Physical Climate Response to a Reduction of Anthropogenic Climate Forcing
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ABSTRACT: Recent research indicates that the warming of the climate system resulting from increased greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions over the next century will persist for many centuries after the cessation of these emissions, principally because of the persistence of elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO₂) concentrations and their attendant radiative forcing. However, it is unknown
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whether the responses of other components of the climate system—including those related to Greenland and Antarctic ice cover, the Atlantic thermohaline circulation, the West African monsoon, and ecosystem and human welfare—would be reversed even if atmospheric CO₂ concentrations were to recover to 1990 levels. Here, using a simple set of experiments employing a current-generation numerical climate model, the authors examine the response of the physical climate system to decreasing CO₂ concentrations following an initial increase. Results indicate that many characteristics of the climate system, including global temperatures, precipitation, soil moisture, and sea ice, recover as CO₂ concentrations decrease. However, other components of the Earth system may still exhibit nonlinear hysteresis. In these experiments, for instance, increases in stratospheric water vapor, which initially result from increased CO₂ concentrations, remain present even as CO₂ concentrations recover. These results suggest that identification of additional threshold behaviors in response to human-induced global climate change should focus on subcomponents of the full Earth system, including cryosphere, biosphere, and chemistry.
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CO₂ concentration, as investigated by others (Matthews and Caldeira 2008; Lowe et al. 2009; Solomon et al. 2009).

2. Model and experiments

We use version 3 of the National Center for Atmospheric Research Community Atmosphere Model (NCAR CAM 3.0). This GCM consists of a fully dynamic atmosphere model (CAM 3.0) coupled to an interactive land model [Community
Land Model (CLM 3.0], a thermodynamic sea ice model, and a slab ocean model (Collins et al. 2006). The atmosphere (CAM 3.0) and land (CLM 3.0) models are part of the Community Climate System Model, version 3 (CCSM3; Kiehl et al. 2006). This GCM configuration allows short equilibration times while still attaining representative climate states in response to a given forcing (Kiehl et al. 2006). As such, slab ocean versions of coupled atmosphere–ocean GCMs similar to this one are used in a variety of climate sensitivity studies (e.g., Kiehl et al. 2006; Gregory and Webb 2008; Bala et al. 2008).

We perform (i) a 150-yr control run with CO2 concentrations fixed at 350 ppmv and (ii) three transient ensemble runs, each initialized off the control run, in which the CO2 concentrations are increased from 350 to 700 ppmv (ascending phase) and then decreased back to 350 ppmv (descending phase) in steps of 25 ppmv every 5 years (Figure 1a). At that point, the CO2 concentration is held fixed at 350 ppmv for the next 30 years (Figure 1a). The three ensemble members are initialized at 1-yr intervals so as to incorporate interannual variability. These simulations start from the 13th, 14th, and 15th year of the control run, respectively. All model simulations are performed at T42 (~2.8° × 2.8° horizontal resolution) spectral truncation with 26 layers in the vertical extending from the surface to approximately 3 hPa. Changes in the climate response to imposed CO2 concentrations are calculated as the difference between the ensemble-mean value taken from the transient simulations and a 100-yr (years 21–120) mean value derived from the control run.

3. Results

Projections from the model system indicate that the global annual mean surface temperature is 2.3°C warmer (3.4°C in the high-latitude land areas) at 700-ppmv CO2 compared to the control (Figure 1b). Global mean precipitation increases by approximately 0.14 mm day⁻¹ (Figure 1c). The loss in summer sea ice extent is about 30% in the Arctic and 55% in the Antarctic (Figure 1d). The changes in temperature and precipitation are in agreement with those reported in current literature (see A1B scenario from Solomon et al. 2007; Kiehl et al. 2006), although this model system may underestimate changes in sea ice extent due to the lack of ocean and sea ice dynamics. Further we find that both temperature and precipitation return to their initial levels as CO2 concentration is decreased from 700 to 350 ppmv and held constant for 30 years. The amplification of warming in the high latitudes also disappears. Soil moisture and summer sea ice extent in the Northern and Southern Hemispheres recover within this 30-yr period as well (Figures 1c and 1d).

Overall, the physical climate system simulated by the numerical model suggests that global climate parameters—temperature, precipitation, soil moisture, and sea ice—return to their initial values soon after the CO2 concentrations do. To better understand the quasi-linear response of the physical climate system to increasing and decreasing CO2 concentrations, we examine metrics related to the prominent feedback mechanisms active on the time scales over which the CO2 concentrations are occurring, including surface (snow and sea ice) albedo, clouds, water vapor/lapse rate, and radiative cooling (e.g., Bony et al. 2006). To show the response of surface albedo, we calculated changes in Northern Hemisphere extratropical springtime surface albedo, modulated by the dependence of planetary albedo on surface albedo and multiplied by solar insolation (as in Qu and Hall 2006), and plotted it as a
function of global mean surface temperature (Figure 2a). Overall, there is a linear decrease (increase) of reflected incoming solar radiation with an increase (decrease) in surface temperature (the associated feedback is plotted here as a positive increase in absorbed radiation). This linear behavior of Northern Hemisphere snow cover is attributable to strong thermodynamic coupling with surface temperatures (Hall 2004) during both warming (ascending) and cooling (descending) phases of the climate system.

Cloud feedbacks are estimated by quantifying changes in cloud radiative forcing (Cess et al. 1996), which is a measure of the impact of clouds upon the top-of-atmosphere (TOA) radiation balance. The net effect of clouds is to cool the simulated climate system (Kiehl et al. 2006), with increased cooling under warmer climates and reduced cooling under colder climates (Figure 2b). Overall, though, the cloud radiative forcing adjusts quasi-linearly with surface temperature as well.

Figure 2. (a) Change in Northern Hemisphere extratropical spring season (March–May) absorbed shortwave radiation (W m\(^{-2}\)) at the surface plotted as a function of global annual mean surface temperature (K) during (100 years) control run (gray), and ascending phase (red) and descending phase (blue) of CO\(_2\) concentrations seen in Figure 1a. Absorbed shortwave radiation is calculated using the mean surface albedo multiplied by the fractional dependence of planetary albedo on surface albedo (W m\(^{-2}\)) (Gu and Hall 2006). (b)–(d) Same as in (a), but for global annual mean cloud radiative forcing (W m\(^{-2}\)), global annual mean clear-sky SW feedback (W m\(^{-2}\)), and global annual mean clear-sky LW feedback (W m\(^{-2}\)). All lines are shifted such that initial values start at 0.
Clear-sky feedbacks can be estimated using the approach in Forster and Taylor (Forster and Taylor 2006) and Gregory and Webb (Gregory and Webb 2008) based upon separate estimates of the transient clear-sky shortwave \([SW; \text{Equation (1a)}]\) and longwave \([LW; \text{Equation (1b)}]\) top-of-atmosphere radiation budget:

\[
F_{\text{CLR}}^{\text{SW}} = Q_{\text{CLR}}^{\text{SW}} - N_{\text{CLR}}^{\text{SW}},
\]

\[
F_{\text{CLR}}^{\text{LW}} = Q_{\text{CLR}}^{\text{LW}} - N_{\text{CLR}}^{\text{LW}},
\]

where \(F_{\text{CLR}}^{\text{SW}}\) (\(F_{\text{CLR}}^{\text{LW}}\)) is the annual global mean clear-sky SW (LW) climate response (equivalent to the feedback strength); \(N_{\text{CLR}}^{\text{SW}}\) (\(N_{\text{CLR}}^{\text{LW}}\)) is the change in annual global mean TOA net clear-sky SW (LW) flux relative to the 100-yr mean value derived from the control run (cf. section 2); and \(Q_{\text{CLR}}^{\text{SW}}\) (\(Q_{\text{CLR}}^{\text{LW}}\)) is the annual global mean SW (LW) transient forcing. As in Forster and Taylor (Forster and Taylor 2006), we can estimate the annual global mean SW (LW) transient forcing as

\[
Q_{\text{CLR}}^{\text{SW}} = f(-0.22) \ln(C/C_o),
\]

\[
Q_{\text{CLR}}^{\text{LW}} = f(5.57) \ln(C/C_o),
\]

where \(f\) is the ratio of our model’s \(2 \times \text{CO}_2\) (300–750 ppm) net (stratosphere) adjusted clear-sky forcing \((3.75 \text{ W m}^{-2})\) to the radiative forcing estimate \((3.7 \text{ W m}^{-2})\) in Myhre et al. (Myhre et al. 1998). In the transient model simulation \(C\) is the time-varying atmospheric \(\text{CO}_2\) concentration and \(C_o\) is the control \(\text{CO}_2\) concentration (350 ppm).

Solving for \(F_{\text{CLR}}^{\text{SW}}\) (\(F_{\text{CLR}}^{\text{LW}}\)), we can calculate the strength of both the clear-sky SW and LW feedbacks (Figures 2c and 2d). In agreement with the albedo feedback results, the clear-sky SW feedback is positive. In contrast, the clear-sky LW feedback, which incorporates the negative feedback associated with enhanced blackbody emissions from a warmer atmosphere along with the positive feedback associated with water vapor/lapse rate changes, is negative, in agreement with similar studies (e.g., Gregory and Webb 2008). Importantly, like the surface albedo and cloud radiative feedbacks, both the clear-sky SW and LW feedbacks change linearly with surface temperature.

4. Discussion

Above, we find that prominent global-scale radiative feedback mechanisms associated with snow–ice albedo, clouds, and clear-sky conditions all show a quasi-linear response to changes in radiative forcing. However, further investigation suggests that changes in individual radiative flux terms do not necessarily show such quasi-linear behavior. In particular, the outgoing TOA clear-sky longwave flux increases linearly with increasing temperatures but remains elevated even as global temperatures decrease, producing an asymmetric time trajectory (Figure 3a).
While this asymmetric response does not necessarily impact the global mean surface temperatures (see Figure 1; also Bony et al. 2006; Stuber et al. 2001), it does suggest that certain components of the full Earth system could experience nonlinear hysteresis as CO₂ concentrations return to their current levels. We investigate the TOA clear-sky LW component in more detail here.

Changes in the outgoing clear-sky LW flux arise from changes in both water vapor and lapse rate. Linear changes in temperature throughout the troposphere and
lower stratosphere (not shown) rule out an asymmetric contribution of lapse rate profiles. In addition, changes in the tropospheric water vapor are symmetric (Figure 3b). However, water vapor in the stratosphere, particularly in the high latitudes, evolves nonlinearly (Figure 3b), leading to the asymmetric evolution of the TOA outgoing clear-sky LW flux. At the peak of warming, the stratospheric water vapor increase is approximately 15%–20% (10%–12%) in the northern (southern) high latitudes (Figure 3c). The water vapor budget of the stratosphere is controlled by entry through the tropical lower stratosphere (Brewer 1949) and downwelling in high latitudes (Holton et al. 1995) (our experimental setup precludes any contributions from methane oxidation; Le Texier et al. 1988). During the ascending phase of CO₂ concentrations, a combination of increasing tropical cold point temperature (CPT, not shown) (e.g., Oman et al. 2008) and accelerating Brewer–Dobson circulation (e.g., Butchart et al. 2006; McLandress and Shepherd 2009), result in enhanced injection of water vapor near the tropical tropopause and subsequent buildup within the stratosphere.

During the descending phase of CO₂ concentrations, there is a linear decrease in CPT (not shown); however, the high-latitude water vapor trajectory has a significant positive offset, particularly over the Southern Hemisphere where it does not begin to decrease until temperatures approach their initial levels (Figures 3c and 3d). Why should this be so? We hypothesize that during the cooling phase, the removal of the excess water vapor is controlled by the imbalance between the upwelling and downwelling of the Brewer–Dobson circulation (Holton et al. 1995) (it is important to note that the rate of removal is not related to the residence time of stratospheric air—approximately 2 years—which only determines the average time a given parcel remains within the stratosphere, not necessarily the time rate of change of mass within the stratosphere). Analogous to the removal of excess CO₂ from the troposphere (Solomon et al. 2009), the result is a long-lived decay of water vapor even after 30 years of equilibrium forcing (Figure 3d), albeit with significant interannual to decadal fluctuations (Solomon et al. 2010). As for the asymmetries between the two hemispheres—including differences in the overall amplitude, year-to-year variability, and rate of decrease (Figures 3c and 3d)—these most likely arise from greater wave breaking in the Northern Hemisphere high latitudes leading to enhanced mixing of tropospheric air from below (e.g., McIntyre and Palmer 1983; Baldwin et al. 2003).

To validate these results, however, a whole-atmosphere model incorporating full stratospheric physics and chemistry (Garcia and Randel 2008) will need to be employed. In addition, such an advanced atmospheric model would help quantify the influence of excess stratospheric water vapor upon both the thermodynamics and dynamics of the extratropical stratosphere and troposphere, as well as the evolution and recovery of stratospheric ozone (Shindell 2001; Solomon et al. 2010).

Further, the use of a slab ocean GCM, typically used for climate sensitivity studies (e.g., Kiehl et al. 2006; Gregory and Webb 2008; Bala et al. 2008), precludes the effects of large thermal inertia of the global ocean upon the simulated physical climate system. These effects consist primarily of lags in the response of the simulated climate system to changes in radiative forcings imposed by atmosphere–ocean GCMs (AOGCMs) during transient climate change simulations (e.g., Wetherald et al. 2001; Wigley 2005; Meehl et al. 2005). Such lags are functions
of the climate sensitivity and ocean heat uptake of AOGCM’s as well as the imposed forcing scenarios (e.g., Wetherald et al. 2001; Meehl et al. 2005) and are known to “commit” the climate system to further warming even after GHG concentrations (radiative forcings) are stabilized (e.g., Wetherald et al. 2001; Wigley 2005; Meehl et al. 2005). One reason we chose to plot the radiative flux terms (Figures 2 and 3) as a function of temperature as opposed to CO₂ concentrations is to account for this lag in the transient climate change response of slab ocean GCMs and AOGCMs. In addition, even in the presence of lags, surface temperature and precipitation simulated by AOGCMs are reported to change linearly with radiative forcings (Tsutsui et al. 2007). Therefore, the linear trajectory of surface temperature, precipitation, and soil moisture vis-à-vis increased and decreased radiative forcing, as shown here, may be representative, at least qualitatively, of those found in AOGCMs after accounting for the lagged response within AOGCMs [which typically is on the order of decades (Wetherald et al. 2001; Tsutsui et al. 2007)]. On the other hand, changes in sea ice extent found here are less reliable because of the absence of ocean and sea ice dynamics. In addition to the follow-up whole-atmosphere model studies of nonlinear stratospheric water vapor responses, it will also be interesting to perform further experiments with AOGCMs to explicitly investigate the nature of ocean-induced lags and their effects on the response of the physical climate system to decreasing anthropogenic radiative forcings.

5. Conclusions

Overall, the physical climate system simulated by the numerical model shows a slightly lagged response to changing CO₂ concentrations. Importantly, most climate parameters return to their initial values soon after the CO₂ concentrations do. This quasi-linear evolution arises from the linear (albeit unrealistic; Solomon et al. 2009) nature of the imposed forcing, as well as the quasi-linear response of prominent feedback mechanisms associated with snow–ice albedo, clouds, water vapor/lapse rate, and radiative cooling. Similar results are not found in all components of the Earth system, however. In our study, stratospheric water vapor remains elevated despite decreasing tropospheric and stratospheric temperatures. It is still unknown whether potential changes to other physical subsystems—including Greenland and Antarctic ice cover, the Atlantic thermohaline circulation, and the West African monsoon (Solomon et al. 2007; Parry et al. 2007; Lenton et al. 2008)—and biological systems—including impacts to ecosystems and human welfare—accrued during the warming phase (Parry et al. 2007) will show similar nonlinear recoveries. Results presented here need to be tested for reliability using other modeling systems. They should also be investigated using climate models that incorporate additional components of the full Earth system.

Acknowledgments. We acknowledge support from A. Michaelis (NASA AMES Research Center), M. Dugan (Boston University), and R. Neale (National Center for Atmospheric Research).

References


