drying</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dai (2006)</td>
<td>Global (land and marine)</td>
<td>1975–2005</td>
<td>Significant global moistening ($q$) of 0.06 g kg$^{-1}$ (10 yr)$^{-1}$ Significant relative (RH) drying globally at $-0.09%$ (10 yr)$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ishii et al. (2005)</td>
<td>Global (marine only)</td>
<td>1901–2001</td>
<td>Moistening in $T_d$</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worley et al. (2005)</td>
<td>Global (marine only)</td>
<td>1800–2005</td>
<td>No trends analyzed</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
converging on a picture of absolute moistening. These studies have either been regional in focus, or lacking in efforts to homogenize the data in a meaningful manner. Rigorous attempts to homogenize the data are necessary to ensure data quality and enhance signal detection (Easterling and Peterson 1995; Jones et al. 1999; Vincent et al. 2002).

This paper describes the creation of the Met Office Hadley Centre and Climatic Research Unit Global Surface Humidity Dataset (HadCRUH); now freely available for download at http://www.hadobs.org)—the first rigorously homogenized and quality controlled global gridded (5° by 5°) monthly mean anomaly humidity dataset in q and RH suitable for use in climate studies. Section 2 describes the conversion algorithms chosen. Section 3 focuses on construction of the land component. Section 4 details the marine component. An analysis of the data is given in section 5, and a summary in section 6.

2. Choosing suitable humidity variable and conversion algorithms

Although atmospheric humidity can be measured in several ways, measurements from the databases used here (described below) are recorded as dewpoint temperature (T_d), the temperature to which the air must be cooled for the water vapor in it to begin to condense. We require specific humidity (q) and relative humidity (RH), and therefore must convert to these variables. There are a wide number of humidity variables and conversion algorithms. Conversions from T and T_d are nonlinear and each algorithm varies in complexity and error (none are error free). Here, algorithms have been chosen balancing between perceived accuracy and ease of implementation over large datasets. We use only the stations and times at which simultaneous T and T_d (°C) were recorded, and T_d is converted first to vapor pressure (e) based on an equation from Buck (1981):

\[ e_w = 6.1121 f_w \exp \left\{ \frac{[18.729 - (T_d/227.3)]T_d}{257.87 + T_d} \right\}, \quad (1a) \]

\[ f_w = 1 + 7 \times 10^{-4} + (3.46 \times 10^{-6})P, \]

\[ e_i = 6.1121 f_i \exp \left\{ \frac{[23.036 - (T_d/333.7)]T_d}{279.82 + T_d} \right\}, \quad (1b) \]

\[ f_i = 1 + 3 \times 10^{-4} + (4.18 \times 10^{-6})P, \]

where e_w (hPa) refers to vapor pressure with respect to a wet bulb (T_w > 0°C, where T_w is wet-bulb temperature), e_i (hPa) refers to vapor pressure with respect to an ice bulb (T_w ≤ 0°C), and P is atmospheric pressure (in hPa). This equation has been chosen over the more conventional Goff–Gratch formulas (Goff and Gratch 1946; Goff 1957) because it is less complex and therefore easier to manipulate later in terms of converting between variables. The difference between e both in actual and percentage terms is small at less than 1 hPa and approximately 1.5%, respectively, for T_d below 30°C (Fig. 1). These differences increase with increasing T_d.

Although wet-bulb temperature (T_w) is similar to T_d, it is not the same; therefore, we also need T_w to determine whether to calculate relative to water or ice. In the marine data T_w is sometimes present and used if it passes all quality control tests. Otherwise, and for the land data, T_w (in °C) is calculated using an equation from Jensen et al. (1990):

\[ T_w = \left\{ \frac{(aT) + (bT_d)}{a + b} \right\}, \quad (2) \]

in which

\[ a = 0.000066P \quad \text{and} \quad b = \left\{ \frac{409.8e}{(T_d + 237.3)^2} \right\}, \]

where e is calculated as for e_w [Eq. (1a)]. This creates a problem whereby T_w is required to determine whether to calculate e with respect to water or ice, but first e is required to calculate T_w. For this purpose, e is always calculated with respect to water regardless. In cases where e_i is required, T_w is underestimated such that for a very narrow range of meteorological conditions e will be undervalued [i.e., for a RH of 70% (40%) and a simultaneous T_d between −3.1° and −3.2°C (−9.0° and

![Fig. 1. Difference in e calculated using the Goff and Gratch (1946) (for <0°C) and Goff (1957) (for >0°C) formulas verses that of Buck (1981) [hPa (black) and % (gray)]. Dashed and solid lines show e calculated with respect to ice and water, respectively.](image)
−9.3°C) there is a potential error of −3.1% (−8.4%).

Given that input values are to one decimal place, only low humidity conditions where \( T \) and \( T_d \) straddle 0°C are of concern. Any errors incurred should have minimal effect on a final monthly mean anomaly product.

Equations (3) (Peixoto and Oort 1996) and (4) are used to calculate \( q \) (g kg\(^{-1}\)) and RH (%) respectively:

\[
q = 1000 \left\{ \frac{e}{P - (1 - e) e} \right\},
\]

in which \( e = 0.622 \), and

\[
RH = 100 \left( \frac{e}{e_s} \right),
\]

where \( e_s \) is the saturated vapor pressure (hPa) and is calculated by substituting \( T_d \) with \( T \) in the equation for \( e \) [Eqs. (1a) and (1b)]. For the marine data a standard \( P \) (1013 hPa) is used. For the land data \( P \) is calculated using station elevation:

\[
P = 1013 - \left( \frac{Z_s}{10} \right),
\]

where \( Z_s \) (m) is the station elevation. The choice of calculating \( P \) in this way as opposed to using simultaneous or climatological \( P \) is made based on balancing ease of use for a large first version dataset and small potential errors. These potential errors are negligible when calculating \( e \) and small for \( q \), on the order of −0.1% per 1-hPa increase in \( P \) (Willett 2007). As recorded trends in surface \( P \) for the period from 1973 to 2003 from the Hadley Centre’s Mean Sea Level Pressure Dataset (HadSLP2) (Allan and Ansell 2006) are generally <1 hPa (10 yr)\(^{-1}\), this assumption of constant pressure should add negligible error in the long-term trend estimates.

3. The land component

Version 2 of the Integrated Surface Dataset (ISD), supplied by the National Climatic Data Center (NCDC) (Lott et al. 2001) forms the basis of the land dataset. It reports \( T \) and \( T_d \) with subhourly (better than hourly) to daily frequency. The data have already undergone a rigorous (but not exhaustive) quality control (Lott et al. 2001). All data flagged as “good” quality by ISD are converted to \( e \), \( q \), and RH, and then subhourly data are averaged to hourly for computational convenience by assigning to the nearest whole hour. Initially, it was intended to produce HadCRUH in \( e \), \( q \), and RH, so much of the quality control process considers \( e \). However, it became apparent that \( q \) and RH are at present of most use and relevance to the scientific community and so the final dataset consists solely of these variables.

The ISD database provides good coverage both spatially and temporally, especially in the Northern Hemisphere, from 1973 onward. Thus HadCRUH begins in 1973 and, for the purpose of this study, ends in 2003. However, since ISD is updated yearly, there is scope for updating HadCRUH in near–real time in the future in addition to improving spatial coverage.

Use of a standard WMO reference period (i.e., from 1961 to 1990), although consistent with numerous other key climate datasets [e.g., the second Met Office Hadley Centre Sea Surface Temperature Dataset (HadSST2)—Rayner et al. (2006); HadSLP—Allan and Ansell (2006); the third Met Office Hadley Centre and Climatic Research Unit Global Land and Sea Surface Temperature Dataset (HadCRUT3)—Brohan et al. (2006)], would drastically compromise station density and breadth of coverage in HadCRUH. Station coverage is maximized by using a 1974–2003 climatology. To be included, a station must conform to the following minimum criteria (based loosely on Jones and Moberg 2003): four reporting times with data per day covering both halves of the diurnal cycle (midnight to midday, midday to midnight); 75% of days with data per month; two months with data per season; three seasons with data per year; five years with data per decade; two decades with data; and 15 years within the climatology period.

The resulting spatial coverage is near global and very good over Europe and Southeast Asia but surprisingly poor over the United States (Fig. 2a). There are numerous stations throughout the United States, but the temporal longevity of many records within ISD is insufficient to create a climatology for most of these sites. Efforts to combine station records reporting under different identification (ID) numbers for different time periods for all stations improved coverage slightly. Given the density of the U.S. Historical Climatology Network (USHCN) (Easterling et al. 1999) and other networks, it is clear that further data exist to augment this in the future.

There are two principal aspects to the creation of a homogeneous humidity dataset. First, there is the removal of bad or clearly erroneous data and, second, the assessment of the long-term homogeneity of the remaining station humidity records. To assess the former, further quality control in addition to that already undertaken by NCDC was deemed necessary including a number of tests specific to humidity. A number of issues are investigated (Table 2) using a subset of “good” quality (at least six hourly observing frequency with a long period of record) case study stations (Willett
A set of five tests result to identify physically implausible values, repeated values, wick drying, reservoir freezing and icing events affecting the wet-bulb thermometer, cutoffs of humidity observations in extremes, and outliers. Respective data removal amounts are shown in Table 2 and geographically in Fig. 2b. The development and rationale for these tests is described in detail in Willett (2007). These tests largely focus on $T$ and $T_d$ and then $e$ as a representative humidity variable (for identifying repeats and outliers). It is not necessary to apply quality control to all variables separately based on the assumption that issues of quality arising in either the source variables ($T$ and $T_d$) or in one humidity variable will pertain to all other humidity variables converted from the same source. On completion of the quality control, stations with sufficient data...
remaining are transformed to pentad (5-day mean, see appendix A) anomalies. Pentads are preferred at this stage to daily or monthly both for computational convenience (especially homogenization) and for the later possibility of producing a higher-resolution dataset. The ocean data is also available at pentad resolution, so for compatibility of the two sources this is optimal.

The size of the land dataset necessitates a largely automated approach to homogenization but with manual input required for breakpoint identification. The technique is modified from that used in the creation of the Hadley Centre Radiosonde Temperature Dataset (HadAT) (Thorne et al. 2005) and applied to the pentad anomaly $q$ dataset (appendix A). As $q$ is relatively spatially consistent over hundreds of kilometers (Willett 2007), any observed spikes in humidity seen at one station can be expected to appear in a sufficiently correlating, but independent, background field. Neighbor composites (appendix B) are used to create an independent background expectation field and a difference series is calculated. This allows for further and more sensitive outlier checking where any pentad in the difference series greater than four standard deviations from the mean is removed from the target station record.

Breakpoints in the difference series (candidate minus background expectation series) are identified using a Kolmogorov–Smirnov (K–S) test (Press et al. 1992) on two years of difference series data either side of each pentad (temporal coverage is matched for missing data).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Data removed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bad values</td>
<td>Physically unrealistic values are removed [i.e., $T_d &gt; T$; $T$ (or $T_d$) &lt; $-80^\circ$C or $\geq 60^\circ$C; RH (or $e$) &lt; $0%$ (or hPa)].</td>
<td>0.004%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeated values</td>
<td>Considering the diurnal cycle, $T$ values that persist for more than 12 h are considered erroneous and the entire string bar the first value is removed.</td>
<td>0.42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistent 0°C dewpoint depression (DPD)</td>
<td>Wet-bulb thermometers are highly susceptible to reservoir freezing or drying out and screen freezing, all of which inhibit evaporation giving identical $T_d$ and $T$ readings ($0^\circ$C DPD). Periods of persistent ($\geq 12$ h) $0^\circ$C DPD are believed to indicate such episodes and so the entire string is removed.</td>
<td>1.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutoffs</td>
<td>In temperature extremes, $T_d$ is not always reported (historically the case for radiosonde data; Elliott 1995). Data are checked (in bins of $10^\circ$C) for simultaneous $T$ and $T_d$ reporting. Years where missing $T_d$ values exceed 10% of the total are removed.</td>
<td>4.07%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outliers</td>
<td>Data points ($e$) greater than three std dev from the mean [in addition to other criteria utilizing the first difference series and missing data points to take into account adjacent (in time) values (Willett 2007)] are removed.</td>
<td>0.17%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** Quality control tests for the land data and data removal summary as a percentage of all data. Total data removal resulting from the quality control is greater than shown here owing to some stations no longer having sufficient data with which to calculate a climatology.
to avoid any seasonal bias) where at least one-third of data are present. Strings of pentads where the K–S test gives at least 0.01% significance consecutively for at least six months thereafter are potential periods of inhomogeneity. The pentad with the lowest K–S test significance in each such continuous string is marked as a potential breakpoint. Potential breakpoints are manually accepted or rejected based on interpretation of the K–S test value—approximate means either side of the breakpoint and whether the discontinuity genuinely appears to originate from the candidate and not the neighbor-based expectation series. An example homogenization panel for manual scrutiny is shown here in Fig. 3a. Adjustments are made by adding the difference in medians of the difference series before and after the breakpoint to all prebreakpoint data. The data are then adjusted to give a mean of zero over the climatology period. Stations with many, or very complex, discontinuities and/or long gaps in the record compromise the value of any adjustments made and are subjectively re-
moved from the dataset (Fig. 2b). The homogenization process is reiterated until the number of adjustments applied falls below 25% of that made in the first iteration. For RH, correlation regions, neighbor composites and adjustment quantities are derived from RH directly, but breakpoint locations are taken from those accepted in for each iteration respectively. On close analysis of the case study station set this homogenization process is found to perform well at removing spurious jumps in the candidate series while maintaining underlying trends (Fig. 3b). In theory, adjustments should be fairly evenly distributed, both over time and around zero, but perhaps with peaks in specific regions at times of broadscale instrument change. Such an analysis has been undertaken for all stations by region: the vast majority of adjustments are within ±1 g kg⁻¹ and relatively evenly spread over time (Fig. 4).

The quality controlled and homogenized data (3243 and 3128 stations for and RH, respectively) are then converted to monthly mean anomalies (appendix A) and averaged over 5° by 5° grids. Gridbox means are simple averages with no attempt made to account for representativeness. Coverage remains good, especially in the Northern Hemisphere, with many grid boxes containing two or more stations (Fig. 2c).

4. The marine component

Marine observations are obtained from the International Comprehensive Ocean–Atmosphere Data Set (ICOADS) release 2.1 (Worley et al. 2005) from 1973 to 1997. From 1998 onward, data are provided through the Global Telecommunications System (GTS), and made available on the Internet by the NOAA National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) (http://icoads.noaa.gov/ncep_obs/). This combination of data
sources is chosen for comprehensiveness, regularity of updates (GTS), and its use in numerous Hadley Centre marine datasets [e.g., the Hadley Centre Sea Ice and Sea Surface Temperature Dataset (HadISST); the Met Office Historical Marine Air Temperature datasets (MOHMAT43N and HadMAT1) (Rayner et al. 2003); HadSST2 (Rayner et al. 2006)]. Spatially, the marine component incorporates all oceans of the world, the Great Lakes, and the Caspian Sea. Coverage is good over the Northern Hemisphere midlatitudes and common ship tracks.

A number of issues specific to marine data compromise data accuracy. The daytime heating bias in marine air temperature (MAT) has been well documented (Parker et al. 1995; Rayner et al. 2003), but is not thought to affect humidity conversions (Kent and Taylor 1996). Changes in ship height, instrument type, and exposure and observing practices over time are found to cause biases, uncertainty, and inhomogeneity in several meteorological variables [MAT—Rayner et al. 2003; Berry and Kent 2005; sea surface temperature (SST)—Rayner et al. 2006]. Humidity measurements are not immune from such problems and previous attempts have been made to apply adjustments. However, these are, in most cases, to specific ships (height corrections—Kent et al. 1999) or instrument types (screen as opposed to psychrometer-measured humidities—Kent et al. 1993) and, because they are not able to be applied universally at the current time, are not deemed appropriate for application to HadCRUH, at least in this version.

The existing Hadley Centre Marine Data System (MDS), modified for use with HadCRUH, consists of a set of programs to undertake raw data extraction; conversion from $T$ and $T_d$ to $e$, $q$, and RH; quality control; homogeneity (consistency) checks; and gridding and is well documented (Parker et al. 1995; Rayner et al. 2003; Allan and Ansell 2006; Rayner et al. 2006). It is run in two stages.

The first stage, summarized in Table 3, comprises seven tests to check for accurate placing in space and time and whether the observation is valid within reasonable physical expectations. The second stage, also documented in Table 3, requires $e$, $q$, and RH climatologies and standard deviation fields to identify outliers and test consistency with neighbors. These fields cannot be created until the data are gridded. However, the gridding process requires climatology fields to first create anomalies. Thus, the first version climatologies are created from the NCEP reanalysis (Kalnay et al. 1996). Each individual data point passing through the first stage is anomalized by subtracting the relevant gridbox pentad climatology. Gridbox (5° by 5°) monthly mean anomalies are then created by taking the winsorized means of all values in each box weighted by fractional area if applicable. Winsorizing, a technique common to Hadley Centre marine datasets and described in Rayner et al. (2006), reduces the effects of extreme values. New climatology and standard deviation fields are then created by adding the NCEP climatologies to the now gridded anomalies and recalculating. As such, any influence from the initial use of the

### Table 3. First and second stage quality control tests for the marine data and data removal summary as a percentage of all humidity data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Description— the observation is removed if:</th>
<th>Data removed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>First stage</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad position</td>
<td>The observation position is not consistent with the ship track (based on ship and wind speed).</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad location</td>
<td>The observation location is outside of 90°N–90°S, 180°W–180°E.</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad date</td>
<td>The observation date is not possible: 1–31 days, 1–12 months.</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over land</td>
<td>The observation is not over a water body.</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad $T_d$</td>
<td>The observation $T_d$ value is greater than $T$.</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad RH</td>
<td>The observation RH value is less than 40%. The oceans serve as a constant moisture source and so marine RH is thought not to fall lower than 40%. This has since been found to not necessarily hold true over coastal regions where advection of dry air is possible, and so future versions of HadCRUH will likely modify this test.</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad $T$</td>
<td>The observation $T$ value fails the outlier or homogeneity check.</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Second stage</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlier</td>
<td>The observation humidity value ($e$, RH or $q$) is greater than four std dev from the mean.</td>
<td>0.18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistency check</td>
<td>The observation humidity value ($e$ or $q$) is not sufficiently similar to its neighbor composite value (see Rayner et al. 2003 for criteria for creating neighbor composites and respective similarity requirements).</td>
<td>0.63%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NCEP reanalysis should be negligible. Monthly climatology fields are interpolated back to pentads from a nonlinear fit of the annual cycle, as for HadSST2 (Rayner et al. 2006).

At least two iterations of the second stage are considered necessary in order to have a climatology without influence from the observations failing the first iteration of outlier and neighbor consistency checks. Iterations, and the creation of new climatology and standard deviation fields, continue until further data removal falls below 0.5%. Such a small fraction of data removal is unlikely to have a large effect on the end product. This threshold is reached after two iterations for HadCRUH.

The marine data cannot be homogenized in the same manner as the land data owing to its origin as mostly nonstationary point source data rather than fixed stations. Instead, each observation is compared with a composite of other observations close in space and time. No adjustments are made but, if the candidate observation is sufficiently different from the composite (depending on distance and time of composite stations—see Rayner et al. 2003; Willett 2007), it is removed.

Final data coverage is good in the Northern Hemisphere but poor in the Southern Hemisphere and high latitudes (Fig. 2d). Only the area between 70°N and 70°S is considered useful for analysis in HadCRUH. Temporally, data coverage is fairly consistent except for a ~10% drop in 1997, recovering in 1998, coincident with the change from ICOADS to the GTS derived values.
5. Recent changes in surface humidity

a. Humidity over land

Climatology fields are created by averaging over the pentad climatologies for each station and then all station month climatologies for each grid box. Globally, monthly mean $q$ ranges from $>0$ to 20 g kg$^{-1}$ and exhibits zonal continuity, with maxima in the tropics and summer hemisphere and decreasing poleward (Figs. 5a,b). The structure of RH is much less zonally continuous, with maxima in the Northern Hemisphere during winter and a global range of $\sim$10%–90% (Figs. 5c,d).

The land data are regionally averaged by weighting each grid box with the cosine of its latitude for the globe (70°S–70°N), Northern Hemisphere (20°–70°N), Southern Hemisphere (70°–20°S), and the deep tropics (20°S–20°N) (after Thorne et al. 2005; Karl et al. 2006). Seasonal averages are also created and categorized as follows: December–February (DJF); March–May (MAM); June–August (JJA); and September–November (SON). The seasonal and annual time series in $q$ (Figs. 6a–d and Table 4) show positive and significant (at the 1% level) trends for all regions and seasons except the Southern Hemisphere. Trends are strongest in the tropics (over all months) and Northern Hemi-
sphered summer at 0.16 and 0.20 g kg\(^{-1}\) (10 yr\(^{-1}\)) respectively, relative to a global trend (over all months) of 0.11 g kg\(^{-1}\) (10 yr\(^{-1}\)). At the gridbox level trends show strong spatial continuity (Figs. 7a–e). Moistening is widespread with drying isolated to western North America, central South America, South Africa, and Australia. There are considerable seasonal differences in the patterns of moistening and drying. Of interest is the comprehensive moistening from the tropics northward in the JJA season, but there are conspicuous drying patches in other seasons, especially over North America. Following the Clausius–Clapeyron relation, changes in \(q\) with increasing \(T\) should be largest in regions of higher ambient \(T\) if RH remains approximately constant. This is consistent with finding maximum trends in the tropics and Northern Hemisphere in summer. Until the completion of HadCRUH, humidity was an unused diagnostic for formal detection and attribution studies. The strong signals apparent in these regions/seasons have been found to make \(q\), in particular, a valuable variable for attribution of recent climate change to human activities (Willett et al. 2007).

Trends in RH are small and not significant for the globe, Northern Hemisphere, and tropics (Figs. 6e–h) supporting the theory of a quasi-constant RH over large spatial scales. They are negative except for the Northern Hemisphere. This is also true for the majority of seasonally averaged trends (Table 4) of which all but two are not significant. All trends are negative except for those averaged over the Northern Hemisphere (DJF, JJA, and SON) and globe (DJF only). Trends at gridbox resolution (Figs. 8a–e), for which significance is not assessed, exhibit patchy but regionally consistent trends where relative drying is prevalent, the exceptions being southeast North America, the western coast of North Africa, and south-central and northeast Asia where moistening is occurring. In contrast to the homogeneous Northern Hemisphere JJA \(q\) trends, there is strong relative drying over Europe and East Asia but relative moistening over Central Asia.

### b. Humidity over the oceans

Similar to the land data, climatological marine \(q\) has a strong zonal structure peaking in the tropics and decreasing poleward (Figs. 9a,b), ranging from ~1 to 20 g kg\(^{-1}\). Climatologically, RH varies very little over the oceans (Figs. 9c,d) and is largely between 70% and 90%. It is highest in the high latitudes, especially in the summer hemisphere. However, this is in the region of very poor sampling density and there is markedly limited confidence in values at latitudes poleward of 45°S and 70°N (similar to other datasets using the same marine source data, i.e., HadCRUT3—Brohan et al. 2006).

The data are regionally averaged as for the land. For \(q\), all trends including all seasonally averaged trends, except in the Southern Hemisphere, are positive and, with the exception of the global and Northern Hemisphere DJF season, significant (Figs. 6a–d and Table 4). The largest trends are found in the tropics and Northern Hemisphere JJA season. Although generally weaker than over the land and with slightly lower variability, the size and sign of trends are comparable. Gridbox trends (Figs. 7a–e) show overall moistening but with some drying regions in the Southern Hemisphere and seasonally the northern Pacific (DJF). Trends in RH (Fig. 6e–h) are negative and significant at the 1% level except for the tropics. This contradicts the assumption of constant RH over time and is not consistent with the land data. This may be linked to an apparent artificial moist bias in the marine humidity data prior to 1982 (discussed below). For seasonal averages (Table 4) all are negative except for the Northern Hemisphere in JJA and SON. Only the trend for
the Northern Hemisphere in JJA is significant. Variability is smaller than in the land data.

c. A shift in marine humidity in 1982?

In the marine $q$ and especially RH, there is a noticeable shift in the mean after 1982 (Fig. 6), most apparent in the Southern Hemisphere. The shift in magnitude is large relative to trends such that, if this is not a real climate phenomenon, RH trends could be much closer to zero (less negative) and $q$ trends more positive. A comparable shift is not evident in SST and MAT time series from HadSST2 and HadMAT1 (Rayner et al.)
2003; Rayner et al. 2006) nor in the HadCRUH land data, isolating this as a marine humidity issue and likely not of climatic origin. Although a strong ENSO event does occur in 1982, it is not believed to be responsible for such a strong and persistent shift in climate. A t test on the marine time series four years before and after 1982 at gridbox resolution reveals widespread significant differences with regional coherency (Fig. 10). This is a pervasive but not global problem and not limited to or particularly focused on typical ENSO regions.

Owing to space restrictions on the GTS, until 1 January 1982 many ships recorded $T_d$ values as whole numbers (rounding up or down) as opposed to having decimal places (J. Kennedy 2006, personal communication; additional information is available online at http://icoads.noaa.gov/e-doc/lmr). Plotting the frequency of
each decimal place for \( T_d \) data for an equal period of time before and after 1982 indicates a clear discontinuity at this time (Fig. 11). This change in practice can be further attributed to 5 out of the 11 observing decks (an ID referring to a specific fleet, country or organization that ships, buoys, etc. collectively report under) present over the period. This collectively accounts for 74.4% and 79.4% of data before and after 1982, respectively, and is thus the likely cause. There was no recognized convention on rounding method to convert observations to whole numbers, so it is likely that a variety of conventions were used. For the magnitude and persistence of the positive bias seen, a widespread practice of rounding up would have had to have occurred. However, there is no available metadata to our current knowledge to confirm that this was the case and, therefore, definitively conclude that this is the reason for the apparent jump.

An attempt is made to apply adjustments for this 1982 shift by calculating the trend in land minus marine humidity for coastal grid boxes (containing both land and marine data) averaged for the globe (Figs. 12a,b). The shift is clear in \( q \) with reasonable consistency within these independently derived data both before and after 1982. Of note is a second steplike change in 1998, apparently persisting until 2002. This has not been investigated here. The implied \( q \) adjustment trend is comparable to the marine global mean trend and significant at 1% \([0.05 \text{ g kg}^{-1} (10 \text{ yr})^{-1}]\). If applied as a first-order error adjustment to the marine humidity data a new global marine trend of \(0.12 \text{ g kg}^{-1} (10 \text{ yr})^{-1}\) results, much closer to trends over land \([0.11 \text{ g kg}^{-1} (10 \text{ yr})^{-1}]\). The implied RH trend adjustment is not significant, possibly due to the greater high frequency variability than in \( q \), but at 0.04% \((10 \text{ yr})^{-1}\) would bring the global marine RH trend closer to zero. However,
these adjustments are not applied to the end product of HadCRUH because the true cause is not yet conclusively identified, and the shift is not entirely global such that any adjustment should be applied only to affected decks or even specific observing platforms (if it can be isolated this way). Importantly, not adjusting the data likely results in smaller marine \( q \) trends and RH trends more negative than in reality. For the postshift period 1982–2003 (Table 5), all trends are more positive. Notably, the significant negative RH trends for the globe, Southern Hemisphere, and tropics become positive and significant, therefore still not supporting the assumption that RH remains largely constant over time, at least over the oceans. Conversely, Northern Hemisphere trends become closer to zero and not significant. This is a region of higher data density and likely better data quality. Given the remaining uncertainties, drawing any conclusions regarding constant RH based on the marine data is not possible.

6. Summary

There are sufficient data of adequate quality available to create near-global land and marine surface humidity datasets suitable for climate analyses. Climatological surface \( q \) is strongly zonal in pattern decreasing meridionally from the tropical zone of the summer hemisphere. RH is regionally coherent with less zonal structure over land. In absolute terms \( (q) \), atmospheric surface moisture has increased significantly over both land and ocean since 1973 when averaged over the globe [land = 0.11 g kg\(^{-1}\) (10 yr\(^{-1}\)); marine = 0.07 g kg\(^{-1}\) (10 yr\(^{-1}\)]. Northern Hemisphere, and tropics and
for all seasons. Trends are larger over land; however, it is highly likely that the pre-1982 marine data have an artificial moist bias, most probably arising from the pervasive practice of only recording whole numbers on some ships until 1 January 1982, dampening actual trends. The largest significant $q$ trends are in the tropics (land = 0.16 g kg$^{-1}$ (10 yr)$^{-1}$; marine = 0.10 g kg$^{-1}$ (10 yr)$^{-1}$) and Northern Hemisphere during summer (land = 0.20 g kg$^{-1}$ (10 yr)$^{-1}$; marine = 0.14 g kg$^{-1}$ (10 yr)$^{-1}$), where ambient $T$ is higher leading to larger increases in $q$, in accordance with the Clausius–Clapeyron relation.

For RH, trends are mostly negative and not significant over land, giving support to the theory of constant RH over large spatial and temporal scales. Marine RH is complicated by the widespread discontinuity in 1982 where trends for the entire period of record are apparently negative and significant becoming positive and significant (with the exception of the Northern Hemisphere, which becomes closer to zero and not significant) for the post-1982 period. (HadCRUH is now freely available for download at http://www.hadobs.org.)

**TABLE 5.** Comparison of regionally averaged $q$ and RH trends for the whole period compared to the post-1982 period. Trends are fitted and significance tested using REML (Diggle et al. 1996) where an asterisk (caret) denotes significance at the 1% (5%) level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trend period and variable</th>
<th>Globe</th>
<th>Northern Hemisphere</th>
<th>Tropics</th>
<th>Southern Hemisphere</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1982–2003 $q$</td>
<td>0.12*</td>
<td>0.14*</td>
<td>0.11^</td>
<td>0.09*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973–2003 $q$</td>
<td>0.07*</td>
<td>0.08*</td>
<td>0.10*</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982–2003 RH</td>
<td>0.14*</td>
<td>–0.04</td>
<td>0.18*</td>
<td>0.25*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973–2003 RH</td>
<td>–0.10*</td>
<td>–0.10*</td>
<td>–0.11</td>
<td>–0.11*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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APPENDIX A

Creating Pentad and Monthly Mean Anomalies

A pentad is a 5-day mean. There are 73 per year with 6 per month except for August, which has 7. The twelfth pentad has 29 February added in every leap year. Compared to daily resolution pentads are computationally more convenient and avoid the problems with large variance characteristic of daily data for homogenization. They also provide the opportunity to re-produce a higher-resolution dataset at a later date. For all variables (T, T_d, e, q, and RH) pentad mean anomalies (PMA) are created by creating hourly pentad climatologies (HPC) (e.g., all observations at 00 h between 1 and 5 January from 1974 to 2003 are averaged). Hourly anomalies are created for each observation by subtracting the corresponding HPC, thus removing the diurnal cycle. All hourly anomalies within each pentad of each year are averaged to give a PMA value. At least three days of data are required to create a pentad. Pentad mean climatologies are made by averaging over each HPC. Absolute pentads are created by adding back the corresponding pentad mean climatology to the PMA.

Monthly mean anomalies are made by averaging over the PMAs for each month, where at least three PMAs are present. This gives slightly larger monthly mean anomalies than if calculated directly from hourly data (Taylor et al. 2000; Rayner et al. 2006), but maintains consistency with the marine component of HadCRUH.

APPENDIX B

Creating Neighbor Composites

Using a similar convention to that of Briffa and Jones (1993) and Thorne et al. (2005), all stations within a potential correlation region of 10° latitude and 50° longitude on either side of the candidate station are potential neighbors. NCEP reanalysis monthly mean (q and RH) anomalies for 1973–2003 (Kalnay et al. 1996) are used to create an actual correlation region for each station. This consists of all grid boxes (1° by 1°) within the potential correlation region that correlate with the candidate station gridbox time series with an r value greater than the e-folding distance (1/2.7183). All stations within those grid boxes and within 1000-m elevation of the candidate station become actual neighbor stations and are given the gridbox r value as a weighting coefficient. The elevation requirement accounts for the poorer spatial continuity of humidity vertically than horizontally and the possibility that NCEP reanalyses may not represent this accurately. A neighbor composite is made by creating a weighted average over all actual neighbors with a caveat that there must be at least five neighbors to avoid spurious breakpoint assignment as much as possible. A difference series is also created of the candidate station minus neighbor composite.
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