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ABSTRACT: Long-term (20 yr) river discharge records from 30 of the world’s largest river basins have been used to characterize surface hydrologic flows in relation to net precipitation inputs, ocean climate teleconnections, and human land/water use patterns. This groundwork study is presented as a precedent to distributed simulation modeling of surface hydrologic flows in large river basins. Correlation analysis is used as a screening method to classify
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river basins into categories based on major controls on discharge, for example, climate, land use, and dams. Comparisons of paired station records at upstream and downstream discharge locations within each major river basin suggest that the discharge signals represented in upstream discharge records are sustained in the downstream station records for nearly two-thirds of the drainage basins selected. River basins that showed the strongest localized climate control over historical discharge records, in terms of correlations with net basinwide precipitation rates, are located mainly in the seasonally warm temperate and tropical latitude zones, as opposed to river basins located mainly in the higher latitude zones (above 45°N). Ocean climate indices such as the Niño1+2 and Niño3+4 correlate highly with historical interannual patterns in monthly river discharge for only four of the selected discharge station records, namely, on the Amazon, Congo (Zaire), Columbia, and Colorado (Arizona) Rivers. Historical patterns of cropland development and irrigated areas may explain the weak climate correlations with interannual patterns in monthly river discharge rates for at least one-third of the major river drainages selected from the historical discharge dataset.

This paper is part of a special theme issue on land use and ecosystems.
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1. Introduction

Large rivers integrate the constituents and characteristics of the landscape through which they flow. Consequently, river discharge represents a valuable historical record of hydrologic patterns over complex drainage basins, and therefore has a particularly important role to play in understanding climatic and anthropogenic effects on terrestrial ecosystems at continental and global scales (Vörösmarty and Sahagian, 2000).

River flow has important implications for physics in the Earth’s oceans, including effects on circulation and salinity near the mouth of large rivers. For example, the thermohaline circulation is closely linked to the freshwater balance of the Arctic Ocean basin (Driscoll and Haug, 1998). In semiclosed inland seas, such as the Mediterranean, circulation is strongly influenced by riverine inputs of freshwater. River discharge plays a key role in transport of dissolved and particulate materials within and from all the continents (Ludwig and Probst, 1998) and is a major control on the chemistry and biology of the world’s major estuaries and coastal fisheries (Caddy and Bakun, 1994).

Rates of river discharge are controlled from one month to the next by several interrelated physical, biological, and anthropogenic factors that may be coupled on scales from regional to global. In a worldwide study of discharge patterns by Dettinger and Diaz (Dettinger and Diaz, 2000), monthly river flow records from over 1000 station sites were analyzed to characterize geographic differences in the seasonality and year-to-year variability of river flow. The river discharge sites were selected to be “reasonably free from human-induced discontinuities.” Results from this analysis implied that seasonality in river discharge rates varies regionally, depending on the timing of maximum precipitation (PREC), potential evapotranspiration (PET), and snowmelt. For example, river discharge rates in eastern and southern South America, the southwestern United States, northern Europe, and
Australia correlate well with variations in El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) climate indices (CIs). Other variations in river discharge records from the eastern United States, Europe, and tropical South America and northern Africa reflect the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO). Potter et al. (Potter et al., 2003) have reviewed evidence that NAO influences the climate and vegetation dynamics of tropical South America.

Oceanic climate is not the only factor potentially influencing discharge rates within large drainage basins. Rivers represent the primary source of freshwater for human use, including potable water, crop irrigation, hydropower, transportation, and waste processing. Consequently, human-induced changes in river systems cannot be ignored in understanding seasonal and long-term discharge patterns of discharge flows. For example, Coe (Coe, 2000) reported that including man-made dams and reservoirs on some large rivers improves the agreement of the simulated mean monthly discharge with observations, by reducing the amplitude of the simulated seasonal cycle.

The general question we address in this study is, “What are the major controllers of surface water discharge rates within the world’s largest river basins?” Our objectives are to understand the changes in controllers of river discharge processes and patterns as water flows from the upstream source locations to downstream locations near the river’s mouth. Our data analysis approach using discharge records together with climate, land management, and basinscale geographic relationships is presented as a groundwork study to precede distributed simulation modeling of surface hydrologic flows in large river basins. Correlation analysis is used as a screening method to classify river basins into categories based on major controls on discharge, for example, climate, land use, and dams.

Specific research questions include

- To what extent do net monthly precipitation rates (PREC – PET) along a river drainage system explain the historical (1958–98) interannual pattern and intrabasin (upstream versus downstream) differences in monthly river discharge rates?
- To what extent do CIs correlate with monthly PREC – PET rates along a river drainage system to explain the historical (1958–98) interannual pattern and intrabasin differences in monthly river discharge rates?
- To what extent does water use associated with past agricultural (cropland) development along a river drainage system explain the historical (1958–98) interannual pattern and intrabasin differences in monthly river discharge rates?
- To what extent does water use associated with current land cover and land use along a river drainage system explain the contemporary intrabasin differences in monthly river discharge rates?

2. Review of previous regional studies of historical river discharge

There have been several previous studies of the potential impacts of CIs on river discharge rates in the world’s large hydrologic drainage basins. Major results from these studies are summarized below according to continent.
2.1. North America

Barros and Evans (Barros and Evans, 1997) reported an increase in the number of large flood events in the upper Mississippi River basin from 1982 to 1994 as consistent with the strengthening of ENSO activity during this period. Foreman et al. (Foreman et al., 2001) reported that flow rates were lower and water temperatures were higher on the lower Fraser River during the summers following El Niño events. In a trend analysis of twentieth-century discharge rates for nine large river basins, Milly and Dunne (Milly and Dunne, 1998) found that in North America only trends for the St. Lawrence River (+30%) were significant and could not be related to any known anthropogenic effects.

In the Columbia River basin, there is a tendency for decreased snowmelt discharge during El Niño years and increased melt discharge during La Niña years (Clark et al., 2001; Hamlet and Lettenmaier, 1999). For the Colorado River basin, these investigators found that mean anomalies in snowmelt discharge and annual runoff during El Niño years depict a transition between drier-than-average conditions in the north, and wetter-than-average conditions in the Southwest. Associations during La Niña years are generally opposite those in El Niño years. Cayan and Webb (Cayan and Webb, 1992) reported that the largest river flows (floods) in the Southwestern United States this century have occurred during El Niño years, which are frequently characterized by severe winter frontal storms or by intense summer thunderstorms (and even some tropical storms that find their way up from the west coast of Mexico). In other areas of the Southwestern United States where snowmelt is the primary source for streamflow, a few intense storms typical of El Niño years may not cause high river flows.

2.2. South America

Molinier et al. (Molinier et al., 1999) compared discharge records at 50 stations across the Amazon basin with equatorial Pacific sea surface temperature (SST) and found a strong correlation between high discharge years and ENSO events for much of the basin, with the exception of the southwestern and northeastern regions of the Amazon. Marengo (Marengo, 1999) analyzed precipitation and discharge data for the Amazon basin since the mid-1920s and found the same decline in discharge rates over the past two decades (1980s and 1990s), as also reported by Ordoñez Galvez (Ordoñez Galvez, 1999). These authors reported 10- and 30-yr cycles that correlate well with the equatorial Pacific SST. Dettinger et al. (Dettinger et al., 2000) reported that ENSO indices of climate account for about 15% of river discharge rates in the Americas, with the strongest correlations in the rivers of tropical South America east of the Andes. Piovano et al. (Piovano et al., 1999) reported a strong correlation between the ENSO-related Pacific SST anomalies and large deviations from mean monthly discharges for the upper Parana River in Brazil. Amarasekera et al. (Amarasekera et al., 1997) found the correlation between ENSO and annual discharge rates on the Parana River to be twice as strong as the correlation between ENSO and annual discharge rates on the Amazon River. Robertson et al. (Robertson et al., 2001) reported that 17-yr, 8-yr, and ENSO oscillatory components were associated with statistically significant changes in the probability distribution of monthly flows on the Parana River at Corrientes.
In Chile and central-western Argentina, north of 40°S, streamflows were normal or above normal during El Niño years and well below normal during La Niña years (Compagnucci and Vargas, 1998). Heredia Calderon and Pombosa (Heredia Calderon and Pombosa, 1999) investigated ENSO signals in the discharge records of three dammed Ecuadorian rivers: the Napo, Paute, and Esmeraldas. They found a strong interannual ENSO signal on the Esmeraldas River, on the western slope of the Andes, but a weak effect on the Napo and Paute Rivers, which are part of the Amazon drainage basin. However, ENSO was associated with a seasonal shift in precipitation patterns in the two Amazon tributaries, with an earlier peak and reduced duration of the annual flood. A similar pattern was found by Ronchail (Ronchail, 1999) on the Rio Madiera in Bolivia, where precipitation in the Andean portion of the basin was highly sensitive to ENSO while rainfall in the lowlands to the east was not. As a result, the ENSO signal in the runoff record may be rapidly attenuated in the downstream direction.

2.3. Eurasia, Africa, and Australia

Variations in river flow from year to year are more strongly related to precipitation changes than to temperature changes in Eurasia (Krasovskiaia, 1995). In northern Siberia, recent increases in temperature have had little effect on flow timing because precipitation continues to fall as snow (Shiklomanov, 1994). Decreases in spring river discharge and increases in winter, summer, and autumn runoff have been reported since the mid-1970s for Eurasian basins (Georgiyevsky et al., 1996). Over the past four decades, the NAO pattern has gradually altered from the most extreme and persistent negative phase in the 1960s to an extreme positive phase during the late 1980s and early 1990s—a trend that has been responsible for relatively mild and wet winters during the latter period over much of northwest Europe (Hurrell and van Loon, 1997) in association with major flood events (Kundzewicz and Takeuchi, 1999). For the Lena River in Siberia, Yang et al. (Yang et al., 2002) reported significant changes in various components of the hydrologic regime over the past several decades related to air temperature and summertime rainfall.

A strong linkage between ENSO, rainfall, and streamflow has been documented for many parts of eastern Australia (Whetton et al., 1988; Simpson et al., 1993; Chiew et al., 1998).

For Africa, Molinier et al. (Molinier et al., 1999) reported that discharge records for the Congo basin were correlated well with SST anomalies in the southern Atlantic, rather than the equatorial Pacific Ocean. Eltahir (Eltahir, 1996) reported that ENSO events affect flows of the Nile River, indicating drought in highland Ethiopia, which is a source of 85% of the Nile’s water. Sircoulon (Sircoulon, 1990) reported decreases in river flow since the 1970s in several African rivers.

3. Hydrologic data sources

3.1. River discharge records

Monthly river discharge (DIS) records for 30 of the world’s largest river basins were obtained from the River Discharge Database (RivDIS; Vörösmarty et al.,
A major river basin was characterized for this study as one with a total drainage area of at least 300,000 km² and whose mouth opens into an ocean or sea (Table 1). One pair of RivDIS station records was selected to represent each major river basin. The station site A of each pair was selected for its location as close as possible to the source of the river, whereas station site B of each pair was selected for its location as close as possible to the mouth of the river (Table 2). The station discharge records largely cover the period from 1950 to 1988. Only stations with at least 9 continuous years of monthly station discharge records were considered as candidates for selection in our study. Of the 60 selected stations, 50 offered at least 19 yr of continuous station discharge records. The mean number of years of complete monthly discharge records for the 60 selected stations was 23.2 yr with a standard deviation of 6.4 yr. Basins for which one of the two selected stations offered less than 19 yr of continuous station discharge records were the Nile, Kolyma, Ural, Don, Colorado (Arizona), and Columbia Rivers. Only the
Table 2. Locations of paired RivDIS station sites A and B within major river basins.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>River system</th>
<th>Site A</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Percentage area of entire STN-30 basin</th>
<th>Site B</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Percentage area of entire STN-30 basin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RivDIS station</td>
<td>Lat +N/S</td>
<td>Lon +E/W</td>
<td></td>
<td>RivDIS station</td>
<td>Lat +N/S</td>
<td>Lon +E/W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nile</td>
<td>1487</td>
<td>23.96</td>
<td>32.90</td>
<td>93.1</td>
<td>1159</td>
<td>29.71</td>
<td>31.26</td>
<td>97.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amazon</td>
<td>11466</td>
<td>−3.08</td>
<td>−67.93</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>−1.91</td>
<td>−55.55</td>
<td>81.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>38.88</td>
<td>−90.16</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>32.31</td>
<td>−90.90</td>
<td>92.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ob’</td>
<td>878</td>
<td>56.58</td>
<td>84.87</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>835</td>
<td>66.57</td>
<td>66.53</td>
<td>98.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yenisei-Angara</td>
<td>886</td>
<td>62.22</td>
<td>92.02</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>67.48</td>
<td>86.50</td>
<td>92.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congo (Zaire)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>18.50</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>1534</td>
<td>−4.30</td>
<td>15.30</td>
<td>99.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amur</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>45.01</td>
<td>133.65</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>932</td>
<td>50.63</td>
<td>137.12</td>
<td>95.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraná</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>−24.06</td>
<td>−54.26</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>−27.46</td>
<td>−58.85</td>
<td>81.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lena</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>59.55</td>
<td>126.95</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>70.89</td>
<td>127.41</td>
<td>98.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mackenzie</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>59.86</td>
<td>−111.58</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>65.28</td>
<td>−126.86</td>
<td>89.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niger</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>12.86</td>
<td>−7.55</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1513</td>
<td>11.88</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>64.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint Lawrence</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>46.51</td>
<td>−84.36</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>45.00</td>
<td>−74.78</td>
<td>46.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volga</td>
<td>817</td>
<td>48.76</td>
<td>44.72</td>
<td>91.0</td>
<td>1460</td>
<td>48.30</td>
<td>46.00</td>
<td>92.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yukon</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>62.10</td>
<td>−136.26</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>1203</td>
<td>64.74</td>
<td>−155.48</td>
<td>77.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euphrates–Tigris</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>38.82</td>
<td>38.77</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>811</td>
<td>33.83</td>
<td>42.72</td>
<td>32.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danube</td>
<td>752</td>
<td>48.67</td>
<td>13.12</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>45.18</td>
<td>28.80</td>
<td>98.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ganges</td>
<td>883</td>
<td>26.13</td>
<td>91.70</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>863</td>
<td>24.83</td>
<td>87.92</td>
<td>58.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Brahmaputra)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amu-Darya</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>37.53</td>
<td>71.51</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1252</td>
<td>42.28</td>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ural</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>50.28</td>
<td>57.15</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>826</td>
<td>50.85</td>
<td>51.28</td>
<td>65.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kolyma</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>64.18</td>
<td>151.30</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>937</td>
<td>67.37</td>
<td>153.67</td>
<td>53.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado            (Arizona)</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>36.86</td>
<td>−111.58</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>1072</td>
<td>32.66</td>
<td>−114.65</td>
<td>98.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>50.28</td>
<td>57.15</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>815</td>
<td>47.50</td>
<td>40.67</td>
<td>76.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petchora</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>66.65</td>
<td>59.1</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>829</td>
<td>65.47</td>
<td>52.25</td>
<td>76.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigirka</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>61.68</td>
<td>144.66</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>936</td>
<td>69.58</td>
<td>147.35</td>
<td>91.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senegal</td>
<td>1510</td>
<td>13.14</td>
<td>−10.48</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>14.90</td>
<td>−12.45</td>
<td>69.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volta</td>
<td>1543</td>
<td>10.57</td>
<td>−2.92</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>1494</td>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>−2.03</td>
<td>30.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Godavari            (Godavary)</td>
<td>1261</td>
<td>18.83</td>
<td>79.45</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>858</td>
<td>16.92</td>
<td>81.78</td>
<td>97.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Euphrates–Tigris basin offered two stations with between 9 and 19 yr of continuous station discharge records.

We note that several major river basins were eliminated from consideration by the selection criteria of having two different RivDIS station records well-spaced toward the source and the mouth of the river drainage plus 9 continuous years of monthly station discharge for both stations. Those major basins (all with total drainage area of at least 1 000 000 km²; Vörösmarty et al., 2000) that did not meet our criteria for selection included the Zambezi, Chang Jiang, Chari, Indus, Nelson, Orinoco, and Murray Rivers.
3.2. River basin delineation

Basin areas were delineated in the Simulated Topological Network 30-min resolution (STN-30) dataset from Vörösmarty et al. (2000). Using the STN-30 flow direction dataset, we further delineated the subbasin (upstream) drainage area from the locations of both RivDIS sites A and B (Figure 1) within each major river basin.

The site A subbasins (near the river source) were intentionally selected to cover relatively small upland drainage areas, compared to either the site B subbasins (nearer the river mouth) or to the entire STN-30 basin area. The exceptions to this pattern are the Nile, Mississippi, and Volga Rivers (for which we could not locate extended RivDIS datasets that were farther upstream than these). Furthermore, all of these site A subbasins show less than 3% area as being irrigated (Döll and Siebert, 2000), with the exception of the Mississippi, Don, and Godavari River basins. This means that the site A discharge records should represent relatively “natural” signals of climate or some other geophysical controls over surface water
flows for the globe, as opposed to including extensive human effects on the discharge history.

The site B subbasins (nearer the river mouth) were intentionally selected to cover relatively large portions (nearly 50% or more) of the entire STN-30 basin area. The only exceptions to this pattern are the Euphrates–Tigris and the Volta River site B subbasins at about 30% total STN-30 basin coverage (for which, again, we could not locate extended RivDIS datasets that were farther downstream than these). Several of these site B subbasins show more than 3% area as being irrigated (Döll and Siebert, 2000), notably the Mississippi, Euphrates–Tigris, Danube, Ganges, Amu-Darya, Columbia, and Godavari River basins.

3.3. Land surface climate

For comparisons to RivDIS records, historical land climate datasets for 30-min resolution monthly PREC and air surface temperature were obtained from interpolated weather station records covering the period 1958–98 (New et al., 2000). The aggregate PREC amount falling over all 0.5 cells within a given drainage basin represents the gross monthly atmospheric input of water to that basin. A portion of this gross PREC input flux is lost back to the atmosphere within the same month as PET flux, resulting in a net atmospheric input water flux to the basin area, computed as PREC – PET with a minimum monthly value of zero. We computed monthly PET flux from air surface temperature (New et al., 2000) according to the method of Thornthwaite (Thornthwaite, 1948), as documented in Potter and Klooster (Potter and Klooster, 1999). These estimated PET methods have been validated in numerous different climate zones (Potter et al., 2001; Federer et al., 1996). The complete algorithm we have used is actually equivalent to PREC – ET because the minimum allowed value of the difference is zero (i.e., there can be no ET greater than the available PREC).

3.4. Climate indices

The influence of ocean surface climate events, such as ENSO, on atmospheric circulation and land surface climate have been noted as a significant global teleconnection (Glantz et al., 1991). Teleconnection is a term used in meteorological studies to describe simultaneous variation in climate and related processes over widely separated points on earth. There are different phases in CIs such as the ENSO, which is called El Niño in the warm phase and La Niña in the cold phase. ENSO warming at the sea surface, which is driven by changes in winds and ocean–atmosphere heat exchange, typically extends to about 30°N–30°S latitude with lags into continental land areas of several months. The Southern Oscillation index (SOI) is an indicator of atmospheric impacts of ENSO, computed as the standardized difference between sea level pressure (SLP) measured in Tahiti (17°S, 149°W) and Darwin, Australia (13°S, 131°E). Other indices for ENSO include the Niño1+2 delineated by the SST between 0°–0° and 90°–80°W in the eastern tropical Pacific, and Niño3+4 delineated by the SST between 5°N–5°S and 170°–120°W in the western tropical Pacific.

The SOI, Niño1+2, and Niño3+4 indices are commonly used to document warm phases in ENSO, which are often associated with above-average temperatures in
the northwestern half of the North American continent, and below-average temperatures in the southeastern half (Trenberth and Hurrell, 1994; Klein et al., 1999; McCabe and Dettinger, 1999). There is also a pattern of the warm-phase ENSO associated with above-average precipitation over western coastal South America (Vuille et al., 2000), the southern United States, and northern Mexico, plus below-average precipitation in south-central Africa, northeastern South America, parts of southern Asia and Australia, and in North America from the Canadian Rockies to the Great Lakes region.

The NAO index refers to the north–south oscillation in atmospheric mass between the Icelandic low (65°N, 22°W) and the Azores high pressure centers from 39°N, 9°W to 36°N, 6°W (Walker and Bliss, 1932). The atmospheric state indexed by negative NAO corresponds to a southward displacement of winter storms and moisture transport across the North Atlantic into southern Europe (Hurrell, 1995). A positive NAO corresponds to a northward displacement of storms and moisture in northernmost Europe and Russia. During winters when the NAO index is high, anomalously low precipitation commonly occurs over the Canadian Arctic, central and southern Europe, the Mediterranean, and the Middle East. In contrast, anomalously high precipitation occurs from Iceland through Scandinavia. In the eastern United States, winters with negative NAO are characterized by more northerly winds, which reduce moisture transports into the region from the south. The NAO can also represent the persistence of below-average temperature variations over North Africa and the Middle East, and above-average temperatures over North America (Hurrell, 1995).

4. Methodology

A scheme was developed to characterize major rivers on the basis of results from our correlation analysis by defining categories for associations of DIS records with net PREC inputs, CIs, and human water use patterns. Following an assessment of intrabasin (paired site A versus site B correlation) patterns, we first characterize major rivers on the basis of the strength of localized climate control (PREC / PET) over historical DIS rates (Figure 2). The next grouping of rivers is on the basis of the strength of teleconnections to CIs. Finally, rivers groupings are characterized on the basis of their association with land and water use patterns within the site A and site B drainage areas.

Prior to correlation analysis, a Z-score normalization was applied to all variable time series datasets, whereby all monthly values were transformed into a standard deviation value based on the long-term monthly mean of that variable time series. We note that although many of the absolute area totals for the site A subbasins are not large, this does not affect our interpretation of the results from correlations with climate time series because the Z-score normalization approach removes the effect of actual flow volumes (small or large) from the basin-to-basin comparisons of correlation r values, and accounts only for relative deviations from the long-term monthly mean flow rates. The Z-score normalization also removes any strong seasonal patterns in the climate and discharge rates, such as those documented by Dettinger and Diaz (Dettinger and Diaz, 2000) for hundreds of major rivers,
Figure 2. Flowchart to characterize major rivers on the basis of correlations of historical DIS records with net PREC inputs, CIs, and human land/water use patterns. YES means a significant correlation is found between climate or land/water use and a site A and/or site B DIS record, while NO means a significant correlation is not found.
leaving relative deviations within the DIS time series to correlate with relative deviations in local or global climate patterns.

In river basins where difference between \((\text{PREC} – \text{PET})\) and historical DIS rates is seasonal, and the yearly sum may be close to zero, several factors could be at work that are only indirectly related to localized climate control: (i) the time lag required for the deep drainage water to reach the stream; (ii) the time lag necessary for the water to flow downstream in the river; and (iii) delay between snowfall and snowmelt (midlatitude basins only). To account for these factors, seasonal phase shifts in climate data of up to 12 months were applied wherever there was a need to improve correlations with (lagged) historical DIS records.

The Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient \((r)\) was used as a test of significant association between two time series. Statistical significance of correlations is dependent on the number of observations considered independent in a time series dataset. In all cases, the significance levels of a correlation were determined on the basis of degrees of freedom equal to \(n – 2\) years for each discharge station record. For example, with a sample size of \(n = 19\) yr, which conservatively minimizes all temporal autocorrelation effects in monthly discharge and climate time series data, any value of the Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient of \(r > 0.38\) or \(r > 0.46\) can be considered significant at the \(p < 0.1\) and \(p < 0.05\) confidence levels, respectively (i.e., two-tailed test of significance).

5. Results and discussion

5.1. Intrabasin discharge patterns

Intrabasin correlation analysis was conducted to determine where any relatively natural discharge signals represented in site A records are (or are not) sustained, and perhaps amplified or dampened, in the larger site B basin discharge signals. Up to a 12-month shift was used for these correlations. The majority (19 of 30) of intrabasin site A versus site B correlations were significant \((p < 0.1)\), with the exception of the paired discharge records for the Amazon, Amur, Brahmaputra, Colorado (Arizona), Ob’, Orange, Saint Lawrence, Ural, Volga, Yenisei-Angara, and Yukon Rivers. The implication is that there has been alterations in the runoff generation processes for these 11 rivers to sufficiently decouple the discharge signals represented in site A records from the larger site B basin discharge signals. In contrast, the highest intrabasin discharge correlations \((at r > 0.7)\) were found for the Columbia, Godavari, Parana, Petchora, and Senegal Rivers, indicating that either 1) the relatively natural discharge signals represented in site A have been little altered throughout the larger basin area for these rivers, or 2) human management of discharge signals representing the drainage area for site A have been maintained throughout the larger basin area represented by site B discharge signals.

5.2. Correlations between climate and discharge rates

Following the analysis scheme presented in Figure 2, major river basins can be grouped according to the strength of localized climate control \((\text{PREC} – \text{PET})\) over historical DIS rates. We find that for six major river basins, historical DIS
anomalies are significantly correlated \((p < 0.05 \text{ in most cases})\) with \((\text{PREC} - \text{PET})\) anomalies for both site A and site B discharge station records indicating strong localized climate control over the full drainage basin extent. These rivers are the Congo (Zaire), Danube, Dnieper, Mississippi, Niger, and the Volta. Of these six, only the Congo (Zaire) River showed a significant \((r = -0.34, p < 0.1)\) correlation (Figure 3a), and hence a moderately strong teleconnection with the Niño1+2 anomaly record to historical DIS anomalies. No significant correlations could be detected between the NAO and any historical DIS station records used in this study.

We find that for the additional seven river basins, historical DIS anomalies are significantly correlated \((p < 0.1)\) with \((\text{PREC} - \text{PET})\) anomalies for either site A or site B discharge station records, but not for both, suggesting moderately strong localized climate control over the partial basin extent. These rivers are the Amazon (site B), Amur (site A), Euphrates–Tigris (site A), Godavari (site B), Parana (site
A), Senegal (site B), and the Volga (site A). Of these seven, only the Amazon River (site B) showed significant ($r = -0.5, p < 0.05$) correlation (Figure 3b), and hence a strong global teleconnection with the Niño3+4 anomaly record to historical DIS anomalies.

The remaining 17 major river basins all showed weak localized climate controls (PREC – PET) over both site A and site B discharge station records. Of these 17, only two rivers, the Colorado (Arizona) (site A) and the Columbia (site B), showed significant ($p < 0.1$) correlations, and hence moderately strong teleconnections with the Niño1+2 and Niño4 anomaly records (Figures 3c and 3d), respectively, to historical DIS anomalies. Based on these results, we hypothesize that the historical gridded PREC and PET climate data for the Colorado (Arizona) (site A) and the Columbia (site B) subbasins are not of the quality required to detect localized climate controls over DIS rates.

5.3. Potential impacts of land use on discharge rates

We hypothesize that the weak teleconnection of any CI with historical DIS rates in the remaining 17 major river basins means that there may have been important land/water use controls by human populations in these drainage basins, which have been sufficient to partially uncouple PREC – PET water inputs from station discharge rates. We can document, for example, that the site B subbasins for the Amu-Darya, Ganges, and Columbia Rivers had between 3% and 20% of the area irrigated by the early 1990s (Döll and Siebert, 2000). This leaves 13 river basins out of a total of 30 lacking an explanation for the uncoupled PREC – PET water inputs with station discharge rates.

Historical changes in cropland coverage have been mapped by Ramankutty and Foley (Ramankutty and Foley, 1999) at 0.5° spatial resolution for the global land surface. According to this data source, the river basins that have experienced area increases of +2% (or much greater) in cropland coverage in the site B subbasin over the period 1950–92 were the Amu-Darya, Ganges, Dnieper (+20%), Euphrates–Tigris, Nile, Ob’, Parana, Ural (+19%), Volga (+11%), and the Volta. Two other rivers experienced a decline in cropland area coverage in the site B subbasin over the period 1950–92, namely, the Godavari (−2%) and the St. Lawrence (−6%). If changes in cropland coverage within a drainage basin are related, even indirectly, to changes in historical DIS rates, then this leaves 9 out of a total of 30 river basins that lack explanations for the uncoupled PREC – PET water inputs with station discharge rates.

It is plausible that historical gridded PREC and PET climate data for these nine remaining river basins are not of the high quality required to detect localized climate controls over DIS rates. For example, most of these nine river basins are in relatively remote areas of the high-latitude or high-elevation zones, such as the Indigirka, Kolyma, Lena, Mackenzie, Orange, Petchora, Yenisei-Angara, and Yukon Rivers, where there may be (too) few weather stations for generation of reliable interannual grids for PREC and PET variables. This potential problem with the quality of PREC inputs for explaining historical DIS record was also reported by Dettinger and Diaz (Dettinger and Diaz, 2000), as was the possible effect of the complex dynamics of snowmelt contribution within these high-latitude basins.
Snowpack formation and snowmelt could act to decouple simple PREC anomalies and historical DIS relationships, although our inclusion of up to 12-month lag times in the climate–DIS anomaly correlations should account for regularly delayed effects of snowmelt on peak runoff timing. A 2- or 3-month variability of spring peaks in runoff due to snowmelt timing, as reported for these high-latitude basins by Dettinger and Diaz (Dettinger and Diaz, 2000), would not be well accounted for even using the lag time settings for our correlations. This point is reinforced by the results of Yang et al. (Yang et al., 2002) for the Lena River, whose historical discharge rates were not significantly correlated with precipitation rates in cold months. Gradual long-term warming trends may have more significant control on river ice thickness and melt rates in these high-latitude basins.

Nevertheless, to test the possibility that a variability of 2 or 3 months in spring peaks for river runoff are attributable to the timing of snowmelt, we checked the time series correlations between PET and DIS anomalies for the several river basins listed above that are located in relatively remote areas of the high-latitude zones. Because the PET algorithm we use is an empirical transformation of monthly air surface temperature, year-to-year variability in climate conditions that influence the timing of snowmelt should be related to these PET estimates. However, results show that none of the \( r \) values for correlations between PET anomalies and site B DIS anomalies are significant (at \( p < 0.1 \)) for the high-latitude river basins listed above. Only the Amazon, Godavari, and Orange Rivers showed significant \( (r = -0.4, p < 0.1) \) correlations between PET anomalies and site B DIS anomalies. Consequently, controls on historical DIS anomalies remain uncertain for the high-latitude river systems.

### 5.4. Other potential controls on discharge rates

It is commonly observed that large river systems not only have delayed discharge signals (relative to the timing of precipitation) but can also attenuate the discharge signal, for example, due to storage and differential release in soils, lakes, reservoirs, and wetlands. Attenuation controls like these may reduce the correlation between discharge rates at distant site locations within a major basin and/or large-scale climate patterns. Consequently, there may be several natural processes at work, in addition to anthropogenic effects, to explain the lack of correlation we reported above in several basin cases.

An interesting case is the St. Lawrence River basin, where the Great Lakes have the potential to attenuate discharge signals, due to the water residence time of several decades in the lakes. Ice damming and flooding may similarly affect the Amur, Ob’, Yenisei, and Yukon basin discharge records, creating areas that can flood to create large lakes. Climate variations in the high northern latitudes over the past several decades have been linked to earlier spring thaws, major breaks in ice dams, and melting of glaciers (Dyurgerov and Meier, 1997; Magnuson et al., 2000; Serreze et al., 2000), which are not accounted for in our analysis so far.

Finally, the influence of man-made dams, particularly on the Colorado, Columbia, Danube, Orange, Parana, and Volga Rivers, cannot be discounted. For each of these basins, we searched the RivDIS archive to determine if there
were large dams located between our chosen site A and site B locations, and if there is also a third DIS station record located between the dam location(s) and the site B location. If this is true, then it is feasible to determine if the site B DIS record is more similar (higher correlation value, shorter shift period) to the third DIS station record, compared to the site A DIS record. If the answer is yes, then it is plausible that the dam has had a notable impact on the site B DIS pattern and potentially on all discharge moving toward the mouth of the river basin. If the answer is no, then the dam has had no detectable impact on the site B DIS pattern, relative to the discharge record at locations upstream from the dam location. Nonetheless, it is conceivable that dams or land use located upstream of the site A location have major impacts on all discharge moving toward the mouth of the river basin.

The following four rivers were determined to have all the requisite discharge records for evaluation of dam effects as described above: Columbia, Danube, Orange, and Parana. The spatial relationships between selected DIS sites and major dam locations are shown in Figure 4. Correlation analysis implied that dams have had a notable impact on the site B DIS pattern in the Orange and Parana River basins.

Figure 4. Spatial relationships between selected DIS sites and major dam locations in the (a) Columbia, (b) Danube, (c) Orange, and (d) Parana River basins.
basins (Table 3). For instance, site A in the Parana basin is located after a series of
dams that highly regulate the Parana flow, while site B is just downstream of the
confluence of the Parana and the Paraguay Rivers. The Paraguay River crosses a
large flat region that floods seasonally. Hence, sites A and B can have a similar
hydrological signature (damped yearly hydrograph), but for potentially different
reasons.

For the Columbia and Danube basins, the selected dam had little detectable
impact on the site B DIS pattern, relative to the discharge record at locations
upstream from the dam location. However, we cannot rule out the influence of
dams and river water use located farther upstream from site A DIS stations, which
could have altered discharge patterns throughout both of these basins.

6. Conclusions

- Discharge signals represented in upstream RivDIS station records are
  sustained in the downstream RivDIS station records for nearly two-thirds of
  the 30 major river drainages selected.
- Net monthly precipitation anomalies (PREC – PET) can explain the
  historical interannual patterns in monthly river discharge for nearly one-half
  of the 30 major river drainages selected from the RivDIS dataset.
- River basins that show the strongest, most easily predicted local climate
  control over historical DIS rates are located mainly in the seasonally warm
  temperate and tropical latitude zones, as opposed to river basins located
  mainly in the higher-latitude zones (above 45°N), for which localized (PREC
  – PET) controls cannot be readily detected using the gridded datasets
  available.
- Climate indices such as the Niño1+2 and Niño3+4 anomalies correlate
  highly with historical interannual anomalies in monthly river discharge for
  only four of the selected RivDIS station records, namely, on the Amazon,
  Congo (Zaire), Columbia, and Colorado (Arizona) Rivers. Our tele-
  connection results are not as strong nor as extensive as those reported by
  Dettinger and Diaz (Dettinger and Diaz, 2000), who depicted high levels of
  statistical significance for RivDIS station correlations with ENSO indices
  worldwide.
- Historical patterns of cropland development and irrigated areas along major
  river systems may have strongly affected interannual patterns in monthly
  river discharge rates for at least one-third of the 30 major river drainages
  selected from the RivDIS dataset.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>River (third DIS ID)</th>
<th>( r ) site A–site B</th>
<th>( r ) third DIS–site B (Dam name) impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Columbia (3833)</td>
<td>0.75 [0]</td>
<td>0.52 [0] (Mica) low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danube (204)</td>
<td>0.54 [0]</td>
<td>0.56 [0] (Aschach) low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange (1458)</td>
<td>0.23 [4]</td>
<td>0.85 [0] (Gariep) high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parana (513)</td>
<td>0.76 [0]</td>
<td>0.92 [0] (Itaipu) medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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