Enhanced Climate Change Response of Wintertime North Atlantic Circulation, Cyclonic Activity, and Precipitation in a 25-km-Resolution Global Atmospheric Model[Ⓞ]

ALEXANDER J. BAKER, REINHARD SCHIEMANN, KEVIN I. HODGES, AND MARIE-ESTELLE DEMORY

National Centre for Atmospheric Science and Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, Reading, United Kingdom

MATTHEW S. MIZIELINSKI AND MALCOLM J. ROBERTS

Met Office Hadley Centre, Exeter, United Kingdom

LEN C. SHAFFREY

National Centre for Atmospheric Science and Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, Reading, United Kingdom

JANE STRACHAN

Met Office Hadley Centre, Exeter, United Kingdom

PIER LUIGI VIDALE

National Centre for Atmospheric Science and Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, Reading, United Kingdom

(Manuscript received 22 January 2019, in final form 11 July 2019)

ABSTRACT

Wintertime midlatitude cyclone activity and precipitation are projected to increase across northern Europe and decrease over southern Europe, particularly over the western Mediterranean. Greater confidence in these regional projections may be established by their replication in state-of-the-art, high-resolution global climate models that resolve synoptic-scale dynamics. We evaluated the representation of the wintertime eddy-driven and subtropical jet streams, extratropical cyclone activity, and precipitation across the North Atlantic and Europe under historical (1985–2011) and RCP8.5 sea surface temperature forcing in an ensemble of atmosphere-only HadGEM3-GA3.0 simulations, where horizontal atmospheric resolution is increased from 135 to 25 km. Under RCP8.5, increased (decreased) frequency of northern (southern) eddy-driven jet occurrences and a basinwide poleward shift in the upper-level westerly flow are simulated. Increasing atmospheric resolution significantly enhances these climate change responses. At 25-km resolution, these enhanced changes in large-scale circulation amplify increases (decreases) in extratropical cyclone track density and mean intensity across the northern (southern) Euro-Atlantic region under RCP8.5. These synoptic changes with resolution impact the overall climate change response of mean and heavy winter precipitation: wetter (drier) conditions in northern (southern) Europe are also amplified at 25-km resolution. For example, the reduction in heavy precipitation simulated over the Iberian Peninsula under RCP8.5 is ~15% at 135 km but ~30% at 25-km resolution. Conversely, a shift to more frequent high extratropical cyclone (ETC)-associated precipitation rates is simulated over Scandinavia under RCP8.5, which is enhanced at 25 km. This study provides evidence that global atmospheric resolution may be a crucial consideration in European winter climate change projections.

[Ⓞ] Supplemental information related to this paper is available at the Journals Online website: <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-19-0054.s1>.

Corresponding author: Alexander J. Baker, alexander.baker@reading.ac.uk

1. Introduction

Across the Euro-Atlantic region, hazardous weather—particularly heavy precipitation and wind extremes—is primarily related to extratropical storm occurrence (e.g., [Huntingford et al. 2014](#)), which is modulated by variability in the westerly flow over the North Atlantic basin. Model projections of the behavior of such dynamical phenomena under climate change are uncertain, but greater confidence could be established by running global climate models at resolutions sufficient to resolve weather-scale processes, and thereby internally driven climate variability ([Roberts et al. 2018](#)), increasing understanding of Europe's future exposure to climate risk.

Synoptic conditions over the North Atlantic are governed by two jet streams: the upper-tropospheric subtropical jet, which arises from angular momentum transport by the Hadley circulation ([Schneider 2006](#)), and the lower-tropospheric eddy-driven jet, induced by eddy momentum flux from baroclinic waves ([Hoskins 1983](#)). The wintertime eddy-driven jet exhibits an observed trimodal regime behavior that is most pronounced during winter: southern ($\sim 35^{\circ}$ – 40° N), central ($\sim 42^{\circ}$ – 58° N), and northern ($\sim 53^{\circ}$ – 60° N) positions are occupied preferentially because transient eddy forcing acts to maintain the eddy-driven jet at a given latitude, and variability in this forcing causes meridional jet shifts ([Woollings et al. 2010](#)). Variability in this large-scale, zonal-mean circulation modulates weather regime frequency ([Madonna et al. 2017](#)) and steers midlatitude, extratropical cyclone (ETC) tracks ([Bengtsson et al. 2006](#); [Della-Marta and Pinto 2009](#); [Masato et al. 2016](#); [Pfahl et al. 2017](#); [Pinto et al. 2009](#); [Zappa and Shepherd 2017](#)). ETCs are synoptic-scale, low pressure systems whose cyclogenesis, propagation (generally poleward and eastward), decay, and cyclolysis occur within the midlatitude storm-track regions. ETC cyclogenesis occurs frequently over North America, where a strong meridional temperature gradient and thus high baroclinicity exists at the interface of subtropical and polar air masses (polar front). Subsequently, these synoptic disturbances develop into mature ETCs over the North Atlantic basin ([Pinto et al. 2009](#)). ETCs are important for the poleward transport of heat, moisture, and momentum in the atmospheric general circulation, reducing the equator-to-pole energy imbalance ([Kaspi and Schneider 2013](#); [Schneider 2006](#); [Shaw et al. 2016](#)), but they are also responsible for a substantial component of variability in winter precipitation and wind conditions across mid- and high-latitude regions ([Pfahl and Wernli 2012](#)). The climatological mean contribution of ETCs to European winter precipitation is $\sim 70\%$ ([Hawcroft et al. 2012](#)), and model simulations indicate increased ETC-associated precipitation by the end of

the twenty-first century ([Hawcroft et al. 2018](#)). Storm-track processes are therefore crucial for European hydroclimate and extreme event variability.

On seasonal to decadal time scales, the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO), the leading mode of natural variability in large-scale atmospheric circulation, storminess, and precipitation across the Euro-Atlantic region ([Pinto et al. 2009](#); [Wallace and Gutzler 1981](#); [Zveryaev 2004, 2006](#)), is dominated by positional variability of the North Atlantic jet and storm track ([Woollings et al. 2018](#)). Therefore, the ability of global climate models to capture variability in North Atlantic zonal-mean flow and ETC occurrence is critical for climate impact studies and projections at the regional scale. However, many models participating in phase 5 of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP5) are unable to capture the trimodality of the North Atlantic eddy-driven jet ([Iqbal et al. 2018](#)). This highlights the importance of resolution sufficiency and of performing climate simulations at a horizontal resolution sufficient to resolve weather systems and their feedback on large-scale circulation and variability.

There is evidence from both global and regional modeling studies that global atmospheric model resolution is important for representing the North Atlantic storm track, cyclonic activity, and precipitation, indicating that resolution is an important modeling consideration in the climate change projection of these phenomena. Multimodel climate change projections from CMIP5 show a decline in winter cyclonic activity and precipitation over southern Europe, particularly the western Mediterranean, and an increase over northwestern Europe ([Zappa et al. 2013b](#)). [Shepherd \(2014\)](#) highlighted the non-robustness of the winter circulation response to climate change over the North Atlantic in global CMIP5 models, hypothesizing that differing atmospheric model resolution is an important factor. [Zappa et al. \(2013a\)](#) showed that CMIP5 models with the best representation of the North Atlantic storm track are those of highest resolution within the CMIP5 ensemble, indicating that high atmospheric resolution is necessary to accurately capture the position and tilt of the North Atlantic storm track, as well as variability in the downstream impacts of North Atlantic storminess. Global EC-EARTH simulations performed at resolutions of ~ 112 and ~ 25 km revealed resolution sensitivity of European precipitation due to the resolution sensitivity of the simulated North Atlantic storm track, particularly its more realistic tilt ([van Haren et al. 2015](#)). Global historical and future climate ECHAM5 simulations at equivalent resolutions of ~ 60 and ~ 40 km show that the responses of ETC intensity and wind speed maxima to warming are partly dependent on model resolution and, for both climates, the impact of resolution exceeds the

climate change response at either resolution (Champion et al. 2011). These studies provide evidence that increases in atmospheric resolution improve simulated storm-track dynamics.

To improve mean and extreme climate predictions, a quantitative assessment of the ability of global climate models to simulate weather-scale processes is required, but such processes are driven by relatively uncertain circulation dynamics (Woollings 2010; Zappa and Shepherd 2017). High model resolution is particularly important for Europe, a populous region where synoptic systems interact with complex coastlines, orography, and the Mediterranean Sea. Global and regional modeling studies simulating present-climate precipitation have demonstrated the resolution sensitivity of mean and extreme European precipitation due to orography (Delworth et al. 2012; Prein et al. 2016; Schiemann et al. 2018) and models' representation of the North Atlantic storm track (van Haren et al. 2015). Clearly, high-fidelity model representations of boundary conditions, large-scale atmospheric circulation, storm-track processes, and synoptic phenomena are all key to simulating climate change patterns across the Euro-Atlantic region, highlighting the value of global models. Current high-performance computing and data management facilities now allow multidecadal simulations at effective resolutions adequate to resolve synoptic phenomena (Mizielinski et al. 2014; van Haren et al. 2015; Zhang et al. 2016).

Overall, global and regional modeling efforts highlight the need to quantify the impact of atmospheric resolution on North Atlantic circulation and hydroclimate in isolation by the analysis of global climate model experiments designed to quantify the impact of resolution. In this study, we have quantified the impact of increasing global atmospheric model resolution on the response of Euro-Atlantic circulation, storminess, and precipitation to climate change. We focus on boreal winter [December–February (DJF)], when midlatitude storm tracks are most active and the majority of precipitation occurs over the midlatitude North Atlantic. The representation of wintertime dynamics in climate models is important for their simulation of other canonical seasons. For example, models' ability to capture extratropical winter precipitation impacts their ability to simulate spring and summer soil moisture levels and, in turn, droughts and heat waves, highlighting the importance of accurately reproducing climatological cold season precipitation, its variability, and the dynamical phenomena with which it is associated (Hawcroft et al. 2016; Vidale et al. 2007). The aims of this study are as follows: (i) to compare large-scale circulation, ETC activity, and precipitation over the Euro-Atlantic domain simulated at 135 km, a resolution typical of CMIP5 GCMs, with that

simulated at 60- and 25-km resolution; (ii) to identify regions where resolution impacts both the historical mean state and climate change response; and (iii) to consider the implications for climate change impact studies for this region ahead of phase 6 of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP6), particularly HighResMIP (Haarsma et al. 2016). To make this contribution, we analyzed an ensemble of global historical and future climate model simulations from a single model, where only horizontal atmospheric resolution is increased. This allows us to isolate the role of atmospheric resolution without needing to account for intermodel disparities in formulation, complexity, or tuning, all issues that hinder resolution sensitivity studies (Matsueda and Palmer 2011). This paper continues with a description of the model ensemble, North Atlantic jet analysis techniques, and ETC tracking and analysis in section 2. Sequentially, we examine the impact of increased atmospheric model resolution under historical and RCP8.5 forcings on the North Atlantic zonal-mean circulation (section 3), ETC activity (section 4), and precipitation (section 5). We discuss these results in section 6 and summarize our conclusions in section 7.

2. Data and methods

a. Model ensemble

We analyzed an ensemble of global atmosphere-only simulations performed with Hadley Centre Global Environmental Model (version 3) Global Atmosphere 3.0 (HadGEM3-GA3.0; Walters et al. 2011). These simulations are part of the United Kingdom on Partnership for Advanced Computing in Europe (PRACE): Weather-Resolving Simulations of Climate for Global Environmental Risk (UPSCALE) project (Mizielinski et al. 2014), which offers an opportunity to evaluate the sensitivity of aspects of global and regional climate and their physical drivers to horizontal atmospheric resolution. UPSCALE simulations were performed for the period 1985–2011 at N96, N216, and N512 resolutions, where N_x denotes a global latitude and longitude grid of $1.5x + 1$ and $2x$ cells, respectively. Corresponding nominal midlatitude grid spacings (at 50° latitude) are 135, 60, and 25 km, respectively. All simulations have 85 vertical levels and are forced by daily Met Office Operational SST and Sea Ice Analysis (OSTIA) data (Donlon et al. 2012), which were regridded from their native resolution of $1/20^\circ$ to the three atmospheric resolutions (Fig. S1 in the online supplemental material), and time-varying forcings were defined following AMIP-II protocols (Mizielinski et al. 2014). The historical climate ensemble size for the N96, N216, and N512 resolutions is five, three, and five members, respectively. Future (end of the twenty-first century)

climate change simulations were configured using a time-slice methodology forced by the OSTIA historical SST field plus the SST change between the periods 1990–2010 and 2090–2110 simulated by the HadGEM2 Earth System under the IPCC representative concentration pathway 8.5 (RCP8.5) scenario. For regions experiencing sea ice loss under RCP8.5 forcing, SST values were interpolated from HadGEM2. At each resolution, three future climate ensemble members were run. Beyond minor adjustments to ensure numerical stability at each resolution, which are given in Mizielinski et al. (2014), no model retuning was performed (Demory et al. 2014).

For high-resolution global models, there is necessarily a trade-off between resolution and ensemble size, constrained by computational expense. Nevertheless, the UPSCALE project's experimental design—the combination of model resolution range, simulation length, availability of multiple ensemble members for better event sampling, and the lack of model retuning—allowed us to isolate the role of atmospheric resolution in the simulated historical climate and under RCP8.5.

b. Eddy-driven jet variability analysis

The action of transient eddy forcing to accelerate westerly winds occurs throughout the depth of the troposphere, but is particularly strong at low levels (Hoskins et al. 1983). The regime behavior of the North Atlantic eddy-driven jet is examined in HadGEM3-GA3.0 following the method of Woollings et al. (2010). Daily zonal wind data were averaged over the 925, 850, and 700 hPa levels, then averaged zonally over a North Atlantic longitudinal sector (15°–75°N, 0°–60°W). The use of three rather than four levels does not significantly affect our results (see supplemental material, section S1). A low-pass Lanczos filter (Duchon 1979) was applied with a cutoff value of 10 days to remove wind features associated with synoptic systems. The latitudes at which maxima of the resulting zonal-mean westerly wind profiles occur are defined as jet latitudes. Grid cells where orography exceeds 750 m were masked to avoid the inclusion of spurious subsurface winds (e.g., over southernmost Greenland) in this analysis, particularly at the lowest isobaric level of 925 hPa (see supplemental material). We also examined the inverse relationship between jet latitude variance and jet speed. Following Woollings et al. (2018), we computed the standard deviation of jet latitude binned by jet speed. We computed jet speed as the square root of the sum of the squares of the zonal and meridional winds, which accounts for instances when the magnitude of jet speed is dominated by the meridional component (e.g., due to jet meandering). To maximize sampling in these analyses, the low-pass-filtered wind time series for all ensemble members were concatenated

for each resolution, taking advantage of the UPSCALE ensemble size. We compared model results for both of these analyses with the ERA5, ERA-Interim (Dee et al. 2011), and NCEP CFSR (Saha et al. 2010) reanalyses for the period 1979–2016. All data were regridded to the N96 grid to isolate resolution sensitivity from any improved sampling at higher resolution.

c. Extratropical cyclone tracking

To identify and track the evolution of ETCs in this study, we used the objective feature-tracking algorithm—TRACK—of Hodges (1995, 1999), previously applied to reanalyses (Dacre and Gray 2013; Hawcroft et al. 2012; e.g., Hoskins and Hodges 2002) and model simulations of both present (e.g., Catto et al. 2010; Hawcroft et al. 2016) and future climates (e.g., Zappa et al. 2015; Zappa et al. 2013a). The Lagrangian TRACK algorithm was applied to 6-hourly, spectrally filtered vorticity maxima at the 850-hPa level. Wavenumbers 0–5 and >42 are filtered out (i.e., truncation to T42 resolution, retaining wavenumbers 6–42), which excludes large-scale planetary motion and small-scale noise, respectively. Final ETC tracks represent only those identified features that propagate at least 1000 km and whose vorticity maxima exceed $1.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and lifetime exceeds 2 days. These postprocessing criteria exclude spurious stationary features in the vorticity field. Statistical ETC track density and mean intensity (as measured by vorticity) metrics were computed according to Hoskins and Hodges (2002) and compared with ERA-Interim reanalysis data for the period 1979–2016 (Dee et al. 2011).

d. Quantification of cyclone-associated precipitation

To associate precipitation to tracked ETCs, a radial cap was defined around each ETC center at each 6-hourly time step and precipitation within this cap is defined as cyclone-associated. The sensitivity of this analysis to cap radius was investigated by Hawcroft et al. (2012), who established the need to define cap radius according to the season and ocean basin in question. Accordingly, following Hawcroft et al. (2012) and Zappa et al. (2015, 2013a), we employed a constant cap radius of 10° in our analysis of winter-time North Atlantic ETCs, which is close to that used by Hawcroft et al. (2012) and minimizes overlap between caps at a given time step.

e. Significance testing

The statistical significance (above the 95% level) of model–observation or interresolution (i.e., high minus low resolution) differences was determined with respect to interannual variability by applying Welch's unequal variances *t* test.

FIG. 1. Regime behavior of the North Atlantic eddy-driven jet stream, measured by jet latitude, as represented in the ERA5 (black), ERA-Interim (pale gray), and NCEP CFSR (gray) reanalyses and simulated by HadGEM3-GA3.0 for (top) historical climate, (middle) under RCP8.5, and (bottom) the difference (i.e., RCP8.5 minus historical). At each model resolution, N96 (orange), N216 (teal), and N512 (blue) ensemble members were concatenated to maximize sampling. Unit is normalized frequency density and plotted as a function of latitude. In the bottom panel, frequency = 0 (horizontal black line) is shown.

3. Resolution sensitivity of Euro-Atlantic zonal-mean circulation under historical climate and RCP8.5

In this section, we evaluate the mean state of the eddy-driven and subtropical components of North Atlantic westerly flow simulated by HadGEM3-GA3.0 under historical and RCP8.5 SST forcings, focusing on the impact of increased atmospheric resolution.

a. North Atlantic eddy-driven jet

We compared the representation of the trimodal regime behavior of the wintertime North Atlantic eddy-driven jet latitude across the historical climate simulations with the ERA5, ERA-Interim, and NCEP CFSR reanalyses (Fig. 1). Overall, the trimodal behavior of the eddy-driven jet is captured by the historical HadGEM3-GA3.0 simulations at each of the three resolutions considered here. However, increasing resolution from N96 to N512 decreases the southern jet regime frequency (matching all three reanalyses more closely), increases the central regime frequency (exceeding the reanalyses), and causes a double peak in the northern regime frequency, which is not present in the lower-resolution reanalyses or N96 simulations (Fig. 1, upper

FIG. 2. Variance in North Atlantic eddy-driven jet stream latitude ($\sigma_{\text{jet-latitude}}$) as a function of jet speed, as represented in the ERA5 (black), ERA-Interim (pale gray), and NCEP CFSR (gray) reanalyses and simulated by HadGEM3-GA3.0. In this analysis, the standard deviation of daily jet latitude is binned according to jet speed (shown as percentiles) with a bin width of 10%, following Woollings et al. (2018). Curves for the historical climate (solid lines) and RCP8.5 (dashed lines) integrations at N96 (orange), N216 (teal), and N512 (blue) resolutions were constructed by concatenating ensemble members to maximize sampling.

panel). This double peak is, however, present in the latest ERA5 reanalysis, whose resolution (30 km) is comparable to N512, and is likely related to a better representation of orographic boundary conditions (i.e., Greenland and Iceland orography), known to influence where peaks in the wintertime frequency of low-level westerly jet events occur over the North Atlantic (Woollings et al. 2010). Despite the presence of a central peak bias at N512, increased resolution improves the overall frequency distribution of eddy-driven jet latitude and refines our view of northern regime behavior arising from interaction with orography. Moreover, the observed inverse relationship between jet latitude variance and jet speed is well captured (compared with all three reanalyses) across each model resolution under historical SST forcing (Fig. 2).

The latitudinal response of the eddy-driven jet to RCP8.5 in HadGEM3-GA3.0 is a pronounced poleward shift, shown clearly by zonally averaged jet latitude probability density (Fig. 1, middle panel). At N96, the trimodal jet latitude distribution is significantly different from the historical simulations, with a smoothing out of the southern regime, a decrease in the peak frequency of the central regime, which also exhibits a broader shape, and an increase in the frequency of the northern regime. The southern regime response is further reduced at N216 and N512 resolutions. The northern regime response is increased markedly at N512 and also exhibits a double peak. Moreover, the inverse relationship between jet latitude

FIG. 3. Resolution sensitivity of the ensemble-mean upper-tropospheric (250 hPa) winter westerly zonal wind (m s^{-1}) over the North Atlantic under historical SST forcing (1985–2011). (bottom left) N96, (center) N216, and (top right) N512, with corresponding resolution differences in the bottom right panels. Stippling indicates statistically significant resolution differences at the 95% level.

variance and jet speed changes under RCP8.5 (Fig. 2). Jet latitude variability is reduced across all jet speed percentiles, with the largest such change simulated at N512. Overall, the probability density function of eddy-driven jet latitude is redistributed poleward (Fig. 1) and is less variable (Fig. 2) under RCP8.5, both responses forced by increased SST, which dominates jet shift in the Northern Hemisphere (Grise and Polvani 2014). These results indicate that increasing atmospheric resolution amplifies these behaviors under climate change, further discussion and interpretation of which is presented in the subsequent sections. Additionally, our results are consistent with Matsueda and Palmer (2011), who used the JMA Global Spectral Model (GSM) to show that coarse resolution (180 km) may underestimate the magnitude of the climate change response of North Atlantic westerly flow at 850 hPa, which is increased significantly by increasing resolution to 20 km.

b. North Atlantic subtropical jet

Historical HadGEM3-GA3.0 simulations capture the wintertime climatological upper-tropospheric (250 hPa)

zonal wind field over the North Atlantic basin. Biases of up to $\sim 4 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ (vs ERA-Interim) over this region are statistically insignificant with respect to interannual variability, and particularly low over the poleward flank of the subtropical jet (Fig. S2). Additionally, a localized positive bias east of the Mediterranean Sea at N96 is reduced in spatial extent at N512. At N512, North Atlantic zonal flow exhibits a southwest–northeast tilt compared with the more zonal orientation simulated at N96 (Fig. 3), which is likely due to the improved representation of orographic boundary conditions at this resolution (Fig. S3) allowing more realistic simulation of westerly flow incident on the Rocky Mountains. Increasing resolution also enhances the zonal wind over northern Europe and reduces it over southeastern Europe, resembling a positive winter NAO-like pattern (Fig. 3). Over the North Atlantic, dipolar patterns of opposite sign are seen in the N216–N96 and N512–N216 difference maps, but these differences are largely statistically insignificant at the 95% level.

The RCP8.5 response of the upper-tropospheric zonal wind field over the North Atlantic simulated by

FIG. 4. Resolution sensitivity of the ensemble-mean upper-tropospheric (250 hPa) winter westerly zonal wind response to RCP8.5 (m s^{-1}) over the North Atlantic. Panel layout as per Fig. 3. The vertical cyan lines drawn at 40°W and 0° in the N96 panel indicate the sector averaged in Fig. 5. Stippling indicates that the climate change response or resolution difference is statistically significant at the 95% level.

HadGEM3-GA3.0 is a pronounced basinwide poleward shift and eastward extension (Fig. 4). This response is enhanced when resolution is increased in HadGEM3-GA3.0 from N96 to N512, particularly over the eastern North Atlantic and Mediterranean Basin. This spatial pattern of resolution sensitivity for N512–N96 resembles that of the historical climate (Fig. 3), indicating that the resolution sensitivity of the mean state zonal flow may impact that of the climate change response. Vertical (latitude–height) sections of the zonal wind field, averaged over the eastern Atlantic (0° – 40°W), show this northward shift is simulated throughout the troposphere (Fig. 5). Under RCP8.5, the region wherein zonal wind speed at 250 hPa exceeds 30 m s^{-1} extends farther east over the northeastern North Atlantic than under historical climate forcing. At N216 and N512, this northeastward extension toward northern Europe is enhanced; that is to say, these high wind speeds are projected to occur farther east over Europe at increased resolution (Fig. S4), indicating upper-level, subtropical

jet extension as resolution is increased from N96 to N512.

We undertook a correlation analysis to establish whether changes in the wintertime tropical Atlantic Hadley circulation response to RCP8.5 with resolution could provide more insight into the resolution dependence of the subtropical jet response under RCP8.5. Specifically, we correlated interseasonal variability in tropical Atlantic vertical velocity (i.e., Lagrangian tendency of atmospheric air pressure ω at 500 hPa) with zonal wind. However, this analysis (not shown) revealed no evidence for a tropical cause of the resolution-dependent behavior of North Atlantic zonal flow seen in these HadGEM3-GA3.0 integrations. While this analysis alone does not rule out any influence of the tropics, we limit the scope of this study to an examination of the consequences of a resolution-dependent flow response to warming for storm-track phenomena and precipitation, focusing on significant differences between N96 and N512 resolutions.

FIG. 5. Vertical profile of the ensemble-mean winter westerly zonal wind response to RCP8.5 (m s^{-1}), averaged zonally over the eastern North Atlantic between 0° and 40°W . Panel layout as per Fig. 3. Note that the vertical pressure axis is linear but only certain conventional pressure levels are labeled (in hPa) for clarity. Diagonal hatching indicates that the climate change response or resolution difference is statistically significant at the 95% level.

4. Resolution sensitivity of extratropical cyclone activity under historical climate and RCP8.5

ETCs, steered by the atmospheric flow over the North Atlantic, are primarily responsible for high-impact weather—namely, strong wind and heavy precipitation events—downstream over Europe (Madonna et al. 2017). Strengthened upper-level winds over the North Atlantic may increase the meridional propagation of midlatitude cyclonic systems (Tamarin-Brodsky and Kaspi 2017) and, given the results presented in section 3, we therefore expect ETC activity simulated by HadGEM3-GA3.0 to change with resolution. To quantify this, we evaluated

Euro-Atlantic ETC activity simulated by HadGEM3-GA3.0 under historical and RCP8.5 SST forcings.

An ensemble-mean HadGEM3-GA3.0 bias in ETC track density (vs ERA-Interim data; Fig. S5) of $\sim 15\%$ at N96 resolution is statistically significant only in a confined region of the North and Norwegian Seas, and this bias is reduced to $\sim 7\%$ at N512. This improvement of simulated ETC activity with increased resolution highlights the necessity of resolving synoptic phenomena in studies of wintertime European hydroclimate. For the historical climate, HadGEM3-GA3.0 simulates higher ETC track density at N512 across the downstream region of the North Atlantic storm track, Scandinavia, and

FIG. 6. Resolution sensitivity of ensemble-mean North Atlantic winter storm track, as measured by (top) ETC track density and (bottom) mean ETC vorticity intensity for historical climate simulations (1986–2011). Shown are (left) N96, (center) N512, and (right) the difference between these resolutions. Track density unit is cyclone transits per month per unit area (equivalent to a cyclone-centered 5° spherical cap). Mean intensity unit is vorticity scaled by 10^5 s^{-1} . Stippling indicates statistically significant resolution differences at the 95% level.

the Iberian Peninsula than at N96 (Fig. 6). Climatologically, ~ 1 to ~ 3 additional cyclones per month per unit area (5° radial cap) are simulated over these regions at N512 compared with N96. The increases with resolution over Iberia and northwest Africa, where absolute values at N96 are low (< 3 cyclones month $^{-1}$), are significant. There is evidence from idealized experiments (Brayshaw et al. 2011) and GCM simulations (O'Reilly et al. 2017; Small et al. 2019) linking the absolute SST and the Gulf Stream SST front sharpness to increased downstream eddy activity. In HadGEM3-GA3.0, the track density increase with resolution is concentrated downstream over the northeastern North Atlantic and likely driven by the increased sharpness of the OSTIA SST gradients from N96 to N512 (Fig. S1). Increased track density is also simulated over the subtropical Atlantic and northwest Africa at N512, reflecting the detection of vorticity maxima over these lower-latitude regions, where absolute densities are relatively low. A reduction of ETC track density is simulated downstream of orography at N512 compared with N96, particularly over the northern Mediterranean (downstream of the Alps) and east of southern Greenland (Fig. 6), which is attributable to N512 orography (Fig. S3).

Under RCP8.5, the ensemble-mean spatial response of ETC track density simulated at N96 resolution qualitatively resembles that of the CMIP5 multimodel ensemble (Zappa et al. 2013b): a tripolar pattern in the track density response is projected over the Euro-Atlantic region, with a decrease over the Mediterranean, increased activity over northern Europe, particularly the United Kingdom and

Scandinavia, and decreased track density in high Arctic latitudes (Fig. 7, upper row). Similar responses over northern Europe were simulated by Bengtsson et al. (2006) using ECHAM5. At N512, the magnitude of the ETC track density response is enhanced over northern–western Europe, the western Mediterranean, and the western North Atlantic (Fig. 7, upper row). Under RCP8.5, the ensemble-mean spatial response of ETC mean intensity simulated at N96 resolution is a dipolar pattern, with decreased intensity over the central North Atlantic, western Europe, and the Mediterranean, and an increase over the northeastern North Atlantic and Scandinavia (Fig. 7, lower row). This dipolar spatial structure in ETC intensity response to RCP8.5 is also simulated at N512, but the magnitudes of these responses are enhanced, particularly west of Iberia and north of the United Kingdom and over Scandinavia (Fig. 7, lower row). Consistent with this is an enhanced response in upward vertical velocity (i.e., negative ω) simulated north of $\sim 60^\circ\text{N}$ over the northeastern North Atlantic under RCP8.5 at N512 (Fig. S6), which we attribute to more frequent ETC transits over this region at N512 compared with the lower resolutions based on evidence for moisture-driven ω asymmetry (Tamarin-Brodsky and Hadas 2019).

5. Resolution sensitivity of Euro-Atlantic precipitation under historical climate and RCP8.5

Given that ETCs are the primary contributor to winter precipitation, particularly over northern Europe (Hawcroft et al. 2012), the resolution dependence of the

FIG. 7. Resolution sensitivity of the ensemble-mean response of winter (top) ETC track density and (bottom) mean intensity to the RCP8.5 scenario over the North Atlantic. Panel layout as per Fig. 6. Stippling indicates that the climate change response or resolution sensitivity is statistically significant at the 95% level. Track density unit is cyclone transits per month per unit area (equivalent to a cyclone-centered 5° spherical cap). Mean intensity unit is vorticity scaled by 10^5 s^{-1} .

simulated ETC track density and mean intensity responses to RCP8.5 is expected to impact projected precipitation. Schiemann et al. (2018) showed that present-climate mean and extreme European precipitation are better represented at N512 (see supplemental material, section S2, Figs. S7 and S8), enabling examination of differences in projected precipitation under climate change at each model resolution. To this end, we quantified the impact of increased resolution on ETC-associated and total mean and extreme precipitation in the historical and RCP8.5 HadGEM3-GA3.0 simulations.

a. Extratropical cyclone-associated precipitation

Based on tracked ETCs, we decomposed Euro-Atlantic precipitation into cyclone- and non-cyclone-associated components, where the former is determined according to the Hawcroft et al. (2012) method and the latter defined as total minus cyclone-associated precipitation. For the historical climate, as expected, HadGEM3-GA3.0 simulates the highest ETC-associated precipitation values over the storm-track region and lower values on the poleward and equatorward flanks of the storm track (Fig. 8). This spatial pattern is consistent across the resolution hierarchy and closely resembles that computed from ERA-Interim (Fig. S9, top panel). A negative bias in the magnitude of ensemble-mean ETC-associated precipitation exists over the North Atlantic storm track, which is progressively reduced at N216 and N512 resolution, particularly over the downstream storm-track region (Fig. S9), highlighting the value of 25-km resolution in improving the fidelity of simulated precipitation associated

with synoptic systems. There are limitations in using ERA-Interim precipitation, which is a forecast, rather than analyzed, field. However, Pfahl and Wernli (2012) compared ERA-Interim precipitation flux data with satellite-derived estimates, concluding that, excepting high-intensity events, precipitation sufficiently well captured by the ERA-Interim forecast model to allow analysis of cyclone-associated precipitation. Use of 6-hourly ERA-Interim precipitation avoids the need to either evaluate HadGEM3-GA3.0 only over the tropical and subtropical regions covered by satellite products or degrade 6-hourly ETC track data to a daily frequency for comparison with global observed precipitation datasets (e.g., GPCP).

At N512 resolution, significantly higher ETC-associated precipitation is simulated over much of the North Atlantic compared with N96 (Fig. 8), reflecting the spatial pattern of resolution sensitivity in track density (Fig. 6) and corresponding to the region of reduced ETC-associated precipitation bias (Fig. S9). This is also seen over Iberia, the United Kingdom, and orographic regions (Scandinavian mountains and Alps). Significantly reduced ETC-associated precipitation is simulated at N512 over continental mainland Europe and downstream of orography, particularly east of Greenland, the Alps, the Apennines, and the eastern Mediterranean Basin (Fig. 8, upper row). The contribution of ETC-associated precipitation to total precipitation in the downstream North Atlantic storm-track region and Norwegian Sea is $\sim 5\%$ greater at N512 (Fig. 8, lower row), again reflecting the spatial pattern of resolution sensitivity in ETC track density (Fig. 6) and corresponding to the region of reduced ETC-associated precipitation bias (Fig. S9).

FIG. 8. Resolution sensitivity of ensemble-mean North Atlantic winter (top) ETC-associated precipitation (mm day^{-1}) and (bottom) ETC contribution to total precipitation (%) for historical climate simulations (1986–2011). Panel layout as per Fig. 6. Stippling indicates statistically significant resolution sensitivity at the 95% level.

Under RCP8.5, CMIP5 models project approximately a doubling of 99th percentile ETC-associated precipitation over eastern North America and northern Europe, but changes over the Mediterranean are comparatively uncertain (Hawcroft et al. 2018). In HadGEM3-GA3.0, ETC-associated precipitation increases under RCP8.5 across northeastern North America, northern Europe, and high-latitude regions, and a significant decrease is simulated over the Mediterranean (Fig. 9). However, increased resolution has little overall impact on these ensemble-mean projections for the North Atlantic, except for orographic European regions (Fig. 9, upper row). The projected contribution of ETC-associated precipitation to total precipitation is reduced across central and southern Europe under RCP8.5 at each resolution (Fig. 9, lower row). Interestingly, a less negative response is simulated over Scandinavia at N512 resolution (Fig. 9) due to the enhanced track density increase under RCP8.5 simulated over this region (Fig. 7). Overall, the ensemble-mean RCP8.5 response is greater than the resolution sensitivity of ETC-associated precipitation by a factor of approximately 2. Areas of statistical significance are highly localized, which is a firm indication that, at least in these integrations, ETC track density shifts, rather than changes in mean ETC-associated precipitation, explain spatial patterns of resolution sensitivity in the climate change response of Euro-Atlantic precipitation discussed in section 5b.

The role of resolution in the simulated response of ETC-associated precipitation to RCP8.5 emerges at smaller spatial scales and when a range of precipitation rates is considered. We quantified the impact of

increased resolution on area-averaged ETC-associated precipitation rates over regions where statistically significant changes are projected by CMIP5 as well as in our HadGEM3-GA3.0 simulations: Scandinavia, the United Kingdom, Iberia, and the Mediterranean. The frequency of ETC-associated precipitation over Scandinavia and the United Kingdom simulated at N96 increases under RCP8.5, and a larger increase is simulated at N512 (Fig. 10) for precipitation rates exceeding $\sim 10 \text{ mm day}^{-1}$. These results are consistent with the ECHAM5 simulations of Champion et al. (2011), which showed an increase in the frequency of area-averaged, ETC-associated heavy precipitation events in response to climate change simulated at an atmospheric resolution of 60 km, increasing further at 40 km. However, our results, which span a larger range in resolution, provide evidence that the impact of increasing atmospheric resolution on enhancing the ETC-associated precipitation response over northern Europe is spatially variable. Conversely, the projected decrease in ETC-associated precipitation over Iberia and the Mediterranean under RCP8.5 is indistinguishable between the resolutions considered here. A recent analysis of the added value of high-resolution in simulating present-climate daily precipitation indicates that the coarsest best resolution for the Mediterranean region is uncertain and compounded by observational uncertainty (Roberts et al. 2018).

b. Response of European total precipitation to RCP8.5

The CMIP5 multimodel mean response of wintertime mean precipitation to RCP8.5 exhibits a large-scale

FIG. 9. Resolution sensitivity of the responses of ensemble-mean North Atlantic winter (top) ETC-associated precipitation (mm day^{-1}) and (bottom) ETC contribution to total precipitation (%) to RCP8.5. Panel layout as per Fig. 6. Stippling indicates that the climate change response or resolution sensitivity is statistically significant at the 95% level.

dipolar pattern of drying across the Mediterranean and southern Europe and wetter conditions across northern Europe (Zappa et al. 2013a). HadGEM3-GA3.0 simulates a similar spatial pattern in both mean and heavy winter precipitation at N96 resolution, which, as expected, resembles that of ETC-associated precipitation (Fig. 11) because ETCs are primarily responsible for midlatitude precipitation. Increasing resolution from N96 to N216 enhances the RCP8.5 precipitation increase over the Scandinavian mountains and the reduction projected over the Iberian Peninsula and over an area of ocean west of Europe (not shown). Further increasing resolution to N512 enhances this overall dipolar climate change response pattern, particularly over the Norwegian Sea and Iberia (Fig. 11). This enhancement of the RCP8.5 response with resolution is significant when tested against interannual variability. Averaged over European subregions, interannual variability in total heavy precipitation simulated by HadGEM3-GA3.0 under RCP8.5 is significantly and positively correlated with ETC track density over the eastern North Atlantic at each resolution (Fig. S10), indicating that patterns of resolution dependence in total precipitation relate directly to changes in upstream ETC activity with resolution. However, this cannot be fully explained by the ETC-associated component of precipitation alone because (i) ETCs are the dominant, but not the only, source of Euro-Atlantic precipitation and (ii) the contribution of ETC-associated precipitation to the total decreases under RCP8.5 in HadGEM3-GA3.0 (Fig. 9). Nevertheless,

the mean and heavy precipitation responses simulated at each resolution match those of ETC activity.

Finally, we computed area-average percentage changes in ETC track density and associated precipitation as well as mean and 95th percentile precipitation (P95) over Scandinavia, the Iberian Peninsula, the Mediterranean, and the United Kingdom (Fig. 12). For these regions, we find that area-averaged responses in these quantities simulated at N96 and N512 are generally distinct, but the separation between these resolutions and the intermediate N216 is more variable. For example, the mean precipitation response over Scandinavia shows little change with resolution (Fig. 12a), but responses in P95, ETC frequency and ETC-associated precipitation all increase with resolution (Figs. 12c,d). The track density response for Scandinavia is slightly negative ($\sim -3\%$) at N96 but positive ($\sim 10\%$) at N512. For Iberia, the RCP8.5 response is greater for mean precipitation than P95, but the separation between resolutions is greater for P95. The Iberian P95 change is particularly sensitive to resolution: -12% at N96 and -27% at N512. Projected decreases in track density over Iberia and the Mediterranean at N96 decrease further at N512. These results (i) indicate that ETC-associated precipitation cannot fully explain the overall resolution-dependent precipitation responses to RCP8.5 and (ii) illustrate the complexity of the role of resolution in subregional-scale hydroclimate, suggesting the impact relevance of increased resolution varies spatially.

To summarize, the responses of ETC activity and precipitation to RCP8.5 in HadGEM3-GA3.0 exhibit

FIG. 10. Domain-mean frequency distribution of ETC-associated precipitation over (a) Scandinavia, (b) Iberia, (c) the United Kingdom, and (d) the Mediterranean under historical (solid lines) and RCP8.5 forcing (dashed lines). Ensemble members were concatenated to maximize sampling of high precipitation rates. Colors are as per Fig. 1.

dipolar spatial patterns that are generally consistent with CMIP5 but that are enhanced significantly at N512 resolution. This resolution dependence results from an enhanced poleward shift and downstream, northeastward extension of both the eddy-driven and subtropical components of the North Atlantic zonal-mean westerly flow simulated at 25-km atmospheric resolution.

6. Discussion

In HadGEM3-GA3.0, the simulated latitudinal distribution of the North Atlantic eddy-driven jet shifts poleward in response to RCP8.5, with corresponding decreases in southern jet occurrences. This poleward shift is more pronounced at N512 compared with N96 (Fig. 1). Eddy-driven jet latitude variability as a function of jet speed decreases under RCP8.5, which is again more pronounced at N512 (Fig. 2). RCP8.5 also engenders a basinwide, poleward shift in the upper-tropospheric, subtropical component of North Atlantic mean zonal flow (Figs. 4 and 5). The amplitude of this climate change response is amplified and the eastward jet extension into Europe enhanced by increasing atmospheric model resolution. These large-scale changes have societally relevant

consequences for ETC activity and precipitation over the North Atlantic storm track and Europe.

Under RCP8.5, increased (decreased) ETC track density and mean ETC intensity are projected over northern (southern) Europe (Fig. 7). Particularly pronounced changes are projected over Scandinavia and the Iberian Peninsula. Increasing resolution to N512 enhances these regional responses in ETC activity in both the simulated historical (Fig. 6) and future (Fig. 7) climate states. Overall, these spatial patterns of resolution sensitivity in ETC activity under RCP8.5 forcing are explained by the significant poleward shift and eastward extension of the eddy-driven and subtropical components of North Atlantic zonal-mean flow (section 3). However, the ETC activity response to RCP8.5 does not scale linearly with historical ETC activity across resolutions (see supplemental material, section S3 and Fig. S11). Therefore, several mechanisms governing variability in the position of the North Atlantic jets and storm track, which may be sensitive to atmospheric resolution, may explain the spatial patterns of resolution dependence seen in this study: changes in meridional temperature gradient (Shaw et al. 2016); tropical forcing by shifts in the Northern Hemisphere Hadley circulation terminus (Tamarin-Brodsky and Kaspi 2017); positive

FIG. 11. Resolution sensitivity of ensemble-mean winter (top) mean and (bottom) 95th percentile precipitation response to RCP8.5 (mm day^{-1}) over Europe. Panel layout as per Fig. 6. Stippling indicates statistically significant climate change response or resolution sensitivity at the 95% level.

feedback between enhanced latent heating over the northeastern North Atlantic and increased ETC activity (Willison et al. 2013); or a strengthening of the stratospheric polar vortex (Zappa and Shepherd 2017). Fully evaluating each mechanism is beyond the scope of a single study, so we focus here on meridional temperature gradients. Haarsma et al. (2013) related projected changes in zonal wind to simulated meridional SST gradient changes and CMIP5 simulations have revealed the competing effects of low- versus upper-level meridional temperature gradients in a warming climate on Northern Hemisphere jets (Barnes and Polvani 2015), a key source of uncertainty in future projections. In HadGEM3-GA3.0, the Gulf Stream SST front is more sharply resolved at N512 (Fig. S1) and the overall projected low-level meridional temperature gradient decreases under RCP8.5 due to Arctic amplification, and this decrease is greater at N512 (Fig. S12). However, a significantly enhanced meridional temperature gradient, throughout the troposphere and centered at $\sim 50^\circ\text{N}$, is simulated at N512, and this enhancement is most pronounced over the eastern North Atlantic (Fig. S12, lower). We interpret these differences in meridional temperature gradients under RCP8.5 across the HadGEM3-GA3.0 resolution hierarchy to be primarily responsible for the resolution sensitivity in the latitudinal position of the eddy-driven and subtropical jets, which are also more pronounced over the eastern North Atlantic (Figs. 1, 4, and 5). We found no evidence for tropical forcing of resolution-dependent zonal wind responses in HadGEM3-GA3.0. The roles of enhanced synoptic activity and diabatic storm-track processes feeding back onto the large-scale circulation or by polar vortex changes are priorities for future research.

Willison et al. (2015) simulated 10 initialized January–March seasons (2002–11) using the Weather Research and Forecasting Model and perturbed these integrations with the temperature change simulated by five CMIP5 models (including HadGEM2) under RCP8.5, following a modeling approach with similarities to this study (see section 2a). Willison et al. (2015) identified increased zonal wind and eddy activity under RCP8.5, which was enhanced by increasing resolution from 120 to 20 km, particularly over the northeastern North Atlantic, consistent with our results (Fig. 7). Willison et al. (2015) used Eulerian storm-track metrics to quantify enhanced cyclonic activity over the northeastern North Atlantic simulated at 20-km resolution, consistent with our results (Fig. 7), but argued for the necessity of Lagrangian feature tracking to fully establish the resolution dependence of ETC distributions. Our work therefore complements Willison et al. (2015) accordingly and clearly similar spatial patterns of resolution sensitivity emerged when both models were run under the same forcing scenario and span a similar range in atmospheric resolution. Set against a context of previous work showing no significant storm-track response to global warming simulated at coarse atmospheric resolutions (Finnis et al. 2007; Matsueda and Palmer 2011), our results provide firm evidence that high resolution is required to avoid underestimating the magnitude of the response of North Atlantic storm-track variability to climate change, with important implications for projecting hazardous weather risk across Europe.

By associating precipitation to tracked ETCs, we isolated the component of total precipitation attributable to ETCs, which exhibits a dipolar response pattern

FIG. 12. Area-weighted, domain-mean percentage change of ETC track density and ETC-associated precipitation under RCP8.5 as a function of (a),(b) mean and (c),(d) 95th percentile precipitation for each HadGEM3-GA3.0 resolution. Markers indicate the ensemble mean and error bars indicate the standard deviation of the ensemble members. The RCP8.5 response of each individual future climate ensemble member is computed as a percentage difference from the present-climate ensemble mean.

under RCP8.5: wetter (drier) across northern (southern) Europe (Fig. 9), resembling the response of total heavy and mean precipitation (Fig. 11). However, the RCP8.5 response of ensemble-mean ETC-associated precipitation exhibits little change with resolution across Europe. Rather, resolution sensitivity emerges at the subregional scale, with northwestern European regions showing an enhanced response to RCP8.5 in the tail of area-averaged precipitation rate distributions at N512 (Fig. 10). For northern European regions, however, 25-km-resolution simulations exhibit greater (and reduced biases in) ETC-associated precipitation, indicating that simulations at CMIP5-like resolutions may underestimate the climate change response of this predominant source of European winter precipitation. At 25-km resolution, the fidelity of simulated precipitation associated with synoptic systems is improved, but precipitation associated with fronts attending ETCs may

not be adequately resolved at 25 km. Additionally, no resolution sensitivity is seen for southern Europe subregions, at least for the range in resolution considered in this study. We speculate that further increases in resolution to allow convection-permitting integrations are required to make more definitive statements about the role of resolution over southern Europe, a region where mesoscale convective systems are comparatively important for both mean and extreme precipitation (Tous et al. 2016).

Delworth et al. (2012) simulated an enhanced precipitation increase under a CO₂-doubling scenario over the northeastern North Atlantic at ~50-km resolution compared with that at ~200-km resolution, consistent with our results, but reduced drying over southern Europe, which is in contrast to our study. However, between these two atmospheric resolutions, Delworth et al. (2012) employed substantially different ocean and

land surface model components, obfuscating the role of resolution and reinforcing the necessity for dedicated experiments. In our study, the overall spatial pattern of both the N512 mean and heavy precipitation responses to RCP8.5 and its resolution dependence (Fig. 11) qualitatively resemble CMIP5 model responses forced by low tropical amplification and a strengthening of the stratospheric polar vortex (Zappa and Shepherd 2017), where the strongest precipitation responses occur over western Europe. Indeed, HadGEM3-GA3.0 simulates both moderately higher tropical Atlantic amplification and an increased strengthening of the stratospheric polar vortex at N512 compared with N96 (not shown), which suggests that this “storyline” offers dynamical insight into the cause of a resolution-dependent precipitation response to RCP8.5.

7. Conclusions and outlook

This study quantifies the impact of increasing atmospheric resolution (from ~ 135 km in the midlatitudes, which is typical of CMIP5 models, to ~ 25 km) on the responses of wintertime zonal-mean circulation, ETC activity, and precipitation to RCP8.5 across the North Atlantic and Europe. Our analyses are based on an ensemble of atmosphere-only HadGEM3-GA3.0 simulations that were experimentally designed for resolution sensitivity studies. We have demonstrated resolution dependence in North Atlantic zonal-mean circulation, related to differences in meridional temperature gradients, which impacts ETC activity as a function of latitude and, ultimately, downstream precipitation over Europe.

The representation of the North Atlantic eddy-driven jet in HadGEM3-GA3.0 improves when atmospheric horizontal resolution is increased from N96 to N512. Under RCP8.5, a decrease (increase) in southern (northern) eddy-driven jet regime frequency is projected, as well as a pronounced basinwide poleward shift in upper-tropospheric zonal flow. These jet responses to warming are significantly enhanced by increased resolution and related to a more sharply resolved Gulf Stream SST front and an enhanced low-to-midtropospheric meridional air temperature gradient centered at $\sim 50^\circ\text{N}$ at N512. The northeast North Atlantic is identified as a region where the increases in ETC activity and precipitation under RCP8.5 are enhanced at N512. Across southern Europe, reduced ETC activity and drying under RCP8.5 are significantly enhanced when resolution is increased. Crucially, reduced ETC track density and ETC-associated precipitation biases at N512 (compared with N96) are collocated with regions where resolution sensitivity in RCP8.5 responses are identified, exemplifying the value of

resolving weather-scale processes in a global model to better capture the multiscale processes important for European climate change projections.

To establish how systematic are these results, a multimodel study conducted under a common experimental protocol is warranted. Future research will exploit a larger ensemble of global climate model simulations, coordinated across multiple European climate modeling centers within the Horizon2020 Process-Based Climate Simulation: Advances in High-Resolution Modeling and European Climate Risk Assessment (PRIMAVERA) project, which comprises the European submission to CMIP6 HighResMIP (Haarsma et al. 2016). Crucial research directions are establishing the resolution sufficiency for capturing North Atlantic jet variability and its downstream impact on weather regime frequency and extreme event occurrence over Europe as well as the role of moisture transports coincident with ETC transits, including relatively rare ETCs generated by extratropical transition of tropical cyclones. Moreover, the impact of ocean resolution on the simulated response of Atlantic meridional overturning circulation to climate change and, in turn, on the response of the North Atlantic storm track is underexplored, and HighResMIP offers an opportunity to build on previous analysis of coarse-resolution ($>1^\circ$) ocean components of GCMs (Woollings et al. 2012) with evaluations of eddy-resolving and eddy-rich ocean models. Understanding the fundamental physical drivers of the resolution dependence of North Atlantic circulation, storm activity, and precipitation, particularly their responses to climate change, as demonstrated in a global model in this study, may ultimately inform climate risk assessments and the definition of mitigation policies.

Acknowledgments. UPSCALE simulations were supported by the PRACE Research Infrastructure (www.prace-ri.eu) and the Centre for Environmental-DataAnalysis, which operates the JASMIN platform for data storage and analysis (www.jasmin.ac.uk). AJB gratefully acknowledges financial support from the PRIMAVERA project, which is funded by the European Commission’s Horizon2020 programme (Grant Agreement 641727). The authors thank the ENSEMBLES project (ensembles-eu.metoffice.com) for access to the E-OBS dataset, and Benoît Vannière, Giuseppe Zappa, Julia Curio, and Robert Lee (National Centre for Atmospheric Science and Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, United Kingdom) for helpful discussions. Finally, we are grateful for the time invested in the manuscript by the editor and three anonymous reviewers, whose input much improved the final paper.

For access to the UPSCALE simulations used in this study, see hrcm.ceda.ac.uk/data. The Met Office Unified Model (MetUM) is available for use under license. A number of research organizations and national meteorological services use the MetUM in collaboration with the Met Office to undertake basic atmospheric process research, produce forecasts, develop the MetUM code, and build and evaluate Earth system models. For further information, see metoffice.gov.uk/research/collaboration/um-partnership. Version 7.7 of the source code was used in this paper. The Joint U.K. Land Environment Simulator (JULES) is available under licence. For further information, see jules-lsm.github.io/access_req/JULES_access.html. ERA-Interim data are available from ecmwf.int. TRACK may be obtained from nerc-essc.ac.uk/~kih/TRACK/Track.html. Data analysis and visualization scripts are available from the lead author upon reasonable request (see also hrcm.ceda.ac.uk/contact).

REFERENCES

- Barnes, E. A., and L. M. Polvani, 2015: CMIP5 projections of Arctic amplification, of the North American/North Atlantic circulation, and of their relationship. *J. Climate*, **28**, 5254–5271, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-14-00589.1>.
- Bengtsson, L., K. I. Hodges, and E. Roeckner, 2006: Storm tracks and climate change. *J. Climate*, **19**, 3518–3543, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI3815.1>.
- Brayshaw, D. J., B. Hoskins, and M. Blackburn, 2011: The basic ingredients of the North Atlantic storm track. Part II: Sea surface temperatures. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **68**, 1784–1805, <https://doi.org/10.1175/2011JAS3674.1>.
- Catto, J. L., L. C. Shaffrey, and K. I. Hodges, 2010: Can climate models capture the structure of extratropical cyclones? *J. Climate*, **23**, 1621–1635, <https://doi.org/10.1175/2009JCLI3318.1>.
- Champion, A. J., K. I. Hodges, L. O. Bengtsson, N. S. Keenlyside, and M. Esch, 2011: Impact of increasing resolution and a warmer climate on extreme weather from Northern Hemisphere extratropical cyclones. *Tellus*, **63A**, 893–906, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0870.2011.00538.x>.
- Dacre, H. F., and S. L. Gray, 2013: Quantifying the climatological relationship between extratropical cyclone intensity and atmospheric precursors. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **40**, 2322–2327, <https://doi.org/10.1002/grl.50105>.
- Dee, D. P., and Coauthors, 2011: The ERA-Interim reanalysis: Configuration and performance of the data assimilation system. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, **137**, 553–597, <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.828>.
- Della-Marta, P. M., and J. G. Pinto, 2009: Statistical uncertainty of changes in winter storms over the North Atlantic and Europe in an ensemble of transient climate simulations. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **36**, L14703, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GL038557>.
- Delworth, T. L., and Coauthors, 2012: Simulated climate and climate change in the GFDL CM2.5 high-resolution coupled climate model. *J. Climate*, **25**, 2755–2781, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00316.1>.
- Demory, M.-E., P. L. Vidale, M. J. Roberts, P. Berrisford, J. Strachan, R. Schiemann, and M. S. Mizielski, 2014: The role of horizontal resolution in simulating drivers of the global hydrological cycle. *Climate Dyn.*, **42**, 2201–2225, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-013-1924-4>.
- Donlon, C. J., M. Martin, J. Stark, J. Roberts-Jones, E. Fiedler, and W. Wimmer, 2012: The Operational Sea Surface Temperature and Sea Ice Analysis (OSTIA) system. *Remote Sens. Environ.*, **116**, 140–158, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2010.10.017>.
- Duchon, C. E., 1979: Lanczos filtering in one and two dimensions. *J. Appl. Meteor.*, **18**, 1016–1022, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450\(1979\)018<1016:LFIOAT>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(1979)018<1016:LFIOAT>2.0.CO;2).
- Finnis, J., M. M. Holland, M. C. Serreze, and J. J. Cassano, 2007: Response of Northern Hemisphere extratropical cyclone activity and associated precipitation to climate change, as represented by the Community Climate System Model. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **112**, G04S42, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JG000286>.
- Grise, K. M., and L. M. Polvani, 2014: The response of midlatitude jets to increased CO₂: Distinguishing the roles of sea surface temperature and direct radiative forcing. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **41**, 6863–6871, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL061638>.
- Haarsma, R. J., F. Selten, and G. J. van Oldenborgh, 2013: Anthropogenic changes of the thermal and zonal flow structure over western Europe and eastern North Atlantic in CMIP3 and CMIP5 models. *Climate Dyn.*, **41**, 2577–2588, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-013-1734-8>.
- , and Coauthors, 2016: High Resolution Model Intercomparison Project (HighResMIP v1.0) for CMIP6. *Geosci. Model Dev.*, **9**, 4185–4208, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-4185-2016>.
- Hawcroft, M. K., L. C. Shaffrey, K. I. Hodges, and H. F. Dacre, 2012: How much Northern Hemisphere precipitation is associated with extratropical cyclones? *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **39**, L24809, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL053866>.
- , —, —, and —, 2016: Can climate models represent the precipitation associated with extratropical cyclones? *Climate Dyn.*, **47**, 679–695, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-015-2863-z>.
- , E. Walsh, K. Hodges, and G. Zappa, 2018: Significantly increased extreme precipitation expected in Europe and North America from extratropical cyclones. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **13**, 124006, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aaed59>.
- Hodges, K. I., 1995: Feature tracking on the unit sphere. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **123**, 3458–3465, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493\(1995\)123<3458:FTOTUS>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1995)123<3458:FTOTUS>2.0.CO;2).
- , 1999: Adaptive constraints for feature tracking. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **127**, 1362–1373, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493\(1999\)127<1362:ACFFT>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1999)127<1362:ACFFT>2.0.CO;2).
- Hoskins, B. J., 1983: Dynamical processes in the atmosphere and the use of models. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, **109**, 1–21, <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.49710945902>.
- , and K. I. Hodges, 2002: New perspectives on the Northern Hemisphere winter storm tracks. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **59**, 1041–1061, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469\(2002\)059<1041:NPOTNH>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(2002)059<1041:NPOTNH>2.0.CO;2).
- , I. N. James, and G. H. White, 1983: The shape, propagation and mean-flow interaction of large-scale weather systems. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **40**, 1595–1612, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469\(1983\)040<1595:TSPAMF>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1983)040<1595:TSPAMF>2.0.CO;2).
- Huntingford, C., and Coauthors, 2014: Potential influences on the United Kingdom's floods of winter 2013/14. *Nat. Climate Change*, **4**, 769, <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2314>.

- Iqbal, W., W.-N. Leung, and A. Hannachi, 2018: Analysis of the variability of the North Atlantic eddy-driven jet stream in CMIP5. *Climate Dyn.*, **51**, 235–247, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-017-3917-1>.
- Kaspi, Y., and T. Schneider, 2013: The role of stationary eddies in shaping midlatitude storm tracks. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **70**, 2596–2613, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-12-082.1>.
- Madonna, E., C. Li, C. M. Grams, and T. Woollings, 2017: The link between eddy-driven jet variability and weather regimes in the North Atlantic-European sector. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, **143**, 2960–2972, <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3155>.
- Masato, G., T. Woollings, K. D. Williams, B. J. Hoskins, and R. W. Lee, 2016: A regime analysis of Atlantic winter jet variability applied to evaluate HadGEM3-GC2. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, **142**, 3162–3170, <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.2897>.
- Matsueda, M., and T. N. Palmer, 2011: Accuracy of climate change predictions using high resolution simulations as surrogates of truth. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **38**, L05803, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010GL046618>.
- Mizielinski, M. S., and Coauthors, 2014: High-resolution global climate modelling: The UPSCALE project, a large-simulation campaign. *Geosci. Model Dev.*, **7**, 1629–1640, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-7-1629-2014>.
- O'Reilly, C. H., S. Minobe, A. Kuwano-Yoshida, and T. Woollings, 2017: The Gulf Stream influence on wintertime North Atlantic jet variability. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, **143**, 173–183, <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.2907>.
- Pfahl, S., and H. Wernli, 2012: Quantifying the relevance of cyclones for precipitation extremes. *J. Climate*, **25**, 6770–6780, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00705.1>.
- , P. A. O'Gorman, and E. M. Fischer, 2017: Understanding the regional pattern of projected future changes in extreme precipitation. *Nat. Climate Change*, **7**, 423–427, <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3287>.
- Pinto, J. G., S. Zacharias, A. H. Fink, G. C. Leckebusch, and U. Ulbrich, 2009: Factors contributing to the development of extreme North Atlantic cyclones and their relationship with the NAO. *Climate Dyn.*, **32**, 711–737, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-008-0396-4>.
- Prein, A. F., and Coauthors, 2016: Precipitation in the EURO-CORDEX 11° and 44° simulations: High resolution, high benefits? *Climate Dyn.*, **46**, 383–412, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-015-2589-y>.
- Roberts, M. J., and Coauthors, 2018: The benefits of global high resolution for climate simulation: Process understanding and the enabling of stakeholder decisions at the regional scale. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **99**, 2341–2359, <https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-15-00320.1>.
- Saha, S., and Coauthors, 2010: The NCEP Climate Forecast System Reanalysis. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **91**, 1015–1058, <https://doi.org/10.1175/2010BAMS3001.1>.
- Schiemann, R., P. L. Vidale, L. C. Shaffrey, S. J. Johnson, M. J. Roberts, M.-E. Demory, M. S. Mizielinski, and J. Strachan, 2018: Mean and extreme precipitation over European river basins better simulated in a 25 km AGCM. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, **22**, 3933–3950, <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-22-3933-2018>.
- Schneider, T., 2006: The general circulation of the atmosphere. *Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.*, **34**, 655–688, <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.earth.34.031405.125144>.
- Shaw, T. A., and Coauthors, 2016: Storm track processes and the opposing influences of climate change. *Nat. Geosci.*, **9**, 656–664, <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2783>.
- Shepherd, T. G., 2014: Atmospheric circulation as a source of uncertainty in climate change projections. *Nat. Geosci.*, **7**, 703–708, <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2253>.
- Small, R. J., R. Msadek, Y.-O. Kwon, J. F. Booth, and C. Zarzycki, 2019: Atmosphere surface storm track response to resolved ocean mesoscale in two sets of global climate model experiments. *Climate Dyn.*, **52**, 2067–2089, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-018-4237-9>.
- Tamarin-Brodsky, T., and Y. Kaspi, 2017: Enhanced poleward propagation of storms under climate change. *Nat. Geosci.*, **10**, 908–913, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-017-0001-8>.
- , and O. Hadas, 2019: The asymmetry of vertical velocity in current and future climate. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **46**, 374–382, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL080363>.
- Tous, M., G. Zappa, R. Romero, L. Shaffrey, and P. L. Vidale, 2016: Projected changes in medicanes in the HadGEM3 N512 high-resolution global climate model. *Climate Dyn.*, **47**, 1913–1924, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-015-2941-2>.
- van Haren, R., R. J. Haarsma, G. J. Van Oldenborgh, and W. Hazeleger, 2015: Resolution dependence of European precipitation in a state-of-the-art atmospheric general circulation model. *J. Climate*, **28**, 5134–5149, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-14-00279.1>.
- Vidale, P. L., D. Lüthi, R. Wegmann, and C. Schär, 2007: European summer climate variability in a heterogeneous multi-model ensemble. *Climatic Change*, **81**, 209–232, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-006-9218-z>.
- Wallace, J. M., and D. S. Gutzler, 1981: Teleconnections in the geopotential height field during the Northern Hemisphere winter. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **109**, 784–812, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493\(1981\)109<0784:TITGHF>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1981)109<0784:TITGHF>2.0.CO;2).
- Walters, D. N., and Coauthors, 2011: The Met Office Unified Model Global Atmosphere 3.0/3.1 and JULES Global Land 3.0/3.1 configurations. *Geosci. Model Dev.*, **4**, 919–941, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-4-919-2011>.
- Willison, J., W. A. Robinson, and G. M. Lackmann, 2013: The importance of resolving mesoscale latent heating in the North Atlantic storm track. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **70**, 2234–2250, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-12-0226.1>.
- , —, and —, 2015: North Atlantic storm-track sensitivity to warming increases with model resolution. *J. Climate*, **28**, 4513–4524, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-14-00715.1>.
- Woollings, T., 2010: Dynamical influences on European climate: An uncertain future. *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc.*, **368A**, 3733, <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2010.0040>.
- , A. Hannachi, and B. Hoskins, 2010: Variability of the North Atlantic eddy-driven jet stream. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, **136**, 856–868, <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.625>.
- , J. M. Gregory, J. G. Pinto, M. Meyers, and D. J. Brayshaw, 2012: Response of the North Atlantic storm track to climate change shaped by ocean–atmosphere coupling. *Nat. Geosci.*, **5**, 313, <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1438>.
- , and Coauthors, 2018: Daily to decadal modulation of jet variability. *J. Climate*, **31**, 1297–1314, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0286.1>.
- Zappa, G., and T. G. Shepherd, 2017: Storylines of atmospheric circulation change for European regional climate impact assessment. *J. Climate*, **30**, 6561–6577, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0807.1>.
- , L. C. Shaffrey, and K. I. Hodges, 2013a: The ability of CMIP5 models to simulate North Atlantic extratropical cyclones. *J. Climate*, **26**, 5379–5396, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00501.1>.

- , L. C. Shaffrey, K. I. Hodges, P. G. Sansom, and D. B. Stephenson, 2013b: A multimodel assessment of future projections of North Atlantic and European extratropical cyclones in the CMIP5 climate models. *J. Climate*, **26**, 5846–5862, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00573.1>.
- , M. K. Hawcroft, L. Shaffrey, E. Black, and D. J. Brayshaw, 2015: Extratropical cyclones and the projected decline of winter Mediterranean precipitation in the CMIP5 models. *Climate Dyn.*, **45**, 1727–1738, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-014-2426-8>.
- Zhang, L., P. Wu, T. Zhou, M. J. Roberts, and R. Schiemann, 2016: Added value of high resolution models in simulating global precipitation characteristics. *Atmos. Sci. Lett.*, **17**, 646–657, <https://doi.org/10.1002/asl.715>.
- Zveryaev, I. I., 2004: Seasonality in precipitation variability over Europe. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **109**, D05103, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JD003668>.
- , 2006: Seasonally varying modes in long-term variability of European precipitation during the 20th century. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **111**, D21116, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006821>.