Depth Structure of Ningaloo Niño/Niña Events and Associated Drivers

SVENJA RYAN,^a CAROLINE C. UMMENHOFER,^{a,b} GLEN GAWARKIEWICZ,^a PATRICK WAGNER,^c
MARKUS SCHEINERT,^c ARNE BIASTOCH,^c AND CLAUD W. BÖNING^c

^a Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, Massachusetts; ^b ARC Centre of Excellence for Climate Extremes, University of New South Wales, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia; ^c GEOMAR, Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research Kiel, Kiel, Germany

(Manuscript received 31 December 2019, in final form 23 July 2020)

ABSTRACT: Marine heatwaves along the coast of Western Australia, referred to as Ningaloo Niño, have had dramatic impacts on the ecosystem in the recent decade. A number of local and remote forcing mechanisms have been put forward; however, little is known about the depth structure of such temperature extremes. Utilizing an eddy-active global ocean general circulation model, Ningaloo Niño and the corresponding cold Ningaloo Niña events are investigated between 1958 and 2016, with a focus on their depth structure. The relative roles of buoyancy and wind forcing are inferred from sensitivity experiments. Composites reveal a strong symmetry between cold and warm events in their vertical structure and associated large-scale spatial patterns. Temperature anomalies are largest at the surface, where buoyancy forcing is dominant, and extend down to 300-m depth (or deeper), with wind forcing being the main driver. Large-scale subsurface anomalies arise from a vertical modulation of the thermocline, extending from the western Pacific into the tropical eastern Indian Ocean. The strongest Ningaloo Niños in 2000 and 2011 are unprecedented compound events, where long-lasting high temperatures are accompanied by extreme freshening, which emerges in association with La Niñas, that is more common and persistent during the negative phase of the interdecadal Pacific oscillation. It is shown that Ningaloo Niños during La Niña phases have a distinctively deeper reach and are associated with a strengthening of the Leeuwin Current, while events during El Niño are limited to the surface layer temperatures, likely driven by local atmosphere–ocean feedbacks, without a clear imprint on salinity and velocity.

KEYWORDS: Ocean; Australia; Indian Ocean; Extreme events; General circulation models; Ocean models

1. Introduction

Marine heatwaves (MHWs) are extreme events characterized by extended periods of anomalously warm ocean temperatures and can have drastic ecological and socioeconomic impacts. They can lead to mass mortality of marine organisms (Mills et al. 2013; Short et al. 2015; Jones et al. 2018) and to coral bleaching (Benthuyzen et al. 2018) and can alter structures of regional marine ecosystems (Wernberg et al. 2013, 2016; Smale et al. 2019). Furthermore, MHWs often impact fisheries, adversely affecting a region's economy (Mills et al. 2013). In the face of globally warming temperatures and enhanced greenhouse gas emissions, an increase in intensity, frequency, and duration of MHWs has been observed (Frölicher and Laufkötter 2018; Oliver et al. 2018). In this study we explore the depth structure of these extreme events in the southeast Indian Ocean and associated signals in salinity in addition to temperature.

One of the strongest observed MHWs occurred off the coast of Western Australia (WA) in austral summer of 2010/11, where maximum temperature anomalies of over 3°C were reached in

February 2011. This event led to the first recorded coral bleaching event at the World Heritage Ningaloo Reef and extensive loss of kelp forest associated with a regime shift from subtropical to tropical species along the western Australian coast (Wernberg et al. 2013, 2016; Pearce and Feng 2013). The interannual variability of sea surface temperatures (SSTs) in this region has been dubbed Ningaloo Niño, in analogy to interannual warming events in other eastern boundary systems such as El Niño and Benguela Niño (Feng et al. 2013).

The 2011 MHW was associated with the strongest recorded transports of the poleward flowing Leeuwin Current (LC; see Fig. 1) during summer along the WA coast, which resulted in an increased southward heat and freshwater transport. The surge of the LC has been attributed to the presence of strong La Niña conditions in 2010/11 (Feng et al. 2013). Here, sea surface height (SSH) anomalies created by anomalous easterly winds in the western Pacific propagate through the Indonesian Seas following a coastal waveguide (Clarke and Liu 1994; Wijffels et al. 2004), ultimately reaching the Australian continent and strengthening the LC. Warm surface temperatures were then amplified by local air–sea interaction via the wind–evaporation–SST (WES) feedback, also leading to increased rainfall over the Australian continent (Feng et al. 2013; Kataoka et al. 2014; Benthuyzen et al. 2014; Marshall et al. 2015).

While key processes driving the 2011 event, and the general variability associated with Ningaloo Niño and Niña (the cold equivalent) have been put forward, little is known about the depth structure of these extreme events. Using a regional ocean model Benthuyzen et al. (2014) performed a temperature budget over the upper 60 m and showed that the warming in 2011 can be attributed approximately 2/3 to oceanic advection of warmer, tropical water by the LC

Denotes content that is immediately available upon publication as open access.

Supplemental information related to this paper is available at the Journals Online website: <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-19-1020.s1>.

Corresponding author: Svenja Ryan, sryan@whoi.edu

DOI: 10.1175/JCLI-D-19-1020.1

© 2021 American Meteorological Society. For information regarding reuse of this content and general copyright information, consult the AMS Copyright Policy (www.ametsoc.org/PUBSReuseLicenses).

FIG. 1. (a) Bathymetric map (model bathymetry) and schematic ocean circulation [after Gordon et al. (2012) and Menezes et al. (2014)] in the region of interest and (b) a snapshot of modeled upper-layer temperature in February 2011. The gray isoline in both panels denotes the 1000-m isobath. The Ningaloo Niño box and defined Leeuwin Current band are marked (see legend). Additionally, sections of time-mean modeled (c) temperature and (d) meridional velocity at 24°S are shown.

and 1/3 to positive air-sea heat flux. Furthermore, Kataoka et al. (2017) showed that mixed layer variability is important for the amplification of surface temperatures as it changes the sensitivity to surface fluxes: a shallow mixed layer will heat up more quickly compared to a deep mixed layer. However, to the best of our knowledge, no study has investigated the depth structure beyond the surface mixed layer. For the 2011 event, sporadic observations suggested that temperature anomalies are surface intensified (Benthuyzen et al. 2014); nevertheless, anomalies at greater depth can have important implications. Domingues et al. (2006) showed

that the LC loses most of its heat to the interior Indian Ocean during its southward progression. They found that the offshore heat transfer via eddy fluxes accounts for about 70% of the warming of a large area in the southeastern Indian Ocean. Furthermore, anomalies with greater vertical scales, exceeding the winter mixed layer depth, may have the potential to persist longer as they are then insulated from surface processes and depending on the regional circulation could be subject to reemergence.

Salinity has a significant influence on the ocean stratification and circulation in the southeastern Indian Ocean

(e.g., Feng et al. 2015; Hu et al. 2019) and is therefore essential for the analysis of extreme event drivers and ultimately predictions. Feng et al. (2015) showed that excessive precipitation in the Maritime Continent leads to a strong freshening of surface waters which then were advected westward and entrained into the LC, contributing about 30% to its observed strengthening. Furthermore, local precipitation anomalies can occur when the WES feedback is active during the initiation phase of Ningaloo Niño (Kataoka et al. 2014; Marshall et al. 2015). Due to the sparseness of salinity observations, the depth extent of these fresh anomalies has not been explored in a multievent or composite study in the Ningaloo region.

To address both the vertical structure of temperature and salinity associated with MHWs, three-dimensional ocean models can serve as valuable tools as already demonstrated by Benthuisen et al. (2014), Oliver et al. (2017), and Behrens et al. (2019). Additionally, global models allow the analysis of the impacts of large-scale climate modes onto the regional circulation and subsurface signals. While reanalysis products also represent a great resource, they are by design not energy and mass conserving, which poses some limitations to the analysis of physical processes. Ocean general circulation models may have certain biases, but they obey the equations of motion and conservation laws.

In this study we utilize output of an eddy-active ($1/4^\circ$) global ocean general circulation model to investigate temporal and spatial characteristics of Ningaloo Niño/Niña with a focus on the vertical structure of extreme events across the upper 800 m, and assess the relative role of wind and buoyancy (thermohaline) forcing using sensitivity experiments. We are building on previously proposed mechanisms and set these into context by presenting subsurface signals. The paper is structured as follows: In section 2 we give a short overview of the oceanographic and geographical setting of the study region. Data and methods are presented in section 3, including a model description and validation followed by the approach taken for the extreme event detection. The general temporal variability at the surface associated with Ningaloo Niño/Niña is elaborated in section 4, while spatial and vertical structures of extreme event composites are addressed in section 5. In the following, the 2010/11 Ningaloo Niño event is used as a case study in section 6. The paper concludes by putting the results into context with large-scale climate variability in section 7 and ends with a short discussion, concluding remarks, and a summarizing schematic in section 8.

2. Oceanographic and geographical setting

The southeastern Indian Ocean features unique geographical and oceanographic settings (Fig. 1). A low-latitude connection from the Pacific to the Indian Ocean via the Indonesian Archipelago allows the transfer of very warm waters from the western Pacific into the eastern Indian Ocean, which are freshened and mixed in the shallow Indonesian seas, forming a unique warm and freshwater mass (Gordon 2005). It is then transported westward across the Indian Ocean by the South Equatorial Current and poleward via the LC. The Indonesian Throughflow (ITF) plays a major role for

the global thermohaline circulation (Sprintall et al. 2014) with an approximate transport of 15 Sv ($1 \text{ Sv} = 10^6 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$) (Gordon et al. 2010) and has strong impacts on the regional hydrography. The warm and fresh ITF waters are much lighter than those found in the southeastern Indian Ocean, which creates a meridional pressure gradient, that drives surface eastward flow forming the South Indian Countercurrent (Menezes et al. 2014; see Fig. 1a herein). This surface flow feeds into the LC along the western coast of Australia, which is the only poleward flowing eastern boundary current in the global ocean (Smith et al. 1991) persisting despite prevailing southeasterly trade winds. The LC is additionally sourced from ITF waters via the salinity-driven East Gyral Current (Domingues et al. 2007; Menezes et al. 2013, 2014), which recirculates parts of the westward-flowing ITF waters, while small direct routes exist along the continental slope of northwestern Australia (Fig. 1a). Hence, the LC transports warm and fresh waters southward along the coast, which creates a zonal density gradient in the presence of saltier waters offshore, which in turn creates a geostrophic contribution to the southward flow.

The LC is located over the continental slope and extends roughly over the upper 200 m of the water column (Figs. 1c,d). Its mean transport approximates to 3.4 Sv with weakest transport in austral summer and strongest transport in austral winter (Feng et al. 2003). While the seasonality is confirmed by several studies, Furue et al. (2017) found smaller mean LC transports by focusing on a narrower band along the coast (0.7° longitude from coast), whereas Feng et al. (2003) estimated their transport between 110°E and the coast. Slightly offshore hugging the continental slope between 200 and 600 m, the Leeuwin Undercurrent (LUC) flows equatorward (Figs. 1c,d) with an estimated mean transport of about 5 Sv based on current meter measurements (Smith et al. 1991); however, its dynamics are not clear.

The ITF is driven by a large-scale pressure gradient between the western Pacific and the eastern Indian Ocean and its variability has been mainly attributed to El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) (Hu and Sprintall 2016). However, other modes of variability on various time scales, such as the Indian monsoon, the Indian Ocean dipole, or the interdecadal Pacific oscillation (IPO), have impacts as well, making the eastern Indian Ocean a very complex ocean–atmosphere coupled system.

3. Data and methods

a. Datasets

High-resolution ($1/4^\circ$) global, daily SSTs from the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) satellite data product (NOAA OISST V2; Reynolds et al. 2007) and the $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$, monthly Hadley Centre Sea Ice and Sea Surface Temperature (HadISST) product (Rayner et al. 2003) are used as observational products of SST. We use gridded salinity fields from the In Situ Analysis System (ISAS-15) tool, which is compiled from Argo float profiles and is available from 2002 to 2015 (Fabienne 2012). We chose this dataset over other reanalysis products that date further back because reliable coherent salinity measurements have only become available with Argo since 2000. Interannual and decadal variability is analyzed in the context of the IPO and the oceanic Niño index (ONI). The IPO represents a distinct mode of climate variability and is

expressed as the IPO tripole index (TPI; [Henley et al. 2015](#)), which is associated with a distinct “tripole” pattern of SST anomalies in the Pacific, with three large centers of action and variations on decadal time scales. The ONI represents the 3-month running mean of SST anomalies (ERSST.v5) in the Niño-3.4 region (5°N–5°S, 120°–170°W). El Niño and La Niña events are characterized by an index value above 0.5 or below −0.5, respectively. Daily remotely sensed, delayed-time (reprocessed) absolute dynamic height data at 0.25° spatial resolution is used for model validation. It is provided by the European Union Copernicus Marine Monitoring Service [CMEMS; formerly distributed by Archiving, Validation and Interpretation of Satellite Oceanographic data (AVISO)]. Monthly tide gauge sea level data at Fremantle, WA (station ID: 111), is obtained from the Permanent Service For Mean Sea Level, covering the time period 1897–2019.

b. Model description and experiments

We use a global ocean model configuration of the Nucleus for European Modeling of the Ocean (NEMO) code, version 3.6 ([Madec 2016](#)) with a global tripolar ORCA grid at 1/4° horizontal resolution. The vertical grid consists of 46 z levels with varying layer thickness from 6 m at the surface to 250 m in the deepest levels. Bottom topography is interpolated from 2-min Gridded Global Relief Data ETOPO2v2 and represented by partial steps ([Barnier et al. 2006](#)). The ocean model is coupled to the Louvain-la-Neuve Sea Ice Model version 2 (LIM2-VP; [Fichefet and Maqueda 1997](#)).

The model is forced with the JRA55-do forcing ([Tsujino et al. 2018](#)) that builds on the JRA-55 atmospheric reanalysis product but is adjusted to match observational datasets. Bulk formulas from [Large and Yeager \(2009\)](#) are used to calculate surface fluxes. A relaxation of sea surface salinity (SSS) toward observed climatological conditions (World Ocean Database; [Levitus et al. 1998](#)) is applied, a method commonly used in global ocean models to prevent spurious model drift ([Griffies et al. 2009](#)). The choice of a very long damping time scale (corresponding to 1 year for a 50-m surface layer) limits damping of intraseasonal anomaly signals.

All experiments used in this study are started from a 30-yr-long spinup integration (1980–2009), which was initialized with hydrography from the World Ocean Database ([Levitus et al. 1998](#)) with modifications in the polar regions from PHC (Polar Science Center Hydrographic Climatology), which represents a global ocean hydrography with a high-quality Arctic Ocean ([Steele et al. 2001](#)). The spinup started from an ocean at rest and was driven by interannually varying atmospheric boundary conditions (JRA55-do forcing). After this, all simulations were integrated from 1958 to 2016 and monthly output fields are analyzed.

Three different simulations are used in this study. The hindcast experiment (called *Hindcast*) is forced with interannually varying atmospheric boundary conditions. In addition, two sensitivity experiments were conducted with the aim to separate impacts of wind and buoyancy (i.e., heat and freshwater fluxes) forcing. For these, interannual variability in the forcing was restricted to either the buoyancy (experiment

Buoyancy) or momentum fluxes (experiment *Wind*), respectively, while the same seasonal cycle was repeated each year for the other component. It should be noted that the experiments cannot eliminate the role of, for example, wind forcing in driving buoyancy fluxes and conversely buoyancy fluxes that may drive changes in atmospheric circulation, and thus in the wind.

No climatological normal year forcing, as described in [Large and Yeager \(2009\)](#) for the CORE experiments (Coordinated Ocean-ice Reference Experiments), is available for the JRA55-do dataset. Thus a “repeated year forcing” (RYF) similar to that in [Stewart et al. \(2020\)](#) was constructed. In the RYF approach, carefully selected years from the forcing dataset are used repeatedly to eliminate interannual variations from the forcing. Based on a range of climate indices [Stewart et al. \(2020\)](#) identified years that are characterized by neutral phases of these indices. Based on these, we chose the period from May 1990 to April 1991 to replace either the interannually varying momentum or buoyancy fluxes in our sensitivity experiments.

The analysis of ocean models forced by bulk formulations of air–sea heat fluxes has to account for the fact that the simulated SST is to some degree constrained by the prescribed atmospheric temperatures of the forcing dataset. We therefore limit our analysis to the subsurface layer, where the ocean is allowed to evolve more freely; that is, we exclude the top layer (~3 m). Since our emphasis is on the subsurface (vertical) structure of the temperature and salinity fields, the results shown are not impacted by this choice. Furthermore, the mean difference over the whole integration between the first and second layer in the Ningaloo Niño region is low at 0.04°C, with a maximum of 0.23°C over a very short period. For simplicity, we will refer to the second layer (~9 m) as the surface layer throughout the manuscript.

c. Validation: Large-scale

The model performance is validated by a comparison of the modeled sea level anomaly and associated variance with the AVISO satellite product. SSH is an important quantity in the Indo-Pacific region as an indicator of ITF strength, signal propagation through the Indonesian Archipelago, and ocean heat content, as well as the LC strength. A previous version of the model with a different forcing has been used by [Feng et al. \(2008\)](#) to investigate the seasonal and interannual variability of the LC.

The model successfully reproduces the observed SSH gradients and patterns of associated variance in the study region ([Fig. 2](#)). However, it underestimates the variance in the southeastern Indian Ocean [also found by [Feng et al. \(2008\)](#)]. The elevated variance can be attributed to Rossby waves that propagate westward from the eastern boundary. It is likely that the spatial resolution of the model is not sufficient to fully resolve this process.

The modeled mean ITF transport, defined as the maximum of the barotropic streamfunction between 8° and 22°S along 114°E, is 14.5 Sv and thus agrees well with observational estimates of about 15 Sv measured during the International Nusantara Stratification and Transport (INSTANT) program

FIG. 2. Mean sea surface height derived from monthly-mean fields between 1993 and 2015 for (left) *Hindcast* and (right) satellite observations (AVISO) as gray contours (10-cm intervals) and associated variance in shading (note logarithmic color scale). The 0-cm isoline is marked in black.

between 2004 and 2006 (Sprintall et al. 2009; Gordon et al. 2010). Furthermore, the model reproduces maximum velocities within the thermocline at around 100-m depth within the Makassar Strait, as found by Gruenburg and Gordon (2018) from moored measurements.

The correlation between monthly observed sea level at Fremantle and the modeled sea level anomaly for the whole period of the integration is 0.82 ($p < 0.01$). Following Feng et al. (2008), we derive the volume transport of the LC and LUC at 32°S between 110°E. Based on the mean vertical structure of velocities at this section we chose the upper 14 levels (3–191 m) to derive the LC. The mean modeled LC transport is 1.5 Sv, which is noticeably lower than the observation-based estimate of 3.4 Sv by Feng et al. (2003). However, the seasonal cycle is represented well with maximum transport (2.8 Sv) in June and minimum transport during austral summer. Discrepancies between the modeled and observed transports may arise from differences in the forcings, in particular the meridional wind. Furthermore, Furue et al. (2017) obtain lower LC transport values when focusing on a narrower band along the coast. Thus, differences in the off-shore velocity structure in the model could also contribute to lower transports in our model. We conclude that the high correlation of sea level anomalies together with the correct phasing of the seasonal cycle suggests that the model is suitable for our analysis.

d. Extreme event detection and validation

A common framework for MHW detection has been developed in recent years (Hobday et al. 2016, 2018), which

allows a comparison of MHW metrics across different regions and seasons. The definition is based on daily SST data but was modified here to use monthly output fields from the global ocean simulations. The adapted approach employed the Ningaloo Niño index (NNI), which has been used previously to study MHWs off the coast of WA (Kataoka et al. 2014, 2017, 2018; Marshall et al. 2015; Feng et al. 2015; Feng and Shinoda 2019). We adapt the NNI definition by Marshall et al. (2015), who use the average SST anomalies over the box region 110°–116°E, 22°–32°S. The mean seasonal cycle is removed, and anomalies are derived with respect to the full time period (1958–2016) as baseline.

Although the public interest in MHWs is increasing rapidly due to strong ecological and economic impacts, we also chose to include the analog cold extremes (Ningaloo Niña), which we will refer to as marine cold spells (MCSs) throughout the manuscript. Identifying similarities and differences between MHWs and MCSs may provide additional information about forcing mechanisms. Following the Hobday et al. (2016) definition, we define extreme events by the 90th and 10th percentile of the NNI temperature anomalies for MHWs and MCSs, respectively. Previous studies have focused on the December–February (DJF) properties of the NNI, when the largest temperature anomalies and variance are found, and detected extreme events based on standard deviation (Kataoka et al. 2014; Marshall et al. 2015). In the sense of the common MHW definition by Hobday et al. (2016), we derive a monthly threshold and thus allow the detection of events during the whole year. We detect 29 MHW and 34 MCS events (see Table 1 and also Table S1 in the online supplemental material), with about 1/3 occurring in austral

TABLE 1. Detected marine heatwaves using the 90th percentile of the modeled monthly Ningaloo Niño index (NNI). The highlighted events (in boldface) agree with years identified as Ningaloo Niño years by Kataoka et al. (2014) or Marshall et al. (2015), who based their detection on DJF averages of the NNI.

Event	Start	End	Duration (months)	Max intensity (°C)	Max salinity (psu)	ONI	CWI
1	1958 Dec	1958 Dec	1	0.59	0.05	0.61	3.49
2	1959 Aug	1959 Sep	2	0.56	0.06	−0.18	−1.15
3	1961 Feb	1961 Feb	1	0.88	−0.10	0.03	2.33
4	1962 Feb	1962 Feb	1	1.69	−0.17	−0.22	3.69
5	1962 Aug	1962 Sep	2	0.53	−0.17	−0.09	−0.07
6	1963 Feb	1963 Mar	2	1.37	−0.38	0.00	1.31
7	1963 Dec	1963 Dec	1	0.85	−0.11	1.31	3.77
8	1973 Jan	1973 Jan	1	0.79	0.04	1.84	−0.66
9	1975 Nov	1975 Nov	1	0.95	−0.24	−1.55	−1.77
10	1976 Mar	1976 Mar	1	0.83	−0.31	−0.73	0.20
11	1978 Mar	1978 Apr	2	1.06	0.05	−0.06	3.19
12	1982 Nov	1983 Jan	3	0.81	0.06	2.20	2.88
13	1983 May	1983 Jun	2	0.78	−0.04	0.89	1.12
14	1984 Jun	1984 Jul	2	0.76	−0.11	−0.38	0.61
15	1984 Oct	1984 Oct	1	0.57	−0.08	−0.56	2.64
16	1985 Jul	1985 Jul	1	0.74	−0.07	−0.49	0.36
17	1988 Sep	1988 Oct	2	0.68	0.05	−1.34	1.14
18	1989 Sep	1989 Sep	1	0.66	−0.05	−0.24	0.18
19	1996 Nov	1996 Nov	1	0.72	−0.08	−0.45	0.90
20	1997 Jan	1997 Jan	1	0.85	−0.10	−0.50	0.40
21	1998 May	1998 Jun	2	0.86	0.09	0.16	0.24
22	1998 Aug	1998 Aug	1	0.44	−0.09	−1.12	1.28
23	1999 Apr	1999 Aug	5	1.48	−0.39	−1.05	1.11
24	1999 Dec	2000 Apr	5	1.65	−0.39	−1.32	−0.59
25	2001 Jul	2001 Jul	1	0.48	−0.19	−0.08	−0.70
26	2008 Apr	2008 Jul	4	1.03	−0.27	−0.64	0.47
27	2010 Oct	2011 Aug	11	2.20	−0.39	−0.99	0.51
28	2011 Sep	2012 May	9	1.51	−0.26	−0.73	1.34
29	2014 Aug	2014 Oct	3	0.71	−0.09	0.22	2.10
30	2015 Oct	2015 Oct	1	0.50	0.18	2.37	2.51

summer (DJF). However, one MHW lasting from October 2010 to May 2012 has been manually separated into two events. All but two summer events detected by our definition (1958/59 and 1963/64) were also detected by Marshall et al. (2015) and/or Kataoka et al. (2014) (see bold events in tables), indicating that the model and detection algorithm are performing well. Small differences are to be expected due to the different datasets, with their spatial and temporal resolutions as well as different baselines. The MHW detection was also performed on the surface model layer, which we chose to exclude as described above, and the same events (including duration) were detected.

By applying an empirical orthogonal function (EOF) analysis for SST, Kataoka et al. (2014) and Marshall et al. (2015) showed that the NNI reflects the dominant mode of variability in observed SST fields over the region 100°–120°E, 14°–36°S. The EOF spatial pattern and principal component (PC) are derived for the HadISST dataset and compared to those of the surface temperature of the model output (Figs. 3a,b,d). The model reproduces the observed spatial patterns and we find good agreement between the PCs of the leading mode in observations and model ($r = 0.83$, $p < 0.01$). Furthermore, the modeled temperature NNI correlates well with the PCs, with

$r = 0.85$ ($p < 0.01$) for the model PC and $r = 0.67$ ($p < 0.01$) for HadISST. The overall correlation between the modeled and observed temperature NNI is 0.96 ($p < 0.01$).

We expand the analysis by defining the NNI also for salinity. The EOF spatial pattern exhibits a slightly different spatial structure compared to temperature with maximum anomalies along the coast of northwestern Australia that extend southward along the coast into the NNI box. The salinity NNI nevertheless captures the variability associated with the leading mode well, indicating the advective nature of these coastal signals due to the LC (Fig. 3e).

e. Statistics

Monte Carlo simulations are performed to test the significance of NNI vertical profiles for MHW and MCS composites. In total we detect 29 MHW events, which encompass 72 months. For the simulation, we randomly select 29 points in time, representing the individual events, and average the NNI properties in order to mimic the composites. To account for the possible autocorrelation associated with the events, we assume a mean duration of 2 months; that is, for each random point in time, two consecutive months are taken into account

FIG. 3. Leading mode of empirical orthogonal function for monthly anomalies of (a) HadISST, (b) *Hindcast* SST, and (c) *Hindcast* SSS. Also shown are the associated principal component (PC) time series for (d) HadISST and *Hindcast* SST and (e) *Hindcast* salinity together with the respective modeled Ningaloo Niño index (NNI) time series on the right y axis.

for the composite. This procedure is repeated 10^5 times, which produces a distribution of the respective variable at each depth level. The 5th and 95th percentiles of that distribution are chosen as our significance level. This analysis thus indicates how significant the extreme event composites are in comparison to randomly occurring anomalies.

4. Ningaloo Niño variability

The temperature and salinity NNIs depict strong interannual variability (Fig. 4a). A comparison with the NNI derived from monthly OISST data shows good agreement (anomalies: $r = 0.9$, $p < 0.01$, full: $r = 0.98$, $p < 0.01$), although there is a tendency for slightly lower amplitudes in the model. Salinity generally shows lower frequency variability than temperature, which suggests that the variability in both fields might be partly driven by different underlying processes. MHWs and MCSs are detected throughout the whole time period and warm anomalies are generally associated with fresh anomalies and vice versa (see Tables 1 and S1).

The largest MHWs in 1999/2000 and 2010/11 are both associated with strong fresh anomalies of up to 0.4 psu (Table 1) in the model. An average freshening of 0.2 psu between 1999 and 2002 has been described by Phillips et al. (2005) based on Argo float data and Feng et al. (2015) observed an anomaly of 0.3 psu for the 2010/11 event. Both these recent freshening events as well as the one in the mid-1970s in the model NNI time series are captured by long-term observational data at Rottnest Island and were associated with extended periods of La Niña phases (Feng et al. 2015). The model also shows a freshening in the first half of the 1960s (no data are available at Rottnest during this time). Both freshening events prior to 1980 are associated with heatwaves as well, which are of shorter duration (1–2 months); however, the intensity (i.e., maximum temperature anomaly) of the 1962 event is even slightly higher than the one of the 1999/2000 MHW (Table 1). The salinity NNI derived from the ISAS-15 gridded Argo dataset shows a fresh anomaly of 0.2 psu associated with the

FIG. 4. Time series of surface (a) temperature and (b) salinity anomaly averaged spatially over the Ningaloo Niño box (110°–117°E, 22°–32°S). Detected extreme events are marked in color, where red denotes heatwaves and blue cold spells. Monthly NNI time series derived from observational datasets for temperature (OISSTv2) and salinity (Argo-ISAS15) are plotted in yellow. All anomalies have been derived with reference to the full length of the respective time series.

2010/11 Ningaloo Niño (i.e., is on the same order as the 2000 event). The comparison with observations suggests that the model captures the interannual variability in both temperature and salinity well, although the magnitude of the fresh anomalies might be slightly overestimated by the model. Nevertheless, this does not dismiss the general distinctness of these fresh anomalies and their co-occurrence with MHWs.

Similarly, persistent positive anomalies in salinity are associated with more frequent MCSs, with distinct events ($\Delta S \approx 0.2$) in the first half of the 1990s and the mid-2000s, and two shorter but strong events in 2010 and 2016. Again the variability agrees well with the observations.

5. Extreme event composites

a. Depth structure

To investigate the depth structure of the detected MHWs and MCSs, composites of the mean vertical profile in the NNI box are derived (Fig. 5) for *Hindcast* and both sensitivity experiments (*Buoyancy*, *Wind*). The same analysis but limited to the narrower LC band has been performed (Fig. S1 in the online supplemental material). We adapted the definition by [Furue et al. \(2017\)](#) for the LC width, which used the 200-m isobath as the eastern boundary. The western boundary is marked by the location of the eastern boundary minus 0.7° longitude (see red band in Fig. 1). A strong symmetry between warm and cold events is revealed across depth for temperature, salinity, and meridional velocity in all three simulations. The largest anomalies are found at the surface for all variables in

Hindcast. Statistically significant (i.e., exceeding the gray shading in Fig. 5) temperature anomalies extend beyond the generally thin surface mixed layer down to 300 m for MHWs and even deeper to 500 m for MCSs, while nonsignificant anomalies with the respective sign are found up to a depth of 700 m. Within the LC the anomalies are also significant at greater depth (not shown). [Feng et al. \(2015\)](#) find positive anomalies down to 300 m close to the coast for the 2011 event using *BlueLink Reanalysis*, which is a data-assimilating hydrodynamic model product. Thus, our model seems to produce realistic vertical structures.

The sensitivity experiments suggest that for both, cold and warm extremes, thermohaline forcing (*Buoyancy*) is the largest contributor to the surface anomalies ($\sim 2/3$ over $1/3$). Velocity anomalies in *Buoyancy* (Fig. 5c) are not significant. Thus, heat and freshwater fluxes seem to have an overall weak impact on the LC strength in the composites, while this can, of course, be different for individual events. Temperature anomalies in *Buoyancy* become nonsignificant below about 150 m and are close to zero below 200-m depth.

Experiment *Wind* depicts a subsurface maximum of temperature anomalies at roughly 100–150-m depth and is generally responsible for the depth extent of the events. Maximum temperature anomalies are found at the depth of the thermocline, i.e., where a strong vertical temperature gradient exists, and composites of the in situ profiles (not shown) reveal shoaled isotherms during MHWs and deepened isotherms during MCSs (see schematic in Fig. 13). This subsurface maximum is again more pronounced within the LC (Fig. S1). To

FIG. 5. Composite vertical profiles in Ningaloo Niño box (110° – 116° E, 22° – 32° S) for marine heatwaves and cold spells for (a) temperature, (b) salinity, and (c) meridional velocity anomalies. Different colors, as marked in the legend, denote the hindcast simulation and two sensitivity experiments. Gray shading marks 95% significance interval obtained from the Monte Carlo simulation. The dashed lines in (c) show the composites within the Leeuwin Current band only.

understand this signal better, we compute seasonal averages for the MHW and MCS composites (not shown) and find that in wind the subsurface maximum is strongest in austral autumn [March–May (MAM)]; however, it starts to develop in summer (DJF) and extends into winter [June–August (JJA)] while it gradually deepens throughout. This seems to be partly driven by the mean seasonal cycle along the coast of Australia, comprising a seasonal deepening of the surface mixed layer, which creates a similar seasonal subsurface anomaly (Fig. S3). This process is likely enhanced during MHWs and weakened during MCS due to the overall vertical displacement of isotherms. The seasonality is driven from upstream, where a positive sea level anomaly, built up by monsoonal winds during November and December, emerges during DJF and subsequently propagates poleward along the coast (downwelling component), which also is thought to be the driver of the LC seasonality (Ridgway and Godfrey 2015).

As suggested by the surface NNI time series (Fig. 4), the vertical composites also show that warm anomalies are associated with fresh (negative) salinity anomalies and vice versa. These extend over the upper 200 m in *Hindcast*, while the sign of the anomalies reverses below, with slightly stronger anomalies at depth for MCSs compared to MHWs. In the LC band MHW composite anomalies are slightly larger at depth (not shown). Phillips et al. (2005) showed from observations that the fresh anomaly in 2000 extended down to approximately 180 m, thus being consistent with the composites in the model. Salinity depicts an overall higher contribution from the wind forcing, which again is responsible for the depth extent. As for temperature the anomalies at depth, including the sign reversal, can be explained in terms of a wind-dominated vertical displacement of the isohalines. Because salinity has a subsurface maximum at about 200 m, a deepening of the isohalines (in

case of an MCS) leads to positive anomalies above and negative anomalies below the maximum (see schematic in Fig. 13).

The imprint of the LC becomes apparent in the meridional velocity, which shows enhanced southward flow (i.e., negative anomalies), associated with MHWs across the upper 200 m (Fig. 5c). MCSs are associated with northward anomalies (i.e., a weakening of the LC), which are slightly smaller in magnitude than for MHWs and are significant in the upper 100 m only. Generally, the velocity signal is fully driven by wind forcing, with nonsignificant thermohaline contributions of opposite sign. The vertical composites for the LC band only show a significant reversal of velocity anomalies below approximately 180 m (dashed profiles in Fig. 5c), suggesting that these signals are compensated by offshore signals when averaging over the NNI box. The LUC is located within a depth range of 200–600 m (e.g., Domingues et al. 2007; Furue et al. 2017). This suggests a possible coupling between the LC and underlying LUC as proposed in previous studies (McCreary et al. 1986; Kundu and McCreary 1986; Domingues et al. 2007; Furue et al. 2017); however, these processes as well as the drivers of the LUC are still not well understood. While a more detailed analysis of the coupling and also the interaction of the boundary currents with the interior flow with respect to the depth extent of extreme events would provide insight, this is beyond the scope of this study.

b. Water mass characteristics

Ningaloo Niño has been linked to LC variability (e.g., Feng et al. 2013) and associated advection of warmer and fresher waters from upstream southward along the coast of WA. The waters transported by the LC are sourced from the ITF and from eastward geostrophic surface flow from the interior eastern Indian Ocean toward the western Australian coast (Menezes et al. 2013, 2014). An analysis of the water mass

FIG. 6. Temperature–salinity diagram for composites of marine (left) heatwaves and (right) cold spells averaged over the NNI box over (a), (b) the upper 800 m and (c), (d) individual points within the Leeuwin Current (see Fig. 1) between 22° and 32°S in *Hindcast*. The color shading denotes the temperature anomaly associated with the detected extreme events. The gray isolines represent potential density referenced to the surface. The gray dots represent modeled time-mean water mass properties in the larger eastern Indian Ocean region and the Indonesian archipelago (75°–150°E, 30°–40°S) for reference.

properties in temperature–salinity (TS) space can provide additional insight to the origin of water associated with extreme events and ultimately on the relevant drivers. A TS diagram of the NNI properties (0–800 m) (Figs. 6a,b) shows a stronger influence of the fresh ITF waters associated with maximum anomalies during MHWs, while MCSs show a slight tendency toward higher salinity.

Spatial averaging as done for the NNI might blur the water mass characteristics. Therefore, Figs. 6c and 6d show all data points for the composites within the LC band (see Fig. 1a). The influence of fresh Indonesian seas waters is apparent more clearly. This leads to lower densities that are about 0.1 kg m^{-3} lighter than during MCSs, which in turn likely impact the LC strength. Fresher/lighter surface waters transported by the LC may enhance the cross-shelf density gradient and thus drive a stronger southward flow (Feng et al. 2015), which is consistent with the velocity anomalies described earlier (Fig. 5). Feng

et al. (2015) showed that the fresh anomaly during the 2010/11 Ningaloo Niño contributed about 30% to the observed anomalous strengthening of the LC.

c. Spatial composites

To relate regional variability represented by the NNI with large-scale ocean patterns, spatial composites of temperature and salinity are derived for MHWs and MCSs at different depth levels (Fig. 7). To avoid repetition, only MHW composites are shown and discussed in this section. Composites of MCSs, however, show comparable features of opposite sign (Fig. S2).

Surface temperature anomalies in *Hindcast* show the largest values adjacent to the coast of WA; however, they are part of a large-scale patch of warm anomalies extending offshore and northward to about 15°S as well as southward around Cape Leeuwin. The characteristic wedge shape was described to

FIG. 7. Marine heatwave spatial composites for temperature and salinity anomalies at selected depth levels in *Hindcast*, *Buoyancy*, and *Wind*. The NNI box is marked in black for reference.

reflect the seasonal evolution of the WES feedback along the northeast flank of the Mascarene (subtropical) high (Marshall et al. 2015). A comparison to *Buoyancy* (Fig. 7) suggests that buoyancy forcing is the dominant driver of these surface anomalies, while only small anomalies are present in *Wind*. Here it is important to understand the design of the sensitivity experiments; while the winds in *Buoyancy* will not show anomalous signals due to the applied repeat-year forcing, the heat fluxes of the forcing product will still reflect increased heat fluxes into the ocean as a response to decreased wind (in the real world). Hence, we learn that ultimately heat fluxes drive the observed SST pattern; however, the wind can still be important in driving these anomalous heat fluxes. We can then conclude that in this instance the wind has an indirect effect (only via heat fluxes) on SST rather than a direct one through, for example, a downwelling of isotherms.

At depth (~ 70 – 200 m) strong anomalies appear in the tropical Indian Ocean and throughout the Indonesian archipelago, with maximum anomalies being found in the equatorial western Pacific, where the Mindanao Current feeds the ITF. In contrast to the surface, the wind forcing dominates at depth, which is consistent with the vertical structure of the NNI described earlier (Fig. 5). Here the experiment indicates that the wind has a direct effect on the temperature anomalies, which, again, does not rule out the potential importance of surface buoyancy fluxes in setting up these wind-driven anomalies. In *Hindcast* and *Wind*, maximum positive anomalies at the 134-m level (Fig. 7) stretch along the continental slope from northern WA into the NNI region. Consistent with the in situ profiles in

the NNI box, composites of the mean vertical profile over the continental slope at 120°E (not shown) indicate a vertical displacement of the thermocline (here the 20° isotherm was used) by about 3–4 m associated with MHWs (shallower thermocline) and MCS (deeper thermocline), which creates the observed subsurface maximum. Similar conditions are found in the Makassar Strait, therefore we conclude that these large-scale subsurface anomalies reflect the influence of the western Pacific winds on the thermocline depth in the Maritime Continent as proposed by other studies previously. For example, Marshall et al. (2015) find a positive correlation of the surface NNI with western Pacific ocean heat content (based on reanalysis data) over the upper 200 m. While it is commonly accepted that these signals propagate through the Maritime Continent, the lack of observational data in combination with the complex geometry and circulation leads to very few studies that actually investigate the large-scale vertical structure in that region. The vertical displacement within the NNI box is larger with ~ 30 m at 24°S ; however, the temperature gradient within the thermocline is not as large as farther upstream. Furthermore, these are composite values and displacements can thus vary from event to event.

The results above showed that generally fresh anomalies accompany strong MHWs. The spatial composites reveal a large-scale surface intensified fresh (low salinity) anomaly extending across the ITF region into the South China Sea. Intensified anomalies are also present along the coast of northern Australia. Fresh anomalies gradually decrease with depth, with the exception of the coastal region of Sumatra

FIG. 8. Average (top) temperature and (bottom) salinity anomalies in *Hindcast* at selected depth levels during the 2010/11 Ningaloo Niño event (October 2010–June 2011).

where a subsurface fresh anomaly is present at 78 m. This signal is likely again associated with a deepening of the thermocline. Along the coast of Australia, fresh anomalies extend down to approximately 200-m depth, consistent with the NNI vertical structure, which reflects the depth range influenced by the LC.

6. Case study: 2010/11 Ningaloo Niño

The 2010/11 Ningaloo Niño event is used as a case study. The surface and mixed-layer properties of this event have been investigated extensively in previous studies (e.g., Kataoka et al. 2014; Benthuyssen et al. 2014; Marshall et al. 2015). This study further elaborates on the evolution of the vertical structure extending below the mixed layer and associated large-scale spatial surface and subsurface patterns. Furthermore, choosing this event can help to ground-truth the model's skill in representing MHW events in the region. The 2010/11 event has been of unprecedented strength with drastic ecological impacts (e.g., Wernberg et al. 2013, 2016). Due to the seasonally varying threshold applied in this study for detecting extremes and likely the use of monthly model output, the event starts in October 2010 and lasts 20 months until May 2012. However, we focus on the period from October 2010 to June 2011, since the largest anomalies are typically found during austral summer (Marshall et al. 2015; Kataoka et al. 2014) and the 2011/12 event may have had different drivers. Nevertheless, the length of the detected event suggests that the region experienced warm anomalies over a long period, even if not officially identified as a MHW for the whole period in daily satellite data.

a. Spatial patterns

The average spatial temperature patterns during the 2010/11 MHW (Fig. 8) are very similar to the MHW composite patterns with wedge-like surface temperature anomalies in the south-eastern Indian Ocean and a strong subsurface anomaly in the tropical Indian Ocean and the ITF region. Salinity also shows

large-scale negative surface anomalies, which are particularly pronounced in the Makassar Strait and along the northern Australian coast. At 78-m depth a strong fresh anomaly is found along the coast of Java, where positive salinity anomalies are present at the surface. While the surface fresh signal is controlled by thermohaline forcing, this subsurface anomaly is wind-forced (not shown but also apparent in composite; Fig. 7).

b. Time evolution and vertical structure

The temporal evolution of the 2010/11 Ningaloo Niño has been investigated previously among others by Benthuyssen et al. (2014), who focused on the near-surface temperature (0–130 m). The authors suggested that a remotely forced strengthening of the LC contributed roughly 2/3 and a local positive air–sea flux into the ocean roughly 1/3 to the rise in temperatures. We are investigating the vertical structure of the event across the upper 800 m in the NNI box and the relative importance of buoyancy versus wind forcing.

The *Hindcast* shows an initial warm temperature and southward meridional velocity anomaly across the upper 250 m in October 2010 (Figs. 9b,d), indicating an initial strengthening of the LC, which is in agreement with Marshall et al. (2015). The JRA-55 meridional wind averaged over the NNI box (Fig. 9a) shows northerly wind anomalies during this time, opposing the mean southerly winds and thus contributing to a strengthening of the southward flowing LC. Correspondingly, the signal is dominant in *Wind*, while only a very minor initial temperature anomaly is observed in *Buoyancy*. A high latent heat flux (Fig. 9a) indicates that the ocean is losing heat to the atmosphere at this point.

While surface temperatures in *Wind* remain almost unchanged throughout the summer, a strong warming of the surface layer is observed in *Buoyancy* from November onward, reaching its maximum in March, which is mirrored in *Hindcast*. This is the time period when the event is detected as a MHW in

FIG. 9. (a) Time series (rolling mean of 30 days) of the meridional wind anomaly and latent heat flux averaged over the NNI box from JRA-55 forcing fields. (b)–(j) Monthly vertical profiles of (left) temperature, (center) salinity, and (right) meridional velocity anomalies averaged over the NNI box over the course of the 2010/11 Ningaloo Niño event for *Hindcast* in (b)–(d), *Buoyancy* in (e)–(g), and *Wind* in (h)–(j).

the daily satellite SST data. Furthermore, this is consistent with previous studies that suggest that the buoyancy forcing plays a key role in amplifying the surface signal via the activation of the WES feedback (Benthuisen et al. 2014; Kataoka et al.

2014; Marshall et al. 2015). Continuous northerly wind anomalies from October through to February, when they reach their maximum amplitude, maintained a strengthened LC and a wind-driven contribution to the warming event. However,

without further analysis it cannot be quantified how much local wind forcing—for example, through a weakening of the coastal upwelling component driven by a weakening of the southerly winds—versus a remotely driven wind signal contributes to the warming. A transition from northerly to southerly winds and thus a strengthening of the absolute wind occurs in March, associated with a rapid increase in latent heat flux, which marks the onset of declining surface anomalies.

Salinity does not show an anomaly in October in *Hindcast*. The sensitivity experiments suggest that a wind-induced freshening was counterbalanced by buoyancy-forced positive anomalies. From January onward negative salinity anomalies also develop in *Buoyancy*, resulting in a strong freshening in *Hindcast*. Thus, the freshening cannot solely be attributed to a wind-driven increased southward transport of fresh and warm waters by the LC. Marshall et al. (2015) attribute the local SST amplification to the onset of the WES feedback to the northwest of Australia, which also promotes increased rainfall in January and February. This mechanism can explain the thermohaline contribution to the fresh anomaly in the surface layer, which we will also see below when looking at the spatial evolution (Fig. 10).

Temperature and salinity anomalies in *Buoyancy* during the peak of the MHW are limited to the upper 500 m. In *Wind* a subsurface temperature anomaly peak starts developing from February onward, together with a deepening and strengthening of the fresh anomaly in the upper 250 m, with a maximum amplitude in May. The combination of surface thermohaline and subsurface wind-driven warming signal is expressed in *Hindcast*, where in May and June higher anomalies are found subsurface. Positive temperature anomalies extend down to about 600–700 m and gradually increase throughout the event. Initially, the salinity anomalies also extend to this depth in *Wind*; however, they change sign below 250 m from February onward.

Our results are in agreement with previous studies and suggest that an initial wind-driven strengthening of the LC sets up a positive temperature anomaly in October. Marshall et al. (2015) show that a positive SSH anomaly is propagating through the Maritime Continent from September onward, reaching the northern shelf of WA in October, which is consistent with a deepening of the thermocline found in the model. Our results show that the resulting temperature anomaly extends over the upper 250 m. *Buoyancy* forcing amplifies the surface anomalies from November onward with maximum anomalies being reached in March. A transition from northerly wind anomalies and associated increase in latent heat flux in March likely contributed to the surface cooling thereafter. However, wind forcing drives a subsurface extension of the MHW, which is likely connected to the large-scale subsurface anomaly found in the Indonesian seas (Fig. 8).

c. Time evolution of the spatial structure

As a next step, monthly spatial surface temperature and salinity anomalies during the 2010/11 MHW are investigated (September 2010–August 2011; Fig. 10). In September 2010, largest anomalies (temperature and salinity) are found between 0° and 15°S and in particular along the coast of Java.

These could be remnants of the anomalous strong Indian summer (boreal) monsoon in 2010, influenced by a negative Indian Ocean dipole in combination with La Niña, that led to anomalous rainfall in the eastern Indian Ocean and Indonesian Seas (Feng et al. 2013, 2015).

From October onward anomalies south of 15°S intensify, forming a warm patch off the coast of northwestern Australia in November and reaching the coastline in December, which likely reflects the onset of the WES feedback as described by Marshall et al. (2015). The temperature anomaly amplifies in the consecutive months associated with a fresh lens forming to the northwest of Australia in January and February (Fig. 10, bottom). The latter can explain the onset of the observed surface freshening in *Buoyancy* in the NNI box (Fig. 9).

From January onward the MHW propagates southward along the coast, passing through the NNI box. The flow becomes unstable in the southern part of the NNI box and partly detaches from the coast, carrying the anomalies offshore, in a region that is generally characterized by large eddy activity. Domingues et al. (2006) showed based on a modeling study, that 70% of the warming of a vast area in the southeast Indian Ocean (east of 107°E) is driven by eddy fluxes originating from the LC. Thus, the temperature anomalies at depth (200–300 m) as presented in this study may have implications not just for the coastal region but also for the offshore region. Furthermore, the spatial evolution shows that warm anomalies also continue to propagate along the coast and around Cape Leeuwin following the coastal waveguide.

In January, a tongue of cold anomalies forms along the outer archipelago extending to the north Australian coast, where it propagates into the archipelago as well as southward, reaching the NNI box in May and likely contributing to the damping of the MHW. This pattern is likely driven by the monsoon-related seasonal reversal of winds. However, warm anomalies persist along and off the coast of WA as indicated by the NNI.

7. Connection to large-scale climate variability

The circulation and thermohaline water mass properties in the eastern Indian Ocean are affected by large-scale climate modes acting on decadal time scales, such as the IPO as well as on interannual time scales, like ENSO. The ITF plays an important role in the coupling between the Pacific and the Indian Ocean (Sprintall et al. 2014; Lee et al. 2019; Hu et al. 2019). Improving our understanding of the connection between these large-scale patterns, some of which have well-known evolution or precursors, and the variability associated with Ningaloo Niño/Niña is essential for prediction and adaptation purposes.

Hovmöller plots of the temperature and salinity NNI (Figs. 11a,b) show interannual variability across the upper 600 m (much weaker below and therefore not shown) and suggest a two-layer system, which is particularly pronounced in salinity (as suggested by vertical composites already). To reduce the noise and eliminate small-scale signals in the vertical, temperature and salinity are averaged over the upper (0–200 m) and lower (200–600 m) layers and are shown as time series together with the ONI (Figs. 11c–f). We find a significant negative correlation of -0.6 ($p < 0.01$) between the upper layer temperature anomaly and the ONI (over the full time

FIG. 11. Hovmöller diagram of NNI (a) temperature and (b) salinity anomalies. Also shown are time series of detrended NNI temperature and salinity averaged (c),(d) over the upper 200 m and (e),(f) over 200–600 m. On the right y axis in (c)–(f) the oceanic Niño index (ONI) is shown in gray. The correlation index r between both time series in each panel is shown in the top-left corner (all correlations are significant with $p < 0.01$). Note that the ONI y axis is inverted in all panels.

period), while it is even slightly higher (-0.69 , $p < 0.01$) in the lower layer. Similarly, the correlation coefficient for the upper-layer salinity is 0.5 ($p < 0.01$) while it is -0.55 ($p < 0.01$) for the lower layer. The opposing signs of the correlations in salinity could again be attributed to the general vertical structure with a salinity maximum around 200 m. The slightly weaker correlation in the upper layer likely reflects the influence of local, non-ENSO related processes, such as the WES feedback on the surface layer.

There are no obvious shifts during a specific IPO phase in upper-layer temperature, while in the lower layer large positive anomalies seem to be more frequent during negative IPO phases, such as for example in the mid-1970s and mid-2000s onward. These events are also associated with large positive salinity anomalies in the lower layer. However, it should also be noted that anomalies during these events seem to be correlated particularly well with the ONI. In contrast to the lower layer, it is the four largest negative salinity events in the upper layer that occurred during negative IPO, whereas there is a tendency to more positive anomalies from the late 1970s to roughly 2000s when the IPO was in a positive phase. These salinity signals in the upper layer are consistent with Hu et al. (2019), who have shown that the IPO/PDO has strong impacts on salinity in the southeast Indian Ocean, with positive (negative) salinity anomalies generally occurring during negative (positive) IPO and ENSO phases.

Correlation coefficients of the NNI and the IPO tripole index are very similar to the correlations with the ONI (see Table 2), suggesting that the overall correlation can be attributed to the interannual ENSO variability that is also reflected in the IPO index. However, as mentioned above our results indicate that the IPO does modulate signals in the NNI box on top of ENSO, in particular in the lower layer. This would be consistent with findings by Li et al. (2020) that the thermocline in Makassar Strait shows larger interannual variability with periods of 2–7 years and the subthermocline large variability on decadal time scales (>10 years). Furthermore, we find periods of higher correlations versus periods of lower correlations when performing running mean correlations with window sizes of 10 and 20 years (not shown). Generally, it is difficult to strictly separate the impacts of the IPO and ENSO on the NNI region without a more detailed analysis, which lies beyond the scope of this study.

It has been shown that La Niña events can favor the development of Ningaloo Niño, however, many events also occur during neutral or positive (i.e., La Niña) phases (Kataoka et al. 2014; Marshall et al. 2015; also see Table 1 herein). Here we investigate the depth structure of selected MHWs that were associated with either a clear negative (La Niña) or positive (El Niño) ONI (Fig. 12). The events during El Niño show a strikingly different vertical structure with positive temperature anomalies being limited to the surface layer, where the buoyancy forcing seems to be the sole driver, while events

TABLE 2. Correlation indices of upper- and lower-layer temperature and salinity anomalies with modeled Indonesian Throughflow (ITF) transport anomalies and observation-based interdecadal Pacific oscillation (IPO) index and oceanic Niño index for Hindcast (bold), Buoyancy (italic), and Wind (normal). An asterisk (*) denotes that correlation is statistically nonsignificant ($p > 0.01$). A 12-month rolling mean has been applied to all time series.

	Hindcast, Buoyancy, Wind			
	Temp 0–200 m	Salinity 0–200 m	Temp 200–600 m	Salinity 200–600 m
ITF	0.38 , <i>0.04*</i> , 0.48	−0.36 , <i>−0.2</i> , <i>−0.38</i>	0.44 , <i>−0.03*</i> , 0.46	0.37 , <i>0.02*</i> , 0.35
ITF detrended	0.47 , <i>0.05*</i> , 0.6	−0.44 , <i>−0.25</i> , <i>−0.47</i>	0.54 , <i>−0.04*</i> , 0.55	0.46 , <i>0.02*</i> , 0.43
IPO	−0.62 , <i>−0.14</i> , <i>−0.74</i>	0.57 , 0.29, 0.57	−0.72 , <i>0.09*</i> , <i>−0.68</i>	−0.54 , <i>0.01*</i> , <i>−0.45</i>
ONI	−0.61 , <i>−0.16</i> , <i>−0.74</i>	0.5 , 0.24, 0.55	−0.69 , <i>0.04*</i> , <i>−0.62</i>	−0.53 , <i>−0.06*</i> , 0.40

associated with La Niña are characterized by positive temperature anomalies extending to much greater depth. As found in the composites, the signal at depth is wind-driven while both forcing components contribute to the surface signal. Consistently, only the La Niña events show a freshening across the upper 200 m and a strengthening of the LC associated with the temperature anomalies.

By providing insight into the depth structure, these results therefore complement and augment our knowledge based on

results of Kataoka et al. (2014) and Marshall et al. (2015). In particular, Kataoka et al. (2014) distinguished between locally amplified and remotely forced events and define the coastal wind index (CWI) as a measure of local forcing. We derive the CWI by averaging the meridional ocean surface stress over the NNI box. The mean CWI value is derived for each event (see Table 1). The CWI for the El Niño, surface-intensified events in our results is strongly positive, indicating northerly wind anomalies which lead to an overall decrease of wind speed and

FIG. 12. Mean modeled vertical profiles of (top) temperature, (middle) salinity, and (bottom) meridional velocity anomalies in NNI box for selected events. The maximum value of the coastal wind index (CWI) and the mean oceanic Niño index (ONI) during the events are given in the top panels. If an event lasted more than one month, monthly profiles are plotted in gray.

therefore a reduced latent heat flux to the atmosphere explaining that the signal is only visible in *Buoyancy*. The CWI is weak in the 1976 event and on average also during the 2000 and 2011 event, however, the maximum value during the peak of the MHW is high with 4.15 in January 2010 and 6.39 in February 2011. The depth extent of the anomalies in these selected events agrees with our earlier discussion for the composite profiles and spatial patterns at depth in that these anomalies are driven by a large-scale vertical displacement of the thermocline, likely induced in the western Pacific by increased easterly wind stress during negative ENSO phases.

8. Discussion and conclusions

We utilize output of a global ocean general circulation model ($1/4^\circ$) from 1958 to 2016 to investigate temporal and spatial characteristics of Ningaloo Niño (MHW) and Niña (MCS) with particular focus on the depth structure. Sensitivity experiments are used to investigate the contributions of buoyancy versus wind forcing to the observed patterns. A Ningaloo Niño index is derived also for salinity, in addition to temperature. A comparison to the leading EOF mode shows that the salinity index also represents the regional variability well.

A composite analysis shows that Ningaloo Niño/Niña is associated with large-scale subsurface temperature anomalies that extend from the western Pacific across the Maritime Continent into the tropical eastern Indian Ocean, which also reflect as subsurface maxima/minima in the vertical NNI profiles. SSTs exhibit the characteristic wedge-shape pattern with maximum anomalies along the coast of WA reflecting the WES feedback being active off the coast of northwestern Australia (Kataoka et al. 2014; Marshall et al. 2015). Salinity shows a large-scale surface anomaly across the eastern Indian Ocean and the Indonesian seas, with a weaker subsurface expression. The sensitivity experiments reveal that surface anomalies are dominated by buoyancy forcing, while the subsurface anomalies mainly are wind-driven.

Strong symmetry is found between Ningaloo Niño and Ningaloo Niña composites of the vertical structure within the NNI box (Figs. 5 and 13), suggesting common driving processes for positive and negative extremes. Temperature anomalies are largest in the surface layer, where thermohaline forcing is the major contributor. The wind-forced run, however, reveals a subsurface temperature maximum anomaly and is generally responsible for extending the anomalies to greater depth. We suggest that the large-scale anomalies are driven by vertical displacements of isotherms (Fig. 13c) while a seasonal downwelling component, generated along the northern Australian coast by monsoonal winds and then propagating poleward along the coast of WA is also at play in the Ningaloo region.

Composites show that significant temperature anomalies extend to a depth of 300 m, which interestingly is deeper than the depth of the LC of about 200 m. Furthermore, the anomalies at depth are even more pronounced within the LC and salinity and meridional velocity anomalies show a clear change of sign below about 200-m depth, reflecting the transition from the LC and the LUC below. A coupling between the two has been suggested (Furue et al. 2017) via gradual downwelling at

the interface; however, little is known still about the dynamics of the system. The anomalies are consistent with a vertical displacement of isotherms and isohalines and the respective in situ profiles of temperature and salinity. However, in order to fully understand the presented depth structure of anomalies associated with Ningaloo Niño/Niña, a more thorough analysis of the coupling between the two boundary currents and the role of the interior flow feeding into these is needed.

We find that Ningaloo Niños in 2000 and 2011 are unprecedented compound events, where long-lasting high temperatures are accompanied by extreme freshening of similar magnitude, which is unprecedented since 1980. These freshening events have previously been described and connected to strong La Niña forcing by Phillips et al. (2005) and Feng et al. (2013, 2015) for the 2000 and 2011 event respectively; however, only the latter was discussed in direct relation to MHWs. Our analysis shows that the fresh anomalies coincide with a negative phase of the IPO, which is consistent with results by Hu et al. (2019), who show that negative salinity anomalies in the southeast Indian Ocean generally occur during negative phases of the Pacific decadal oscillation (a more regional phenomenon as part of the IPO) and ENSO, while positive anomalies occur during their positive phases. The salinity NNI reveals two freshening events of similar magnitude and length in the 1960s and 1970s, again associated with a negative IPO phase; however, only the latter coincides with a persistent La Niña phase. Nevertheless, MHWs are detected during both events, although not as persistent as in 2000 and 2011, which might be attributed to the general background warming of the ocean over the last few decades—although the 1962 event shows a temperature anomaly above 1.5°C , which is on the same order of magnitude as the 2000 event. Generally, both upper-layer temperature and salinity show significant correlations (~ 0.5 – 0.6 , $p < 0.01$) with the ONI index, where wind forcing is the major contributor (see Table 2). Correlations with the IPO index are only slightly higher than with the ONI, suggesting that these arise from the interannual ENSO component that is embedded in the unfiltered IPO index.

The 2011 Ningaloo Niño has been investigated in detail in recent years (Feng et al. 2013; Kataoka et al. 2014; Marshall et al. 2015). The observation based study by Marshall et al. (2015) shows that the initial warming is driven by increased poleward advection in October–December 2010, followed by an intensification of surface anomalies via anomalous heat fluxes. Our analysis of the vertical, monthly evolution of the 2011 event is in agreement with these findings and indicates that the ocean model and the associated sensitivity experiments are performing well. In this study, we shed light on the vertical structure and evolution of the event and show that the strongest anomalies are found in a thin surface layer, however, positive temperature anomalies of about 1°C extent down to 250-m depth and anomalies around 0.5°C to 600-m depth in the composites. Our sensitivity experiments show that this subsurface signal is wind-driven and develops in austral summer extending into autumn/winter driven by a downwelling anomaly that propagates along the coast seasonally. During MHWs and MCSs this signal is enhanced or muted, respectively.

FIG. 13. Summarizing schematic of depth structure associated with (a) marine heatwaves (Ningaloo Niño) and (b) cold spells (Ningaloo Niña) and (c) mean vertical profiles in the Ningaloo Niño box.

Information about the vertical extent of ocean extremes will be indispensable for impact assessment and adaptation strategies. One future step could be to define different categories of vertical structures associated with MHWs off the western Australia coast as recently done in the Tasman Sea (Elzahaby et al. 2019). Our analysis shows that there are significant differences between events; in particular, events associated with negative ENSO phases have a greater depth extent compared to events during a positive or neutral phase. The latter are dominated by buoyancy forcing which acts on the surface layer only and is likely driven through local feedback mechanisms. These results augment results by Kataoka et al. (2014), who proposed the existence of locally versus nonlocally amplified events based on surface data. Model output with higher temporal and spatial resolution would be preferable to expand our analysis. A 5-daily mean output for *Hindcast* is currently generated as well as a configuration with a high-resolution ($1/20^\circ$) nest for the Indo-Pacific region.

A recent study by Pilo et al. (2019) suggests that all models have biases in MHW intensity, frequency, and duration; however, eddy-permitting models are able to produce global spatial patterns of MHWs that are comparable to observed spatial patterns. Our results confirm this. Furthermore, our analysis is likely only valid for larger events, since short events on the order of days are not resolved temporally unless they appear with high frequency, which would then be expressed in the monthly mean field. However, Kataoka et al. (2014) and Marshall et al. (2015) show in their composite analysis that significant anomalies start to develop in October already associated with the development of northeasterly wind anomalies. This can explain why for example the 2010/11 begins in October in our analysis. Because the amplitudes are likely underestimated and duration (compared to detection based on daily data) of events overestimated, we did not put emphasis on the absolute values but rather tried to focus on the spatial and vertical relative structures. Our analysis has shown, in agreement with Marshall et al. (2015), that in order to assess large-scale drivers and spatial patterns associated with strong events, such as the 2011 Ningaloo Niño, the evolution over several month has to be studied, even if the actual event is much shorter. Therefore, even at monthly output, our model has proven to be an adequate and valuable tool.

Furthermore, the sensitivity experiments used here were able to distinguish between important drivers for the depth structure in the Ningaloo region and reflected proposed mechanisms by previous individual studies. While some of the presented results seem to “only” confirm results previously published, we believe that in addition to providing important insight into the depth structure of extreme events in the southeast Indian Ocean, this study also serves as a proof-of-concept that the global ocean models in combination with the sensitivity experiments are able to capture the relevant processes at play. This will serve as a basis for future studies with this model at higher temporal and spatial resolution. Due to sparse observations and the complex circulation in the Maritime Continent, investigations of the vertical profile of the ITF and its variability have been limited. It will be interesting to explore connections between the ITF and the upper

southeastern Indian Ocean in the future. Our analysis suggests for example that the depth range between 200 and 600 m is more strongly correlated to large-scale processes while the upper layer is also modulated by local processes.

Acknowledgments. Use of the following datasets is gratefully acknowledged: Hadley Centre Sea Ice and Sea Surface Temperature (HadISST) accessed at <https://www.metoffice.gov.uk/hadobs/hadisst/data/download.html>, the In Situ Analysis System (ISAS-15) tool accessed at <https://www.seanoe.org/data/00412/52367/>, and global ocean gridded L4 sea surface heights, which is made available by E.U. Copernicus Marine Service (CMEMS) and can be downloaded under https://resources.marine.copernicus.eu/?option=com_csw&task=results?option=com_csw&view=details&product_id=SEALEVEL_GLO_PHY_L4_REP_OBSERVATIONS_008_047. The oceanic Nino index (ONI) was downloaded from <https://psl.noaa.gov/data/climateindices/list/#ONI>. The integration of the OGCM simulations was performed at the North-German Supercomputing Alliance (HLRN) and the Computing Centre at Kiel University. The raw model data can be downloaded at <https://data.geomar.de/downloads/20.500.12085/beb05787-f533-485c-9d91-2d41722631d1/> and code and derived fields to reproduce figures are available at <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4429373>. The authors thank two anonymous reviewers for their constructive feedback that helped improve an earlier version of the manuscript. Thank you to Susan Wijffels for helpful discussion. The following support is gratefully acknowledged: the Feodor-Lynen Fellowship by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation and the WHOI Postdoctoral Scholar program (to SR), the Office of Naval Research under project number N-00014-19-12646 (to GG), the James E. and Barbara V. Moltz Fellowship for Climate-Related Research (to CCU), and IndoArchipel from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) as part of the Special Priority Program (SPP)-1889 “Regional Sea Level Change and Society” (SeaLevel) (for PW).

REFERENCES

- Barnier, B., and Coauthors, 2006: Impact of partial steps and momentum advection schemes in a global ocean circulation model at eddy-permitting resolution. *Ocean Dyn.*, **56**, 543–567, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10236-006-0082-1>.
- Behrens, E., D. Fernandez, and P. Sutton, 2019: Meridional oceanic heat transport influences marine heatwaves in the Tasman Sea on interannual to decadal timescales. *Front. Mar. Sci.*, **6**, 228, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00228>.
- Benthuisen, J., M. Feng, and L. Zhong, 2014: Spatial patterns of warming off western Australia during the 2011 Ningaloo Niño: Quantifying impacts of remote and local forcing. *Cont. Shelf Res.*, **91**, 232–246, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2014.09.014>.
- , E. C. J. Oliver, M. Feng, and A. G. Marshall, 2018: Extreme marine warming across tropical Australia during austral summer 2015–2016. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*, **123**, 1301–1326, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JC013326>.
- Clarke, A. J., and X. Liu, 1994: Interannual sea level in the northern and eastern Indian Ocean. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **24**, 1224–1235, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485\(1994\)024<1224:ISLITN>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(1994)024<1224:ISLITN>2.0.CO;2).
- Domingues, C. M., S. E. Wijffels, M. E. Maltrud, J. A. Church, and M. Tomczak, 2006: Role of eddies in cooling the Leeuwin

- Current. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **33**, L05603, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL025216>.
- , M. E. Maltrud, S. E. Wijffels, J. A. Church, and M. Tomczak, 2007: Simulated Lagrangian pathways between the Leeuwin Current system and the upper-ocean circulation of the southeast Indian Ocean. *Deep-Sea Res. II*, **54**, 797–817, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2006.10.003>.
- Elzahaby, Y., A. Schaeffer, and P. J. Sutton, 2019: Observational insight into the subsurface anomalies of marine heatwaves. *Front. Mar. Sci.*, **6**, 745, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00745>.
- Fabienne, G., 2012: ISAS-tool version 6: Method and configuration. Tech. Doc. LPO-12-02, 18 pp., <https://doi.org/10.13155/22583>.
- Feng, M., G. Meyers, A. Pearce, and S. Wijffels, 2003: Annual and interannual variations of the Leeuwin Current at 32°S. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **108**, 3355, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JC001763>.
- , A. Biastoch, C. Böning, N. Caputi, and G. Meyers, 2008: Seasonal and interannual variations of upper ocean heat balance off the west coast of Australia. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **113**, C12025, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JC004908>.
- , M. J. McPhaden, S. P. Xie, and J. Hafner, 2013: La Niña forces unprecedented Leeuwin Current warming in 2011. *Sci. Rep.*, **3**, 1277, <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep01277>.
- , J. Benthuisen, N. Zhang, and D. Slawinski, 2015: Freshening anomalies in the Indonesian Throughflow and impacts on the Leeuwin Current during 2010–2011. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **42**, 8555–8562, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL065848>.
- Feng, X., and T. Shinoda, 2019: Air–sea heat flux variability in the southeast Indian Ocean and its relation with Ningaloo Niño. *Front. Mar. Sci.*, **6**, 266, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00266>.
- Fichefet, T., and M. A. Maqueda, 1997: Sensitivity of a global sea ice model to the treatment of ice thermodynamics and dynamics. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **102**, 12 609–12 646, <https://doi.org/10.1029/97JC00480>.
- Frölicher, T. L., and C. Laufkötter, 2018: Emerging risks from marine heat waves. *Nat. Commun.*, **9**, 650, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03163-6>.
- Furue, R., K. Guerreiro, H. E. Phillips, J. P. McCreary, and N. L. Bindoff, 2017: On the Leeuwin Current system and its linkage to zonal flows in the south Indian Ocean as inferred from a gridded hydrography. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **47**, 583–602, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-16-0170.1>.
- Gordon, A. L., 2005: Oceanography of the Indonesian seas and their throughflow. *Oceanography*, **18**, 14–27, <https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2005.01>.
- , and Coauthors, 2010: The Indonesian Throughflow during 2004–2006 as observed by the instant program. *Dyn. Atmos. Oceans*, **50**, 115–128, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dynatmoce.2009.12.002>.
- , B. A. Huber, E. J. Metzger, R. D. Susanto, H. E. Hurlburt, and T. R. Adi, 2012: South China Sea throughflow impact on the Indonesian Throughflow. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **39**, L11602, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL052021>.
- Griffies, S. M., and Coauthors, 2009: Coordinated Ocean-ice Reference Experiments (COREs). *Ocean Modell.*, **26**, 1–46, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2008.08.007>.
- Gruenburg, L., and A. Gordon, 2018: Variability in Makassar Strait heat flux and its effect on the eastern tropical Indian Ocean. *Oceanography*, **31**, 80–87, <https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2018.220>.
- Henley, B. J., J. Gergis, D. J. Karoly, S. Power, J. Kennedy, and C. K. Folland, 2015: A tripole index for the interdecadal Pacific oscillation. *Climate Dyn.*, **45**, 3077–3090, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-015-2525-1>.
- Hobday, A. J., and Coauthors, 2016: A hierarchical approach to defining marine heatwaves. *Prog. Oceanogr.*, **141**, 227–238, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2015.12.014>.
- , and Coauthors, 2018: Categorizing and naming marine heatwaves. *Oceanography*, **31**, 162–173, <https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2018.205>.
- Hu, S., and J. Sprintall, 2016: Interannual variability of the Indonesian Throughflow: The salinity effect. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*, **121**, 2596–2615, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JC011495>.
- , and Coauthors, 2019: Interannual to decadal variability of upper-ocean salinity in the southern Indian Ocean and the role of the Indonesian Throughflow. *J. Climate*, **32**, 6403–6421, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-19-0056.1>.
- Jones, T., and Coauthors, 2018: Massive mortality of a planktivorous seabird in response to a marine heatwave. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **45**, 3193–3202, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL076164>.
- Kataoka, T., T. Tozuka, S. Behera, and T. Yamagata, 2014: On the Ningaloo Niño/Niña. *Climate Dyn.*, **43**, 1463–1482, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-013-1961-z>.
- , —, and T. Yamagata, 2017: Generation and decay mechanisms of Ningaloo Niño/Niña. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*, **122**, 8913–8932, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JC012966>.
- , S. Masson, T. Izumo, T. Tozuka, and T. Yamagata, 2018: Can Ningaloo Niño/Niña develop without El Niño–Southern Oscillation? *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **45**, 7040–7048, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL078188>.
- Kundu, P. K., and J. P. McCreary, 1986: On the dynamics of the throughflow from the Pacific into the Indian Ocean. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **16**, 2191–2198, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485\(1986\)016<2191:OTDOTT>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(1986)016<2191:OTDOTT>2.0.CO;2).
- Large, W. G., and S. G. Yeager, 2009: The global climatology of an interannually varying air–sea flux data set. *Climate Dyn.*, **33**, 341–364, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-008-0441-3>.
- Lee, T., S. Fournier, A. L. Gordon, and J. Sprintall, 2019: Maritime Continent water cycle regulates low-latitude chokepoint of global ocean circulation. *Nat. Commun.*, **10**, 2103, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-10109-z>.
- Levitus, S., T. P. Boyer, M. E. Conkright, and T. O. Brien, 1998: Temporal distribution of mechanical bathythermograph profiles. Vol. 2, *World Ocean Database 1998*, NOAA ATLAS NESDIS 19, 346 pp.
- Li, M., A. L. Gordon, L. K. Gruenburg, J. Wei, and S. Yang, 2020: Interannual to decadal response of the Indonesian Throughflow vertical profile to Indo-Pacific forcing. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **47**, e2020GL087679, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL087679>.
- Madec, G., 2016: NEMO ocean engine. Note du Pôle de modélisation de l’Institut Pierre-Simon Laplace 27, 396 pp.
- Marshall, A. G., H. H. Hendon, M. Feng, and A. Schiller, 2015: Initiation and amplification of the Ningaloo Niño. *Climate Dyn.*, **45**, 2367–2385, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-015-2477-5>.
- McCreary, J. P., S. R. Shetye, and P. K. Kundu, 1986: Thermohaline forcing of eastern boundary currents: With application to the circulation off the west coast of Australia. *J. Mar. Res.*, **44**, 71–92, <https://doi.org/10.1357/002224086788460184>.
- Menezes, V. V., H. E. Phillips, A. Schiller, C. M. Domingues, and N. L. Bindoff, 2013: Salinity dominance on the Indian Ocean eastern gyral current. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **40**, 5716–5721, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2013GL057887>.
- , —, —, N. L. Bindoff, C. M. Domingues, and M. L. Vianna, 2014: South Indian Countercurrent and associated

- fronts. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*, **119**, 6763–6791, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JC010076>.
- Mills, K. E., and Coauthors, 2013: Fisheries management in a changing climate: Lessons from the 2012 ocean heat wave in the northwest Atlantic. *Oceanography*, **26**, 191–195, <https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2013.27>.
- Oliver, E. C. J., J. A. Benthuisen, N. L. Bindoff, A. J. Hobday, N. J. Holbrook, C. N. Mundy, and S. E. Perkins-Kirkpatrick, 2017: The unprecedented 2015/16 Tasman Sea marine heatwave. *Nat. Commun.*, **8**, 16101, <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms16101>.
- , and Coauthors, 2018: Longer and more frequent marine heatwaves over the past century. *Nat. Commun.*, **9**, 1324, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03732-9>.
- Pearce, A. F., and M. Feng, 2013: The rise and fall of the “marine heat wave” off western Australia during the summer of 2010/2011. *J. Mar. Syst.*, **111–112**, 139–156, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2012.10.009>.
- Phillips, H. E., S. E. Wijffels, and M. Feng, 2005: Interannual variability in the freshwater content of the Indonesian-Australian basin. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **32**, L03603, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL021755>.
- Pilo, G. S., N. J. Holbrook, A. E. Kiss, and A. M. Hogg, 2019: Sensitivity of marine heatwave metrics to ocean model resolution. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **46**, 14 604–14 612, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL084928>.
- Rayner, N. A., D. E. Parker, E. B. Horton, C. K. Folland, L. V. Alexander, D. P. Rowell, E. C. Kent, and A. Kaplan, 2003: Global analyses of sea surface temperature, sea ice, and night marine air temperature since the late nineteenth century. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **108**, 4407, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD002670>.
- Reynolds, R. W., T. M. Smith, C. Liu, D. B. Chelton, K. S. Casey, and M. G. Schlax, 2007: Daily high-resolution-blended analyses for sea surface temperature. *J. Climate*, **20**, 5473–5496, <https://doi.org/10.1175/2007JCLI1824.1>.
- Ridgway, K. R., and J. S. Godfrey, 2015: The source of the Leeuwin Current seasonality. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*, **120**, 6843–6864, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JC011049>.
- Short, J., T. Foster, J. Falter, G. A. Kendrick, and M. T. McCulloch, 2015: Crustose coralline algal growth, calcification and mortality following a marine heatwave in western Australia. *Cont. Shelf Res.*, **106**, 38–44, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2015.07.003>.
- Smale, D. A., and Coauthors, 2019: Marine heatwaves threaten global biodiversity and the provision of ecosystem services. *Nat. Climate Change*, **9**, 306–312, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0412-1>.
- Smith, R. L., A. Huyer, J. S. Godfrey, and J. A. Church, 1991: The Leeuwin Current off western Australia, 1986–1987. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **21**, 323–345, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485\(1991\)021<0323:TLCOWA>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(1991)021<0323:TLCOWA>2.0.CO;2).
- Sprintall, J., S. E. Wijffels, R. Molcard, and I. Jaya, 2009: Direct estimates of the Indonesian Throughflow entering the Indian Ocean: 2004–2006. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **114**, C07001, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JC005257>.
- , A. L. Gordon, A. Koch-Larrouy, T. Lee, J. T. Potemra, K. Pujiana, and S. E. Wijffels, 2014: The Indonesian seas and their role in the coupled ocean–climate system. *Nat. Geosci.*, **7**, 487–492, <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2188>.
- Steele, M., R. Morley, and W. Ermold, 2001: PHC: A global ocean hydrography with a high-quality Arctic Ocean. *J. Climate*, **14**, 2079–2087, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442\(2001\)014<2079:PAOHW>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(2001)014<2079:PAOHW>2.0.CO;2).
- Stewart, K. D., and Coauthors, 2020: JRA55-do-based repeat year forcing datasets for driving ocean–sea-ice models. *Ocean Modell.*, **147**, 101557, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2019.101557>.
- Tsujino, H., and Coauthors, 2018: JRA-55 based surface dataset for driving ocean–sea-ice models (JRA55-do). *Ocean Modell.*, **130**, 79–139, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2018.07.002>.
- Wernberg, T., D. A. Smale, F. Tuya, M. S. Thomsen, T. J. Langlois, T. de Bettignies, S. Bennett, and C. S. Rousseaux, 2013: An extreme climatic event alters marine ecosystem structure in a global biodiversity hotspot. *Nat. Climate Change*, **3**, 78–82, <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1627>.
- , and Coauthors, 2016: Climate-driven regime shift of a temperate marine ecosystem. *Science*, **353**, 2009–2012, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad8745>.
- Wijffels, S., G. Meyers, S. Wijffels, and G. Meyers, 2004: An intersection of oceanic waveguides: Variability in the Indonesian Throughflow region. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **34**, 1232–1253, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485\(2004\)034<1232:ATIOOV>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(2004)034<1232:ATIOOV>2.0.CO;2).