Projected Twenty-First-Century Changes in the Length of the Tropical Cyclone Season
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ABSTRACT

This study investigates projected changes in the length of the tropical cyclone season due to greenhouse gas increases. Two sets of simulations are analyzed, both of which capture the relevant features of the observed annual cycle of tropical cyclones in the recent historical record. Both sets use output from the general circulation models (GCMs) of either phase 3 or phase 5 of the CMIP suite (CMIP3 and CMIP5, respectively). In one set, downscaling is performed by randomly seeding incipient vortices into the large-scale atmospheric conditions simulated by each GCM and simulating the vortices’ evolution in an axisymmetric dynamical tropical cyclone model; in the other set, the GCMs’ sea surface temperature (SST) is used as the boundary condition for a high-resolution global atmospheric model (HiRAM). The downscaling model projects a longer season (in the late twenty-first century compared to the twentieth century) in most basins when using CMIP5 data but a slightly shorter season using CMIP3. HiRAM with either CMIP3 or CMIP5 SST anomalies projects a shorter tropical cyclone season in most basins. Season length is measured by the number of consecutive days that the mean cyclone count is greater than a fixed threshold, but other metrics give consistent results. The projected season length changes are also consistent with the large-scale changes, as measured by a genesis index of tropical cyclones. The season length changes are mostly explained by an idealized year-round multiplicative change in tropical cyclone frequency, but additional changes in the transition months also contribute.

1. Introduction

The active seasons for tropical cyclones (TCs) vary widely across different basins within the same hemisphere. For example, in the North Atlantic Ocean the peak season is the late summer to early fall (August–October) with the official season defined from June to November. In the western North Pacific Ocean, TCs form throughout the year, while in the north Indian Ocean TCs mainly form before and after the monsoon season. As greenhouse gas concentrations increase and the climate warms, the lengths and durations of the tropical cyclone seasons may change. Already, observational studies have found trends toward longer TC season lengths in the North Atlantic Ocean (Kossin 2008) and South China Sea (Yan et al. 2012) in the recent historical record. Other work has shown that the timing of the TC season is sensitive to the radiative balance, so that during the mid-Holocene, the Northern Hemisphere TC annual cycle (as delineated by the large-scale environment for TC activity
in climate model simulations) shifted to later in the calendar year in response to increased boreal summer insolation (Korty et al. 2012).

Our interest in the possibility of greenhouse gas–induced changes in tropical cyclone seasonality stems from global climate model (GCM) projections of changes in the annual cycles of other climate variables in response to increasing greenhouse gases. In the tropics and subtropics, the World Climate Research Programme’s (WCRP’s) Coupled Model Intercomparison Project’s (CMIP) phase 3 (CMIP3; Mechl et al. 2007) and phase 5 (CMIP5; Taylor et al. 2012), multimodel datasets project increases in the annual ranges of temperature and precipitation as well as a shift of the annual cycles of these variables to later in the year (Chou et al. 2007; Biasutti and Sobel 2009; Sobel and Camargo 2011; Dwyer et al. 2012; Seth et al. 2013; Huang et al. 2013; Dwyer et al. 2014). Motivated by the robustness of these seasonality changes (nearly all models agree on the sign), we initially hypothesized that the timing shift and increase in the annual range of SST could be affecting the seasonality of tropical cyclones in a similar manner. Do GCMs project tropical cyclones to respond similarly to SST?

Unfortunately, coupled GCMs, including those used in CMIP5 and CMIP3, do not have sufficient horizontal resolution to accurately simulate all characteristics of tropical cyclones (especially intensity), making projections of future behavior difficult (e.g., Camargo 2013). A common alternate approach is to use less comprehensive models, which do not attempt to simulate a fully coupled atmosphere and ocean, to reproduce the observed distribution of TCs in both space and time and to provide clues as to how TCs may change in the future. This study focuses on TC projections produced by two of these methods. The first method employs a statistical–dynamical downscaling approach in which incipient vortices are seeded into large-scale conditions from a GCM and then simulated with an idealized axisymmetric dynamical tropical cyclone model, following a track determined using the GCM wind field (Emanuel et al. 2008); while the other method, a high-resolution global atmospheric model (HiRAM; Held and Zhao 2011), can explicitly, albeit crudely, resolve TCs when given the SST as a boundary condition.

Despite the advances of GCMs and new modeling approaches and techniques, uncertainty remains in projections of TC frequency with global warming. High-resolution global atmospheric models, including HiRAM, have predicted a reduction in the global number of TCs (e.g., Sugi et al. 2002; Bengtsson et al. 2007; Knutson et al. 2010). Unlike these models, the downscaling approach described above when applied to CMIP5 data (Emanuel 2013) projects an increase in the global number of storms by the end of the twenty-first century. When that same downscaling technique is applied to the CMIP3 dataset, though, it projects a reduction in the global TC frequency in the future, in agreement with other studies (Emanuel et al. 2008). Furthermore, other CMIP5 analyses (Camargo 2013; Tory et al. 2013; Murakami et al. 2014) project a reduction of global TC frequency by the end of the twenty-first century for most models. Regional changes in TC frequency are more uncertain (e.g., Knutson et al. 2008; Villarini and Vecchi 2012, 2013; Knutson et al. 2013; Wu et al. 2014).

Here we investigate how the timing of the tropical cyclone season is projected to change due to increased greenhouse gases and other anthropogenic effects and relate these changes to changes in environmental characteristics, using both the downscaling model and HiRAM forced with CMIP3 and CMIP5 data. In the following section we describe the data and explain the methods we use. In section 3, we describe the twentieth-century seasonal cycles in HiRAM and the downscaling model and compare them with observations. In sections 4 and 5 we describe the projected changes in the length of the TC season and in a genesis index for tropical cyclones. Finally, in section 6, we summarize our findings.

2. Data and methods

We consider two sets of simulations that make use of coupled model data. The first is the statistical–dynamical downscaling method of Emanuel et al. (2006). This method randomly seeds incipient vortices into environmental conditions produced by GCMs and then simulates the further evolution of each vortex using an idealized axisymmetric dynamical tropical cyclone model. For each GCM, the number of seeds is tuned so that the annual number of tropical cyclones that form matches that of the current climate in the annual mean. The large-scale winds determine the track of the potential TC using a “beta and advection” model. The dynamical model used to compute the wind field of the storm is the Coupled Hurricane Intensity Prediction System (Emanuel et al. 2004), a deterministic, coupled air–sea model in angular momentum space. This method was used to generate the equivalent of many years of storms for any given climate scenario.

The statistical–dynamical downscaling method was previously run on the following CMIP5 models: NCAR CCSM4, GFDL CM3, HadGEM2-ES, MPI-ESM-MR, MIROC5, and MRI-CGCM3 for the twentieth-century historical simulation and the twenty-first-century representative concentration pathway 8.5 (RCP8.5) scenario as described in Emanuel (2013). We refer to these simulations collectively as D5. We calculate changes in the TC
statistics averaged over 1975–2000 in the historical sim-
ulation and 2075–2100 in the RCP8.5 simulation. We also
study the TCs downscaled from the following CMIP3
models (D3) for the 20C3M and twenty-first-century
SRES A1B scenarios: CCSM3, CNRM-CM3, CSIRO
Mk3.0, ECHAM5, GFDL CM2.0, MIROC3.2(medres),
MRI-CGCM2.3.2, and fully described in Emanuel et al.
(2008). These downscaling simulations were run using
coupled model output averaged over 1970–2000 and
2070–2100. (Expansions for acronyms are available at
http://www.ametsoc.org/PubsAcronymList.)

The other set of simulations we analyze was produced
by the High Resolution Atmospheric Model (HiRAM),
an atmospheric GCM at 50-km horizontal resolution
using prescribed surface boundary conditions (Held and
Zhao 2011). TCs are identified and tracked using an
algorithm that identifies features of maximum vorticity
and minimum pressure within a warm core (Zhao et al.
2009). We study the HiRAM response to the twenty-
first-century SST anomaly patterns generated by the
CMIP3 and CMIP5 ensembles, compared with a control
simulation run with observed, climatological SST from
1981 to 2005. The future simulations use the SST per-
turbation from the end of the twenty-first century in the
CMIP suites added to the twentieth-century-observed
SST pattern, as described in detail in Zhao et al. (2009)
and Zhao and Held (2012). For CMIP5, we look at the
multimodel mean SST warming in the RCP4.5 scenario
(about half of the forcing strength of the RCP8.5 sce-
nario used by the D5 models). For CMIP3, we study the
response in individual A1B models (CCCma CGCM3.1,
ECHAM5, GFDL CM2.1, GFDL CM2.0, HadCM3,
HadGEM1, MIROC3.2, and MRI-CGCM2.3.2) as well
as the response to the multimodel mean SST increase.
Because each set of simulations includes different
models and different forcing scenarios, a direct com-
parison of the results incorporates variability arising
from different projections of twenty-first-century cli-
mate. We refer to HiRAM forced with the CMIP5
multimodel mean SST anomaly as H5 and HiRAM
forced with CMIP3 SST anomalies as H3. The control
simulation is run for 25 years, the simulation with the
multimodel mean SST perturbation for 20 years, and the
simulations with SST anomalies from individual CMIP3
models for 10 years. The simulation lengths and number
of SST perturbations (especially for H5) are constrained
by the large computational resources demanded for
high-resolution modeling.

Observational TC data come from the best-track
datasets of the National Hurricane Center for North
and South Atlantic (NA and SA), eastern North Pacific
(ENP), and central North Pacific (CNP) basins (NHC
2015; Landsea and Franklin 2013), and from the Joint

Typhoon Warning Center for the western North Pacific
(WNP), north Indian Ocean (NI), south Indian Ocean
(SI), Australian (AUS), and South Pacific (SP) basins
(JTWC 2015; Chu et al. 2002). The seasonal cycle of TCs
is not very sensitive to the choice of dataset (Schreck
et al. 2014). To ensure an accurate representation of the
seasonal cycle in all basins, we calculate the climatology
only over the satellite era (1980–2012).

Basin definitions are shown in Fig. 1. TCs are only
counted in their genesis basin and genesis month, unless
they form in the last two days of the month and persist
for more than four days, in which case they are counted
in the following month. We require TC events to have a
peak sustained wind speed of at least 35 kt (1 kt ≈
0.51 m s\textsuperscript{-1}; this excludes tropical depressions from our
analysis). Because TCs in D5 have been characterized
at a higher threshold (40 kt), we perform a correction to
account for this small discrepancy in threshold wind
speed. Observations show the global average number of
TCs per year is 85.1 with a 35-kt threshold and 79.1
with a 40-kt threshold, giving a ratio of 1.08. We multiply
the twentieth- and twenty-first-century D5 data in each
basin by this ratio to roughly account for the stricter
threshold. Note that this procedure has little effect on
changes in season length, but it increases the global,
annual mean number of TCs identified by D5.

In previous studies of seasonality changes in other
variables (Biasutti and Sobel 2009; Dwyer et al. 2012,
2014), we have performed a Fourier transform to obtain
the phase and amplitude of the annual cycle. The phase
indicates the timing of the annual cycle relative to the
calendar year and the amplitude is a measure of the
annual range. An alternative approach is to define sea-
onality by the length of time a variable is larger than a
certain threshold value, as is often used in the biological

FIG. 1. Our basin definitions and abbreviations used in subsequent
figures.
and phenological literature. For surface temperature, annual mean changes lead to changes in threshold-based season lengths so directly (e.g., summer as defined by temperature above a given threshold lengthens when the climate warms) as to be almost trivial, motivating a focus on changes in the Fourier-defined seasons, since those are not as obviously expected.

In the case of tropical cyclones, though, Fourier-defined seasonality is a less natural measure than threshold-based seasonality for two reasons. One reason is that most ocean basins have no or very few TCs during the winter months, cropping the annual cycle and reducing Fourier amplitude to be simply a measure of the annual mean. The second reason is that the Fourier-derived phase is of less inherent interest than the absolute length of the TC season, as measured by the time during which TCs are probable, however, that is precisely defined. So, while initially motivated by the effects of projected changes in the Fourier-derived seasonality of temperature, we will primarily focus on changes in the threshold-derived measures of seasonality for tropical cyclones, though we do still calculate their Fourier phases.

We calculate the threshold-derived seasonality of a variable by defining the start date of the season as the time when the variable crosses the threshold and is increasing, while the end date of the season occurs when the variable crosses the threshold and is decreasing. We ignore data for which there are no crossings or more than two crossings (a start and an end), since they do not have well-defined annual cycles at that threshold value. We calculate the monthly climatology for each model and period of interest, which we then interpolate to daily using a cubic spline approach in order to better resolve the start and end dates. To determine the robustness of our results, we repeat our analysis using different thresholds.

We also calculate the length of the TC season by simply measuring the number of days between the first and last storms of the season. This works well in basins that only have TCs during a specific part of the year and less well in basins with TCs throughout the year because there is ambiguity about whether a storm is the first storm of the season, or the last one of the previous season. But in all basins it is useful as a complementary technique to the threshold analysis described above.

3. Climatology

Figure 2 shows the climatologies of tropical cyclones in different ocean basins for the observations, the downscaling method forced with output from the six CMIP5 models’ historical simulations (D5), the downscaling model...
on the seven CMIP3 models’ historical (20C3M) simulations (D3), and HiRAM forced with the observed climatological SST from the end of the twentieth century. First we focus on the observations, averaged over 1980–2012. In the North Atlantic, TCs commonly occur between June and November and most frequently between August and October. The eastern North Pacific has a similar distribution but with a broader peak shifted to earlier in the year and peaking between July and September. The western North Pacific is the most active basin globally with TCs occurring during all months of the year, though it maintains a strong annual cycle peaking between July and October. The central North Pacific is a relatively inactive basin, with no months averaging more than one tropical storm per month. The north Indian Ocean has a semiannual cycle with peaks in May and between October and December, with a quiescent period in between during monsoon season. In the Southern Hemisphere, the South Pacific, Australian basin, and south Indian Ocean have a similar climatology, with the highest frequency of TCs between January and March.

Figure 2 also includes the seasonal cycle of TCs from D5, D3, and HiRAM. All models capture the approximate seasonal cycle but are biased in some basins. For example, all models overestimate the frequency in the central North Pacific and South Pacific, and they tend to peak around one month later than observations in the eastern North Pacific and Australian basins. In the most active basins, D5 produces fewer storms than observed, possibly because D5 (and D3) is run with model SST, while HiRAM is run with observed SST. For this reason, Fig. 2 does not present a fair evaluation of different model techniques, but rather shows that all sets of simulations considered in this study are able to capture the approximate timing and strength of the seasonal cycle of TCs.

4. Projected changes in the seasonal characteristics of tropical cyclones

Next we look at the projected changes and plot the change in the annual cycle of TC frequency for each basin in Fig. 3. In the North Atlantic and western North Pacific, two of the most active basins, there is an increase in storm frequency in D5, a small increase in D3, and decreases in both H5 and H3. In the eastern North Pacific, another very active basin, all ensembles except D3 project an increase in TC frequency. In some basins, such as the South Pacific, all models project a decrease in TC activity, while in other basins different models project differing overall frequency and timing changes. In
the global picture, though, D5 projects an increase in TC frequency, D3 projects little change in storm frequency, while H5 and H3 project a decrease in storm frequency. These changes are largest during the peak season, indicated by the dark shading, but extend into the transition seasons too.

The larger changes in D5 relative to D3 must in some way be attributable to differences in the CMIP models, since the downscaling method has changed little between CMIP generations. There are many differences between CMIP5 and CMIP3 models. They have different greenhouse gas and aerosol emission scenarios, leading to different radiative forcing both at the top of the atmosphere and at the surface. CMIP5 models also have better resolution and different parameterizations compared to CMIP3. Moreover, the models included in D5 are not all merely later generations of the models in D3, but in some cases different models entirely, chosen because of data availability. And while H5 and H3 show similar changes, they both use the same observed SST for the twentieth century, whereas D5 and D3 use model output with different twentieth-century climatologies. These effects all may contribute to the relatively larger changes in D5.

As described in section 2, we use a threshold metric to determine the projected changes in TC season length. Since different threshold values will give quantitatively different answers for season length changes, we focus on 1) the qualitative changes and 2) what factors explain the changes in season length.

Figure 4a shows the changes in the length of the TC season as defined by the number of consecutive days that the data are above a threshold and (b) the number of days between the first and last TC each year. In (a), we use thresholds of 0.5, 1, and 2 TCs per month, and the mean of the late twentieth-century TC frequency, which varies by model and basin.
Figure 4a shows that in most basins D5 projects a longer TC season, while D3 projects little change in most NH basins and a shorter season in the SH basins. H5 and H3 both project a shorter TC season in most basins, with some ensemble members shortening their season by as much as three months. While the quantitative changes depend on the threshold value and simulation, there is overall agreement on the sign of the changes with a few exceptions. In the eastern and central North Pacific, H3 and H5 do not project a shorter season. In the South Pacific, D5 projects a small reduction in season length, unlike in all of the other basins. Similar results are obtained when the season length changes were defined using the accumulated cyclone energy (Bell et al. 2000), rather than TC frequency (not shown).

We also studied the changes in TC season length for storms simulated directly in the CMIP5 models (also not shown). These models underestimate the mean global storm frequency but capture the seasonal behavior of TCs in most basins (Camargo 2013). In the western North Pacific, the only basin where nearly all CMIP5 models agree on the sign of the TC frequency change, the models project a much shorter season, similar to the behavior of H3 and H5 in that basin.

Another way to measure the length of the TC season is to calculate the length of time that passes between the first and last TC of a season. This measure works best in regions that have a stormy season and a quiescent season, but it can be applied in all basins. We define the year for Northern Hemisphere basins as 1 January–31 December and as 1 July–30 June for the Southern Hemisphere basins and find the first and last storms that happen during this period each year. Even in basins with a clear TC season, there is some ambiguity regarding whether a storm is being appropriately counted as the first of the season or the last of the previous season. We attempt to minimize the effect of these inappropriately counted storms by taking the median (rather than mean) date of the first and last storms.

We plot the changes in the length of the TC season as measured by the length of time between the first and last storm in Fig. 4b. The changes in season length echo those calculated by the threshold metric. D5 projects a longer season in most basins, including the three most active basins. D3 does not project a clear change in the NH basins, but does give a shorter season in the SH basins. H5 and H3 both project a shorter season in most basins. In most basins, different CMIP output can lead to season length changes of very different magnitudes, even in the same set of simulations. The clearest changes in TC season length are in the central North Pacific, with most models showing a longer season, consistent with other studies that have linked the increase in TC frequency to a northwestern shift of the eastern North Pacific TC tracks (Murakami et al. 2013) and the well-documented tendency of climate models to reduce the east–west gradients in SST and ocean heat content as the climate warms (DiNezio et al. 2009; Vecchi and Soden 2007).

Next we study whether changes in the TC season length are mainly due to a simple year-round multiplicative change in storm frequency or to the seasonal aspects of the change. We do this by first calculating two sets of idealized twenty-first-century TC climatologies, one with the twenty-first-century annual cycle multiplied by a scaling factor $N(t) = a N_{21}(t)$ and the other as the residual of this quantity $R(t) = N_{21}(t) + (1 - a) N_{20}(t)$, where $N(t)$ is the number of TCs each month, the subscripts refer to late twentieth- or twenty-first-century data, and $a$ is the ratio of the annual mean twenty-first-century TC frequency to the annual mean twentieth-century TC frequency. The quantity $N(t)$ has the shape of the twentieth-century TC climatology but the annual mean of the twenty-first-century TC climatology. The residual $R(t)$ captures changes in the shape (e.g., a peak that shifts or an extension into the inactive season), but its annual mean is that of the twentieth century. For both of these idealized quantities, calculated with a separate scaling factor for each model and basin, we determine the change in the length of the season relative to the twentieth-century value using the threshold method described in the previous section and compare it to the actual projected season length changes.

We start by plotting the actual change in season length against the change in season length when using $N(t)$ as the twenty-first-century TC frequency time series in Fig. 5a. Correlating the changes in season length for each measure yields a positive correlation coefficient, to be expected since the year-round multiplicative change should positively contribute to season length changes when calculated with a threshold metric. The correlation coefficients are $r = 0.81$ for D5, $r = 0.93$ for D3, $r = 0.80$ for H5, and $r = 0.86$ for H3.

Perhaps more meaningful are the results of Fig. 5b, in which we plot the change in the actual season length against the change in the season length when using $R(t)$ as the twenty-first-century TC frequency time series. Here we also find a positive correlation, albeit smaller, with correlation coefficients of $r = 0.14$ for D5, $r = 0.14$ for D3, $r = 0.85$ for H5, and $r = 0.28$ for H3. Combining all models together yields $r = 0.24$ and a regression slope of 0.57, compared to $r = 0.89$ and a slope of 0.87 for the annual mean–like change. While smaller, a Student’s $t$ test reveals that the correlation is significantly different than zero at the 95% level, meaning that the year-round multiplicative change is not entirely responsible for the changes in season length. Instead there is contribution
from seasonal aspects of the changes in TC frequency. If more TCs occur in a given year, then they do not tend to be solely concentrated in the existing stormy months but also occur at the margins of the season, thus extending the season. Nonzero changes during the transition months can also be seen in Fig. 3.

Another metric often used to assess seasonality is the phase of the annual cycle, calculated via a Fourier transform. This metric cannot measure changes in season length, since the data are projected onto a sinusoid, but instead measures the timing of the annual cycle relative to the calendar year. Because it does not depend on the annual mean value of the data, it offers an independent objective measure of seasonality. Using this metric previous work has found the CMIP3 and CMIP5 models project a delay in the annual cycles of SST, precipitation, and the circulation in the tropics, indicating a shift in the extrema of these quantities to later in the year. Does this also occur for TCs?

Figure 6 shows the change in the phase of the annual cycle of TCs only for simulations with a strong annual cycle (i.e., the annual cycle for both the twentieth- and twenty-first-century simulations makes up at least 60% of the total variance). The phase changes vary considerably by basin and model. In the North Atlantic, nearly all of the D5 models and most of the D3 and H3 models project a phase delay. In the eastern North Pacific, the majority of models also project a phase delay, while in the western North Pacific, nearly all of the H3 models project a phase advance (shift to earlier) and the D3 models almost all project a phase delay. In the south Indian Ocean, most models project a phase delay.
especially for H5 and H3. While CMIP3 and CMIP5 models mostly project a phase delay in SST and other aspects of tropical climate, these do not translate to a phase delay in the number of storms according to the downscaling and HiRAM models.

5. Projected changes in the seasonal characteristics of a tropical cyclone genesis index

To gain a better understanding of the nature of the seasonal changes in TC frequency, we look at the changes in a tropical cyclone genesis index (TCGI; Tippett et al. 2011), which relates TC activity to environmental fields. The index we use was developed by Camargo et al. (2014) following the technique of Tippett et al. (2011) and uses clipped absolute vorticity, vertical wind shear, saturation deficit, and potential intensity (Bister and Emanuel 2002) to model tropical cyclone genesis. Using HiRAM, Camargo et al. (2014) determined coefficients that form the optimal combination of these variables for describing TC activity in HiRAM in both the present and future climates. Here we apply a similar index for the CMIP5 models used by the downscaling method. Because the CMIP5 models do not directly simulate TCs adequately because of coarse resolution and other factors (Camargo 2013), the TCGI coefficients used for the CMIP5 models are derived from a reanalysis, ERA-Interim (Dee and Uppala 2009), and observed TC data and then calculated for each CMIP5 model using the environmental fields from present and future climates.

Figure 7 shows the projected changes in the season length of basin-integrated TCGI for CMIP5, H5, and H3, calculated in the same way as for the changes in TC season length. (We did not calculate the TCGI for CMIP3 because of time constraints.) There is a shorter
season for each set of simulation in most basins, including the North Atlantic, western North Pacific, and the SH basins. For H3 and H5, some ensemble members give a longer season or no change of TCGI in the eastern and central North Pacific, but elsewhere the projection of a shorter TCGI season is very robust. The decreases are especially dramatic for CMIP5: only two ensemble members in any basin do not project a shorter season. Some of the decreases were so large that they were not plotted, since the twenty-first-century data did not meet even the smallest threshold.

The shorter season in TCGI for H3 and H5 are in agreement with a shorter season in TC frequency (Fig. 4a), both in the global mean and on a basin-by-basin level. However, the much shorter season in TCGI for CMIP5 is in contrast to D5’s projections of a longer season in many basins. It is not fully clear why this is the case. Most genesis indices, like the one used in Emanuel (2013), project an increase in future global TC frequency. But these indices disagree with most models’ projections of decreasing TC frequency (Knutson et al. 2010). Our choice of genesis index captures not only present-day TC frequency but also future TC frequency, as projected by a large number of models. Ultimately, resolving this issue is beyond the scope of the present work.

6. Summary

We study projected changes in the length of the tropical cyclone season for the end of the twenty-first century compared to the end of the twentieth century using two sets of simulations that are able to capture the approximate timing of the tropical cyclone season. These datasets, from a downscaling method applied to both CMIP5 and CMIP3 data (D5 and D3) and from HiRAM, an AGCM forced with both CMIP5 and CMIP3 SST anomalies (H5 and H3), give different projections for the changes in the season length of the TC season. When calculated using a threshold measure, D5 projects longer seasons in most basins, D3 projects a slight decrease in season length, and H5 and H3 project shorter seasons in most basins. In the central North Pacific, most models agree on a longer season, while in the South Pacific, most models project a shorter season. Projections in other basins vary by model. These changes are robust to the method used to define season length; different threshold values and a measure in which season length is defined as the length of time between the first and last TC each year give the same qualitative results.

We also find that the twenty-first-century changes in season length are not entirely due to mean changes. By idealizing the projected twenty-first-century annual cycle of TC frequency as a component that preserves the shape of the twentieth-century climatology but alters the annual mean and a residual component that does the opposite, we find that while the year-round multiplicative change explains a large amount of the change in season length, the residual component also contributes to the changes. This suggests that the observed trend toward a longer TC season in the North Atlantic (Kossin 2008) is mainly a result of an increasing frequency of TCs over the past few decades in that basin.

When using a Fourier measure of seasonality for the number of storms (which does not allow for changes in season length), the results vary by model and basin. In the North Atlantic, most models project a timing shift to later in the year, while in the western North Pacific, H3 projects a large shift to earlier in the year, and in the eastern North Pacific there is some indications of a shift to later in the year. In the other basins, the results vary by model. Finally, we look at the projected changes in season length for a genesis index for tropical cyclones. Season length changes for that environmental index agree with those for storm frequency for H3 and H5, adding confidence to these findings, while D5 models do not show the same agreement. Ultimately, there is not yet a consensus on how the length of the TC season will change as a result of anthropogenic effects.
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