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ABSTRACT

Climate models show that most of the anthropogenic heat resulting from increased atmospheric CO2 enters the Southern Ocean near 60°S and is stored around 45°S. This heat is transported to the ocean interior by the meridional overturning circulation (MOC) with wind changes playing an important role in the process. To isolate and quantify the latter effect, we apply an overriding technique to a climate model and decompose the total ocean response to CO2 increase into two major components: one due to wind changes and the other due to direct CO2 effect. We find that the poleward-intensified zonal surface winds tend to shift and strengthen the ocean Deacon cell and hence the residual MOC, leading to anomalous divergence of ocean meridional heat transport around 60°S coupled to a surface heat flux increase. In contrast, at 45°S we see anomalous convergence of ocean heat transport and heat loss at the surface. As a result, the wind-induced ocean heat storage (OHS) peaks at 46°S at a rate of 0.07 ZJ yr⁻¹ (° lat)⁻¹ (1 ZJ = 10²¹ J), contributing 20% to the total OHS maximum. The direct CO2 effect, on the other hand, very slightly alters the residual MOC but primarily warms the ocean. It induces a small but nonnegligible change in eddy heat transport and causes OHS to peak at 42°S at a rate of 0.30 ZJ yr⁻¹ (° lat)⁻¹, accounting for 80% of the OHS maximum. We also find that the eddy-induced MOC weakens, primarily caused by a buoyancy flux change as a result of the direct CO2 effect, and does not compensate the intensified Deacon cell.

1. Introduction

Observations reveal a pronounced subsurface warming in the Southern Ocean during the past few decades (e.g., Gille 2002; Purkey and Johnson 2010; Durack et al. 2014; Roemmich et al. 2015). This subsurface warming and increased ocean heat content (OHC) correspond to enhanced ocean heat uptake (Frölicher et al. 2015; Roemmich et al. 2015) and cause the observed sea level rise over the Southern Ocean (Church et al. 2011, 2013). In general, the Southern Ocean heat uptake is important for regional sea level change (van der Veen 1988; Gregory et al. 2001), delayed sea surface temperature response (Bryan et al. 1988; Manabe et al. 1991; Armour et al. 2016), transient climate sensitivity and related feedbacks (Winton et al. 2010; Rose et al. 2014), and understanding the recent global surface warming hiatus (Liu et al. 2016; Chen and Tung 2014). A recent study
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also suggested remote effects of the enhanced heat uptake over the Southern Ocean on tropical rainfall and monsoons (Hwang et al. 2017).

An early modeling study of Manabe et al. (1990) suggested that the Southern Ocean takes up heat in a warming climate, via a reduction in convective ocean heat loss. Gregory (2000) further found that the weakened convection acts to reduce the entrainment of heat into the mixed layer from below and finally leads to a decline in upward diffusion of heat along isopycnals below the mixed layer (also see Huang et al. 2003). In the Southern Ocean interior, the balance is maintained between northward/downward heat transport by the mean flow and southward/upward heat transport by eddies (Gregory 2000). In a warming climate, the heat balance changes, modifying ocean heat uptake and heat distribution (e.g., Griffies et al. 2015). Two primary processes have been proposed for the heat balance change: decrease in southward/upward eddy heat transport (Gregory 2000; Dalan et al. 2005; Hieronymus and Nycander 2013; Morrison et al. 2013), or increase in northward/downward advective heat transport by the time mean flow (Cai et al. 2010; Kuhlbrodt and Gregory 2012; Marshall and Zanna 2014; Bryan et al. 2014; Exarchou et al. 2015). Recently, Morrison et al. (2016) found that both processes could be important. The mean flow and eddy processes dominate, respectively, to the south and north of the main convergence region.

Marshall et al. (2015) simulated a mute Southern Ocean surface warming using an ocean general circulation model forced with a spatially uniform surface flux. But to what extent does the heat taken from the atmosphere and distributed into the ocean interior behave like a passive tracer advected along the mean ventilation pathways? Using a passive tracer technique, Banks and Gregory (2006) concluded that the interior temperature change in the Southern Ocean cannot be explained solely by passive tracer transport along isopycnals, since ocean circulation changes also affect heat distribution (see also Xie and Vallis 2012). Winton et al. (2013) explored this question from a different perspective. Holding ocean circulation fixed, they found that modifying ocean circulation can effectively redistribute heat over the Southern Ocean, which was generally consistent with the results of Banks and Gregory (2006). Both Banks and Gregory (2006) and Winton et al. (2013) discussed the role of ocean circulation changes in Southern Ocean heat uptake and redistribution.

Under anthropogenic forcing, the Southern Ocean circulation is suggested to be primarily affected by surface wind stress changes [e.g., Gillett and Thompson (2003); Fyfe (2006); note here, changes in surface buoyancy forcing also play a role; cf. Sen Gupta et al. (2009)]. Particularly, observations show a poleward shift and strengthening of Southern Hemisphere westerly winds (Swart and Fyfe 2012) related to ozone depletion (e.g., Gillett and Thompson 2003) and increasing greenhouse gases (e.g., Fyfe 2006), with the southern annual mode (Marshall 2003) shifting toward a higher index state (Thompson and Solomon 2002). The poleward-intensified winds strengthen and displace the Eulerian-mean meridional overturning circulation (MOC), often referred to as the Deacon cell (e.g., Sen Gupta and England 2006; Sen Gupta et al. 2009; Downes and Hogg 2013), although this wind-driven circulation change has been suggested to be partially compensated by an increased eddy activity as a result of enhanced baroclinicity (e.g., Hallberg and Gnanadesikan 2006; Hogg et al. 2008; Farneti et al. 2010; Wolfe and Cessi 2010; Abernathey et al. 2011; Bishop et al. 2016).

To isolate the effects of wind change on the Southern Ocean MOC and heat uptake, and hence temperature and heat storage in a warming climate, several studies (e.g., Oke and England 2004; Fyfe et al. 2007; Spence et al. 2010) perturbed surface wind stress alone using a wind pattern derived from global warming experiments. They found that poleward-intensified winds cause a subsurface warming around 45°S via an increased downwelling of warm surface water, and a cooling at higher and lower latitudes. Although these studies confirmed the wind effect on heat uptake and redistribution in the Southern Ocean, they could not rigorously quantify this effect by means of a consistent heat budget analysis, as they used either forced ocean general circulation models (Oke and England 2004) or ocean models coupled to an energy balance model of the atmosphere (Fyfe et al. 2007; Spence et al. 2010). Therefore, the ocean–atmosphere coupling was missing or distorted. For example, wind-induced changes in sea surface temperature (SST) and surface heat flux will feed back on the atmospheric storm tracks, precipitation, and clouds. It is essential to consider such feedbacks when examining ocean heat uptake.

In this study, we employ an overriding technique (Lu and Zhao 2012; Liu et al. 2015) to isolate and quantify the effects of wind change and related feedbacks on Southern Ocean heat uptake and redistribution. For example, we override surface winds in a fully coupled system from a quadrupled CO₂ climate and separate the wind-induced feedback from other feedbacks in term of contributions to the total climate response. Unlike previous studies, our overriding method can practically disable the wind change effect while allowing other atmospheric processes to be fully interactive with the ocean. This allows us to estimate the wind-induced feedback along with the direct CO₂ effect and quantify
its contribution to the Southern Ocean heat uptake and redistribution through a consistent heat budget analysis.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In section 2, we introduce the models, experiments, and metrics used in this study, with a particular emphasis on the overriding technique. We present the main results in section 3, and present the paper’s conclusions and discussion in section 4.

2. Methods

a. CMIP5 models and simulations

To study the characteristics of Southern Ocean heat uptake and redistribution to increasing CO2, we analyze the preindustrial control (piControl) and abruptly quadrupled CO2 (abrupt4xCO2) simulations of 10 climate models (Table 1) participating in phase 5 of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP5; Taylor et al. 2012). The abruptly quadrupled CO2 represents an idealized global warming scenario in which the atmospheric concentration of CO2 is instantaneously quadrupled from its initial preindustrial value and then held fixed. We examine the changes of several variables (Table 1) that are related to Southern Ocean heat uptake and redistribution. For each variable, the change is defined as the difference between years 41–90 after CO2 quadrupling and a 50-yr average in piControl. Note here, our analysis of the change over years 41–90 does not account for the fast response.

In our analysis, most variables are available across the 10 models (Table 1). For the eddy-induced MOC and meridional ocean heat transport, both of them are only available in ACCESS1.0, ACCESS1.3, and CCSM4. Thus, we just use these three models for MOC and heat transport analyses. Besides, we only analyze the first member run (r1i1p1) of each model to ensure equal weight in intermodel analysis.

b. CESM and overriding experiments

We use the Community Earth System Model (CESM; Hurrell et al. 2013), version 1.0.5, from the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) that includes the latest version of the Community Atmosphere Model, version 5 (CAM5; e.g., Neale et al. 2012), the Community Land Model, version 4 (CLM4; Lawrence et al. 2012), the Community Ice Code, version 4 (CICE4; Holland et al. 2012), and the Parallel Ocean Program, version 2 (POP2; Smith et al. 2010), and henceforth the coupled model is called CESM1(CAM5). The f19g1v6 configuration used here has a finite-volume dynamical core (Lin 2004) with a nominal 2° atmosphere and land horizontal grid (1.9° latitude × 2.5° longitude) with 26 atmospheric layers in the vertical, and a nominal 1° ocean and ice horizontal grid (referred to as x1) with 60 ocean layers in the vertical. Over the Southern Ocean, the meridional resolution of POP2 is about 0.5°. Although the ocean model is not eddy resolved, it employs a variable coefficient in the Gent–McWilliams eddy parameterization (Gent and Danabasoglu 2011), which enables an appropriate ocean response to wind change as indicated in eddy-resolving models (Gent and Danabasoglu 2011). For tracers, such
as temperature, the horizontal diffusion follows the Redi isoneutral diffusion operator by the GM parameterization and the vertical diffusion (mixing) follows the K-profile parameterization (KPP; cf. Large et al. 1994). The baseline runs of this study are a preindustrial control run (CTRL) and a quadruple CO2 run (4 × CO2), which are identical to the piControl and abrupt4xCO2 simulations by CMIP5 models. Here, we rename these two CESM runs for the convenience of discussion. CTRL is taken from the NCAR CESM1(CAM5) f19gx1v6 configuration in the preindustrial AD 1850 scenario, and 4 × CO2 branches from CTRL, with the atmospheric CO2 concentration instantly quadrupled from the 1850 level and kept constant through the 90-yr simulation.

In contrast to previous wind perturbation experiments, we employ a partial coupling based on the so-called overriding technique (Lu and Zhao 2012; Liu et al. 2015) in order to isolate and quantify the contributions of various feedbacks and processes to the Southern Ocean heat uptake and redistribution. The partially coupled CESM1(CAM5) is realized through overriding the time series of one or more variables at the air–sea interface from a fully coupled run to disable the targeted process or feedback. Specifically, it is implemented in the following steps. Let us denote the coupled baseline runs as clx for CTRL and as c4x for 4 × CO2, and the overriding variables from these two runs are first output for overriding purpose at the frequency of air–sea coupling [daily for the case of CESM1(CAM5)] and will be referred to, respectively, as var1x and var4x, in which “var” is the overriding variable. In the paper, we consider three variables: wind stress (τ), wind speed (w), and CO2 (c) because winds can affect surface heat uptake and interior ocean heat distribution either by changing ocean circulation via surface wind stress or by modifying ocean–atmosphere thermal coupling through the wind speed in the bulk formula of turbulent (latent and sensible) heat fluxes. Next, we conduct a suite of overriding experiments (Table 2) to isolate the effect of the variable we are interested in. For example, to target climate response without wind feedback, we run the 4 × CO2 experiment again but with wind stress and wind speed prescribed from CTRL. We name this overriding run τ1w1c4, denoting wind stress and wind speed from clx but CO2 level from c4x.

Inevitably, overriding interferes with the temporal coherence between the overriding variable and the processes it interacts with, leading to a climate drift. For instance, if we were overriding the surface wind in the clx case by prescribing τ1x and w1x (but shifted by 1 yr intentionally), the resultant climate (labeled as r1w1c1) would not be the same as that of clx, the difference between them being the drift resulting from overriding wind stress and wind speed (denoted by τ1w1c1 − CTRL). Here, the 1-yr (or any integer number of years) shift in the time of τ1x is intended to disrupt its coherence with other fields in the clx run; an overriding of τ1x without the time shift would be simply a replication of clx. This drift must be identified and excluded in the attribution of the relevant feedbacks, which can be achieved by comparing the overriding runs because the same overriding-induced drift is present in all such runs and the difference between any two of them should eliminate the drift. For example, the direct CO2 effect in quadrupled CO2 climate change simulations should be isolated through the operation (τ1w1c4 − CTRL), which is the same as (τ1w1c4 − τ1w1c1). Therefore, the climate drifts, which should not be one part of the

### Table 2. Design of the CESM1(CAM5) overriding experiments. Two baseline runs are CTRL and 4 × CO2. Based on these two runs, five overriding experiments are conducted to isolate and quantify the wind effect and the direct CO2 effect. The overriding variables from CTRL and 4 × CO2 are first output for overriding purpose at the frequency of air–sea coupling. To eliminate the climate drift due to overriding a 1-yr shift is applied to the prescribed overriding variables as indicated in parentheses. The differences between individual pairs of overriding experiments reveal the contributions resulting from Wstr, Wspd, and the dirCO2 effect. The total climate response (4 × CO2 minus CTRL) can be replicated by SUM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expt</th>
<th>Wind stress (1-yr shift)</th>
<th>Wind speed (1-yr shift)</th>
<th>CO2</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) CTRL</td>
<td>1x (no)</td>
<td>1x (no)</td>
<td>1x</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) 1w1c1</td>
<td>1x (yes)</td>
<td>1x (yes)</td>
<td>1x</td>
<td>dirCO2 effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) 1w1c4</td>
<td>1x (yes)</td>
<td>1x (yes)</td>
<td>4x</td>
<td>Wstr effect (exp 3 − exp 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) 4w1c4</td>
<td>4x (yes)</td>
<td>1x (yes)</td>
<td>4x</td>
<td>Wspd effect (exp 4 − exp 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5) 1w4c4</td>
<td>1x (yes)</td>
<td>4x (yes)</td>
<td>4x</td>
<td>Replication (SUM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6) 4w4c4</td>
<td>4x (yes)</td>
<td>4x (yes)</td>
<td>4x</td>
<td>(exp 6 − exp 2) vs (exp 7 − exp 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7) 4 × CO2</td>
<td>4x (no)</td>
<td>4x (no)</td>
<td>4x</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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response, are eliminated by the cancellation of drifts in both \((\tau_1w_1c - \text{CTRL})\) and \((\tau_1w_1c - \text{CTRL})\). As a result, this allows a more accurate estimate of surface heat flux and interior ocean heat distribution.

In summary, the overriding technique enables a linear decomposition of the total response to CO2 quadrupling in the fully coupled model into the parts related to: (i) surface wind stress change \((\tau_4w_4c - \tau_1w_1c)\); (ii) surface wind speed change \((\tau_1w_4c - \tau_1w_1c)\); and (iii) the direct CO2 effect without wind changes \((\tau_1w_1c - \tau_1w_1c_1)\). As will be shown in later sections, the surface wind speed change has a minimal effect on Southern Ocean heat uptake and redistribution.

c. MOC

The Eulerian-mean MOC is calculated by integrating Eulerian mean meridional velocity \(\vec{v}\) zonally and vertically:

\[
\vec{\psi}(y, z) = \int_0^d \vec{v} \ dz \ dx, \tag{1}
\]

where \(x\), \(y\), and \(z\) are the zonal, meridional, and vertical coordinates. This representation of the MOC is largely made up of the wind-driven Ekman circulation known as the Deacon cell (Dóóds and Webb 1994). Similarly, the eddy-induced MOC is calculated as

\[
\psi^*(y, z) = \int_0^d \vec{v}^* \ dz \ dx, \tag{2}
\]

where \(\vec{v}^*\) is eddy-induced velocity. In the POP2 ocean model, it is in form of a bolus velocity derived from the GM parameterization. In the Southern Ocean, there is a partial compensation between Eulerian-mean and eddy-induced MOCs (e.g., Marshall and Radko 2003), yielding a residual MOC \(\psi_{\text{res}}\) as

\[
\psi_{\text{res}} = \vec{\psi} + \psi^*, \tag{3}
\]

d. Oceanic heat budget

The zonally integrated full-depth oceanic heat budget is

\[
\int_0^d \int_{-H}^0 \rho_0 c_p \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \ dz \ dx + \int_{-H}^0 \rho_0 c_p \left[ \nabla \cdot (\vec{v} \theta + D) \right] \ dz \ dx = \int (\text{SHF}) \ dx, \tag{4}
\]

where \(\rho_0\) is seawater density, \(c_p\) is the specific heat of seawater, \(\theta\) is potential temperature of seawater, and \(-H\) denotes the depth of ocean bottom. SHF denotes net surface heat flux, which is the sum of radiative shortwave (SW) and longwave (LW) fluxes and turbulent sensible (SH) and latent (LH) heat fluxes. The three-dimensional gradient operator is \(\nabla\), and \(\vec{v}\) is the three-dimensional velocity, with \(\vec{v} = \nabla + \vec{v}^*\). The variable \(D\) denotes diffusion and other subgrid processes.

Based on Eq. (4), we define the rate of integrated OHC as ocean heat storage, that is,

\[
\text{OHS} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{-H}^0 \rho_0 c_p \theta \ dz' \ dx, \tag{5}
\]

and ocean heat uptake as

\[
\text{OHU} = \int (\text{SHF}) \ dx, \tag{6}
\]

and meridional ocean heat transport as

\[
\text{OHT} = \int_{-H}^0 \rho_0 c_p (\vec{v}\theta + \vec{v}^*\theta + D) \ dz' \ dx = \text{OHT}^* + \text{OHT}^d, \tag{7}
\]

where \(\text{OHT}^* = \int \rho_0 c_p \vec{v}^* \ dz' \ dx\), and \(\text{OHT}^d = \int \rho_0 c_p D \ dz' \ dx\). Equation (7) shows that meridional ocean heat transport (OHT) can be induced by Eulerian-mean flow (OHT), eddies (OHT*), and diffusion (OHTd). Therefore, the heat budget by Eq. (4) can be written as

\[
\text{OHS} = \text{OHU} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \text{OHT}, \tag{8}
\]

which indicates that ocean heat storage is determined by heat uptake from atmosphere–ocean interface and heat retribution by ocean circulation via the meridional gradient of ocean heat transport.

3. Results

a. Climate response in CMIP5 models

We first examine the change of SHF and OHU over the Southern Ocean in response to quadrupled CO2 in CMIP5 models. We find that most heat enters the Southern Ocean over and slightly to the south of the region of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current (ACC) as the deep upwelled water keeps the surface ocean from warming. Particularly, anomalous heat enters (leaves) the ocean in zonal bands along the southern (northern) flank of the ACC (Fig. 1a). This SHF change is due primarily to the sensible and latent heat fluxes (Fig. 1c) that respond to changing air–sea temperature gradients (Frölicher et al. 2015). To the south of the ACC, the
atmosphere has warmed more rapidly than the ocean surface such that less heat is lost from the ocean to the atmosphere. In the vicinity of the ACC and north of it, the ocean surface has warmed more rapidly than the atmosphere, with an oceanic heat loss.

Although heat is gained at the southern flank of the ACC (around 60°S), the OHS change peaks at around 45°S (Fig. 2a) and is concentrated in the upper 1000 m (Fig. 2e), which is consistent with previous studies (e.g., Frölicher et al. 2015; Armour et al. 2016). The CMIP5 models appear to agree on this aspect as well (Fig. 2c). The mismatch between the location of OHU and OHS can be attributed to the MOC that redistributes heat via OHT divergence or convergence in the Southern Ocean (Frölicher et al. 2015; Armour et al. 2016).

Moreover, the full MOC in the Southern Ocean undergoes changes in a warming climate. Southern Hemisphere westerly winds strengthen and displace poleward in response to quadrupled CO2 (Figs. 3a,c), which intensifies and shifts poleward the wind-driven Deacon cell (Fig. 4a). However, the eddy-induced MOC does not correspondingly intensify to compensate for the variation in the Deacon cell, but weakens instead (Fig. 4c). This eddy-induced MOC weakening, as will be shown in later sections, is primarily caused by the surface buoyancy flux change as a result of the direct CO2 effect rather than the wind change. Over the Southern Ocean, changes in the wind-driven and eddy-induced MOCs together result in a stronger and poleward-shifted MOC (Fig. 4e).

To summarize, CMIP5 models show that the Southern Ocean primarily receives heat from the atmosphere around 60°S. This incoming heat is redistributed by the residual MOC and mostly stored around 45°S. Various feedbacks, including the wind-induced feedback, play a role in modifying OHU, OHT, and thus OHS.

![Fig. 1. Changes of SHF over the Southern Ocean in response to quadrupled CO2 (relative to preindustrial control) for (a) CMIP5 model ensemble mean and (b) CESM1(CAM5), with the path of the ACC superimposed that is represented by the contours (green) of BSF from CMIP5 model ensemble mean in (a) and CESM1(CAM5) in (b). Zonal-mean changes (weighted by cosine of latitude) of SHF (black) and its radiative (SW + LW; orange-red) and turbulent (SH + LH; blue) flux components for (c) CMIP5 model ensemble mean and (d) CESM1(CAM5). Results of individual CMIP5 models are also included in (c), which are colored gray for SHF, orange for SW + LW, and light blue for SH + LH.](image-url)
next section, we will employ an overriding technique to isolate and quantify the effects of these feedbacks.

b. Decomposed response in the CESM overriding experiments

Making use of the overriding experiments (Table 2), we decompose the total climate response in CESM1(CAM5) into the parts due to wind stress change (Wstr), surface wind speed change (Wspd), and direct CO\textsubscript{2} effect (dirCO\textsubscript{2}) without any wind changes. The sum of these three parts (SUM) closely replicates the total response (see Figs. S1–S3 in the supplemental material; see also Figs 8 and 10). Since the wind speed change has an ignorable contribution to SHF and OHT variations (see Figs. S1–S3 and Figs 8 and 10), we will only focus on the wind stress effect and the direct CO\textsubscript{2} effect in the following.
We start with comparing the wind and direct CO$_2$ effects on MOC response to quadrupled CO$_2$. The poleward-intensified wind stress shifts the Deacon cell ($\psi^b$) poleward (in Wstr) and strengthens the cell by about 7S v (1S v = 10^6 m$^3$s$^{-1}$) at its maximum (Fig. 5e). The $\psi^b$ is enhanced because of increased isopycnal tilting and baroclinicity (Fig. 6b), and partially offsets the wind-driven Deacon cell (Fig. 5f). Consequently, the $\psi_{res}$ generally follows the changes in the Deacon cell, producing a poleward-intensified circulation (Fig. 5d). On the other hand, the direct CO$_2$ effect is of secondary importance in modifying the residual MOC (Fig. 5g). It flattens the isopycnal slope (Fig. 6c) and weakens the eddy-induced component of the MOC (Fig. 5i). However, this reduction in the eddy component is compensated (or overcompensated around 60°S) by a decrease in the mean-flow part (Fig. 5h).

Unlike the MOC response, the direct CO$_2$ effect has a much larger contribution to temperature response than the wind stress. Over the Southern Ocean, the total temperature response shows that the surface layers warm by over 3 K, and the warming decays with depth (Fig. 7a). The strongest penetration of the surface signal into the deeper ocean is around 45°S, where the downward Ekman pumping is strongest. The direct CO$_2$ effect produces a similar warming pattern (Fig. 7c) as the total response (Fig. 7a) and explains most of the warming. The wind stress changes also contribute to a subsurface warming but of a relatively small amplitude. By strengthening and shifting the Deacon cell, and hence the residual MOC poleward, the winds amplify the subsurface warming signal in the region between 40° and 50°S (with a warming maximum over 1 K) and suppress the signal at higher and lower latitudes (Fig. 7b). This result is consistent with Fyfe et al. (2007).

We further examine the wind and direct CO$_2$ effects in modifying OHT and its gradient. Consistent with the CMIP5 models (Fig. 8, gray curves), CESM1(CAM5) shows an anomalous equatorward OHT (Fig. 8a, black curve) that peaks around 54°S with OHT divergence and convergence on its poleward and equatorward flanks, respectively (see Fig. 10a, sky-blue curve). This OHT
The change is primarily due to the changes in OHT (Fig. 8b, black curve). The OHT* partially offsets the mean-flow part to the south of 45°S but strengthens it to the north (Fig. 8c, black curve). Note here, the increased southward eddy heat transport to the south of 45°S does not result from the change of eddy-induced MOC but is mostly accomplished through the advection of temperature anomalies by the climatological eddy-induced MOC. Compared to advective heat transports (OHT and OHT*), the change in OHT is small and localized mostly around 45°S (Fig. 8d, black curve).

Using the overriding technique, we split the total OHT response into the wind-driven and direct CO2-induced parts. Again, the OHT dominates both parts. Poleward-intensified surface winds generate a dipole-like OHT change: an anomalous equatorward (poleward) OHT to the south (north) of 45°S (Fig. 8b, blue curve). This wind-driven OHT change is primarily accomplished through an MOC change. The shift and strengthening of the wind-driven Deacon cell strengthens the MOC and the associated OHT south of 45°S and weakens them to the north (Fig. 8b, blue curve). On the other hand, the direct CO2 effect causes an anomalous equatorward OHT in most regions of the Southern Ocean, with a peak at 43°–58°S (Fig. 8b, red curve). Unlike its wind-driven counterpart, the direct CO2-induced OHT change is due to ocean warming (Fig. 7c). To the north of 62°S, most of the CO2-induced warming concentrates in the upper 1000 m (Fig. 7c) and is carried northward by the upper branch of the climatological mean MOC. Comparing the wind-driven and direct CO2-induced OHT changes, we find that 1) their

FIG. 4. Changes (shading) and preindustrial annual mean (contours) of (a) \( \psi \), (c) \( \psi^* \), and (e) \( \psi_{\text{res}} \) in response to quadrupled CO2 for CMIP5 model ensemble mean. (b),(d),(f) As in (a),(c),(e), but for CESM1(CAM5). The contour interval is 3 Sv, with zero contours thickened and solid (dashed) lines for positive (negative) contours.
magnitudes are on the same order (0.1–0.2 PW; 1 PW = 10^{15} W) and 2) they both transport heat equatorward up to 45°S but work against each other to the north of this latitude (Fig. 8b). These results implicate that the wind and direct CO$_2$ effects are of equal importance in shaping the OHT response over the Southern Ocean.

Besides the OHT variations, surface wind changes also play a pivotal role in shaping SHF and OHU over...
the Southern Ocean. In response to the poleward-intensified surface winds, the ocean gains heat (positive anomalous SHF) around 60°S (Figs. 9c,d), which is primarily related to an OHT divergence induced by enhanced wind-driven MOC (a point to return later). Meanwhile, the ocean loses heat (negative anomalous SHF) around 45°S. This is because anomalous upper-level convergent and subducting motion there warms the ocean surface and leads to oceanic heat loss via sensible and latent heat fluxes (Fig. 9d, blue curve).

In contrast, the direct CO2 effect brings about net heat gain over the Southern Ocean (Figs. 9e,f), with most heat entering the upwelling region (around 60°S) via sensible and latent heat fluxes (Fig. 9f, blue curve). This is predominantly the result of passive heat uptake by the background mean Southern Ocean circulation, the salient characteristic of which is a monotonic decrease with depth of temperature anomalies below the mixed layer in the open ocean. As the direct CO2-induced MOC change is secondary (although the eddy part is still...
Fig. 9. SHF change over the Southern Ocean in the (a) total, (c) Wstr, and (e) dirCO₂ responses for CESM1 (CAM5). Zonal-mean changes of SHF (black), SW + LW (orange-red), and SH + LH (blue) in the (b) total, (d) Wstr, and (f) dirCO₂ responses for CESM1(CAM5).
important), we could treat the direct CO$_2$-induced part as passive uptake [note here that this treatment is only approximately valid in the Southern Ocean, since the increasing CO$_2$ hardly alters the residual MOC in the Southern Ocean but can greatly change the MOC in the Atlantic; Liu et al. 2017; see also Marshall et al. (2015) for the rationale of this treatment] and the wind-driven part as active uptake. This is supported by a recent study (Garuba et al. 2018) that showed that passive ocean tracers coupled to the atmosphere under increasing CO$_2$ can produce almost the same pattern as that of the direct CO$_2$-induced heat uptake here (Fig. 9e), while active heat uptake derived from tracer experiments is very similar to the wind-driven heat uptake (Fig. 9e). Overall, comparing the wind-driven, the direct CO$_2$-induced, and the total OHU, we find that 1) the wind-driven part accounts for the total heat loss around 45$^\circ$S and 2) the wind-driven and direct CO$_2$-induced parts explain about one-third and two-thirds of the total heat gain around 60$^\circ$S, respectively (Figs. 9b,d,f, black curves).

Based on Eq. (8), we can close the heat budget and quantify the contributions of the wind-driven and direct CO$_2$-induced feedbacks to Southern Ocean heat uptake and storage. We first focus on the heat budget in the total response where the maximum surface heat gain at around 60$^\circ$S (Fig. 10a, black curve) is balanced mostly by an anomalous OHT divergence (Fig. 10a, sky-blue curve). This result is consistent with (Armour et al. 2016), indicating that the region where most heat enters is not the place where the ocean warms most. Following an
anomalous equatorward OHT (Fig. 8a), most of the heat is carried and stored north of 60°S (Fig. 10a, orange-red curve). The maximum of OHS occurs at 45°S at a rate of 0.38 ZJ yr⁻¹ (1 ZJ = 10²¹ J). It is significant that the OHS patterns are similar between CESM1(CAM5) (Fig. 10a, orange-red curve) and the CMIP5 models (Fig. 10a, orange curves), although the OHU and OHT patterns are recognizably different among these models (Fig. 10a).

To further quantify the contributions from the wind-driven and direct CO₂-induced processes, we examine the heat budgets related to both processes. We find that the poleward-shifted and intensified surface winds displace and strengthen the Deacon cell and residual MOC, thus leading to an OHT divergence (convergence) around 60°S (45°S). Meanwhile, the wind-induced feedback brings about a heat gain (loss) at 60°S (45°S) in the surface flux (Fig. 10b). As a result, the wind-driven OHS peaks at 46°S at a rate of 0.07 ZJ yr⁻¹ (° lat)⁻¹ and contributes to about one-fifth of the total OHS maximum (Fig. 10f, blue curve). When we compute the heat budget over the Southern Ocean (from the Antarctic coast to 34°S and from ocean surface to the bottom), we find that the wind-driven OHU is −0.9 ZJ yr⁻¹, which means that the poleward-shifted, intensified winds act to release heat from ocean to atmosphere. At the same time, the wind changes induce an anomalous OHT of −1.9 ZJ yr⁻¹ across 34°S by altering the MOC ($\psi_{\text{res}}$). Not only does this anomalous poleward heat transport compensate the wind-induced heat loss at the ocean surface, but it also results in a net heat storage of 1.0 ZJ yr⁻¹ that accounts for about one-eighth of basin-integrated OHS.

On the other hand, the direct CO₂-induced warming brings about an anomalous OHT divergence and a maximum heat gain at 60°S (Fig. 10d). This combination leads to an OHS peaking at 42°S at a rate of 0.30 ZJ yr⁻¹ (° lat)⁻¹, which yields about four-fifths of the total OHS maximum (Fig. 10f, red curve). The basin-integrated heat budget further shows that the direct CO₂ effect induces an OHU of 11.0 ZJ yr⁻¹ at the ocean surface and an OHT of 4.2 ZJ yr⁻¹ out of the basin across 34°S, leaving a net heat storage of 6.8 ZJ yr⁻¹ that accounts for about seven-eighths of basin-integrated OHS over the Southern Ocean. It is noteworthy that the peak of the wind-driven OHS is located about 4° south of the peak of the direct CO₂-induced OHS (Fig. 10f); that is, the poleward-intensified winds act to distribute oceanic heat to a more poleward location in a warming climate.

4. Conclusions and discussion

In this study, we explore the Southern Ocean heat uptake, redistribution, and storage in response to quadrupled CO₂. We first identify the general characteristics of climate response from 10 CMIP5 climate models, which show that most heat enters the Southern Ocean around 60°S but is stored around 45°S, as consistent with other studies (e.g., Frölicher et al. 2015; Armour et al. 2016). This result suggests that heat in the ocean interior is redistributed by the MOC, which, in turn, is related to surface wind changes. To isolate and quantify the wind effect, we apply an overriding technique to a climate model, CESM1(CAM5), and decompose the total climate response into the wind-driven and direct CO₂-induced parts. For the wind-driven part, the poleward-intensified surface winds shift and strengthen the Deacon cell and hence the residual MOC, which generates an anomalous OHT divergence (convergence) at 60°S (45°S). Further, in response to wind-driven circulation change, the Southern Ocean gains heat around 60°S but loses heat around 45°S. As a result, the wind-driven OHS peaks at 46°S at a rate of 0.07 ZJ yr⁻¹ (° lat)⁻¹ and contributes to about one-fifth of the total OHS maximum. On the other hand, the direct CO₂ effect barely modifies the residual MOC but accounts for most temperature variations, leading to anomalous equatorward OHT and heat gain in most regions of the Southern Ocean. The heat gain is maximum at 60°S where the anomalous OHT diverges. As a result, the direct CO₂-induced OHS peaks at 42°S instead of 60°S, at a rate of 0.30 ZJ yr⁻¹ (° lat)⁻¹ and contributes to four-fifths of the total OHS maximum.

Another interesting result of our study is the weakening of the eddy-induced MOC over the Southern Ocean in response to quadrupled CO₂ (Figs. 4c,d). In both CESM1(CAM5) and CMIP5 models, the eddy-induced MOC weakens when Southern Hemisphere westerly winds strengthen and shift poleward. Based on the CESM1(CAM5) overriding experiments, we find that the weakening of the eddy-induced MOC is primarily caused by the direct CO₂ effect. Two processes compete under quadrupled CO₂. On the one hand, the poleward-intensified winds enhance isopycnal tilting (Fig. 6b) and increase the eddy-induced MOC by 1 Sv (Fig. 5f). On the other hand, the direct CO₂-induced buoyancy change suppresses isopycnal tilting (Fig. 6b) and decreases the eddy-induced MOC by 2 Sv (Fig. 5f), which overshadows the former effect, manifesting in a weaker eddy-induced MOC.

Our heat budget analyses on CESM1(CAM5) and CMIP5 models reveal that both mean flow and eddy could be important to Southern Ocean heat uptake and redistribution. Particularly, the peak of the OHT divergence around 60°S is primarily driven by an enhanced mean-flow part rather than a reduced eddy part, which is in agreement with those previous studies identifying this
mechanism (Cai et al. 2010; Kuhlbrodt and Gregory 2012; Marshall and Zanna 2014; Bryan et al. 2014; Exarchou et al. 2015). On the other hand, both eddy (plus diffusion) and mean-flow parts contribute to an anomalous northward OHT to the north of 45°S and hence an OHT convergence around 45°S. This is consistent with Morrison et al. (2016).

We use a quadrupled CO2 forcing in this study for the purpose of a large signal-to-noise ratio and a clean single-factor view of future warming climate. In the real world, many other factors, such as ozone variations, can also play a role in Southern Ocean heat uptake and redistribution. As discussed in the introduction, a large portion of wind change (and hence the wind effect) can be attributed to ozone depletion during recent years. Nevertheless, the ozone is predicted to recover in the representative concentration pathway (RCP) scenarios so that the ozone effect will become increasingly weak, which justifies the usage of a single CO2 increase as a good approximation to future climate forcing. Here, we suspect that some climate responses, such as the weakened eddy-induced MOC, may depend on the strength or the form of forcing. In our case, a quadrupled CO2 is a strong forcing that allows a large buoyancy flux change to overcome the wind effect and dominate in regulating isopycnal tilting and baroclinicity over the Southern Ocean. However, the response of eddy-induced MOC is likely subject to change if under a weaker CO2 forcing or a combined forcing with ozone change.

We show a nice agreement between CESM1(CAM5) and other CMIP5 models in the response of Southern Ocean heat uptake, redistribution, and storage under quadrupled CO2 forcing. This is indeed significant since CMIP5 models are known to have biases in their climatological temperature gradients and background OHC (e.g., Schneider and Deser 2018; Kostov et al. 2017). The agreement between CESM1(CAM5) and the other CMIP5 models may be due to the dominant role of the direct CO2 effect that accounts for 80% of OHS. Any model biases in the climatological temperature gradients would affect only the remaining 20% of OHS because of wind-induced changes.

In this study, we do not discuss the effect of sea ice on Southern Ocean heat uptake and redistribution because this effect is not robust in CESM1(CAM5). Previous studies (e.g., Bitz et al. 2006) suggest that the sea ice response around Antarctica to increasing CO2 causes surface freshening and weakened convection, which further reduces the vertical and meridional temperature gradients, leading to a deep warming below 500 m that extends to several kilometers deep and spreads equatorward from the Antarctic sea ice area. This deep-warming pattern is present in some of the CMIP5 models (Fig. 2e) but not in CESM1(CAM5) (Fig. 2f). In CESM1(CAM5), the warming is limited to the upper 1000 m close to the coast of Antarctica. Exploring the sea ice effect will be important in our future work.
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