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ABSTRACT

The enhanced cumulus convection in tropical disturbances acts in two opposing ways. In one sense the condensation heat from the cumuli acts to warm the inner portions of the disturbance and induce vertical shear of the horizontal wind through the thermal wind relationship. In the opposite sense the cumuli also act to suppress vertical wind shear by transfer of horizontal momentum within their up- and downdrafts. The operation of this dual or "paradox" role of the cumulus cloud is of fundamental importance for understanding the steady-state dynamics of the hurricane's inner core region and is also hypothesized to be of basic importance in the development of tropical storms and generation of easterly waves.

Observational information and calculations on the radial distribution of baroclinicity and vertical shear collected on 102 individual flight legs (on 19 flight levels) into hurricanes flown by the Research Flight Facility of the U.S. Weather Bureau are presented. The measured baroclinicity in the lower half of the troposphere at the inner 50–70-km. radii is two to three times larger than cylindrical thermal wind balance would require. This baroclinicity may be 50 to 100 times larger in the inner areas of the hurricane than in the easterly wave, yet vertical wind shear in the hurricane may be but one to three times as large.

An extensive discussion is presented on the characteristics of the vertical motion within the hurricane. A steady-state model of mean flow conditions in the inner 80-km. radius based on flight observations and best known surface stress conditions is assumed. Upon this mean vortex motion five sites of cumulus up- and downdrafts are superimposed with their characteristic eddies and resulting stress. A discussion of the terms in the steady-state equations of motion reveals that sizable amounts of cumulus-produced radial and tangential frictional acceleration is required to satisfy the broadscale mean flow conditions. These cumulus-produced horizontal accelerations account for the imbalance in the thermal wind relationship. Tropical storm development is not viewed as being possible unless the cumulus-induced vertical momentum transfers act in a dominant way to oppose the thermal wind requirement and inhibit increase of vertical wind shear as baroclinicity increases. Vortex development thus requires a continual imbalance of pressure over wind acceleration.

1. INTRODUCTION

The crucial importance of realistically handling the tropical atmosphere and the effects of cumulus convection in treatment of the earth's global circulation has been discussed by the General Circulation Research Groups. Nevertheless, no established methodology for handling the cumulus convective atmosphere has yet been developed. The mutual interactive effects of the cumulus clouds with the synoptic-scale circulations are not well understood for either the general circulation or the tropical storm problem (Yanai [57]). Recent numerical experiments on tropical storm genesis (Ooyama [36], Kasahara [23], Charney and Eliassen [7], Ogura [35], Kuo [24], Syono and Yamasaki [52]) have attempted to deal with the cumulus convection by parameterizing the condensation heating of the cumuli in terms of known variables of the larger scale.

These and other model experiments such as Kasahara [22] and Rosenthal [44] have as yet, not considered the possible interactive effects of momentum exchanges associated with the cumulus up- and downdraft elements. But, because of the probable high correlations of cumulus-scale horizontal and vertical wind fluctuations in a vertical...
shearing flow, cumulus-scale momentum exchanges may play an equally important or dominant role in the realistic treatment of the cumulus atmosphere (Gray [14]).

With the establishment of the National Hurricane Research Project in 1955, a large quantity of observational information concerning the cumulus convective atmosphere is now available. Certain questions can be answered and inferences drawn as to the physical processes operating on this hitherto incompletely observed convective scale of motion. The intensity of cumulus convection in the tropical storm (particularly near its center) is greater than in any other synoptic-scale storm system. It may be easier to isolate the effects of the cumuli in this system. What we learn about cumulus convection in the inner region of the hurricane may have applicability to other less intense cumulus convective systems such as the easterly wave or squall line. The dynamics of the inner 100-km. radius of the tropical storm where cyclonic circulation is present through the entire troposphere (Riehl [40], Jordan [20]) has yet to be completely studied.

BACKGROUND

In comparison with the middle latitudes, little horizontal temperature gradient is present in the tropical atmosphere. When large temperature gradients exist, they must be primarily produced by concentrated amounts of cumuli, even though necessary amounts of sensible and latent heat for cumulus buoyancy are received as a dynamic byproduct from the ocean. Advection of heat cannot produce the strong temperature gradients observed in the tropical storm which may exceed wintertime middle-latitude gradients by an order of magnitude. By contrast, middle-latitude gradients of temperature are primarily brought about through meridional advection of radiation-developed temperature differences.

The vertical wind shear near the eye wall in the lower half of the troposphere of the hurricane is only one to three times larger (Hawkins et al. [17]) than the vertical wind shear in a similar layer in the typical easterly wave. Yet the baroclinicity present may be 100 times as large (0.1° C./100 km. vs. 10° C./100 km.—fig. 1). For understanding the progressive development of the hurricane from the pre-existing disturbance, at least two perplexing questions must be answered:

1. How does it happen that the condensation-developed horizontal temperature gradients in the tropical storm are only slightly reflected by increase of vertical wind shear as occurs with middle-latitude-westerly circulations?

2. How is it possible for cumulus convection (which releases condensation heat on a scale of 2-6 km.) to generate a tropical storm which is of size two to three orders of magnitude larger than the individual cumulus elements?

This paper attempts to offer a physical explanation to the above questions by demonstrating the plausibility of cumulus up- and downdraft (2-6-km. width) momentum transfers acting as a primary mechanism to inhibit increases of vertical wind shear as baroclinicity increases and to couple the upper and lower troposphere and organize the circulation on a larger mesoscale. The cumulus up- and downdrafts also act to inhibit and regulate cross isobaric flow and thereby kinetic energy generation.

Qualitatively the intensification process is envisaged as follows: Differential surface convergence induces differential condensation heating and increase of horizontal temperature gradient between the cumulus and cumulus-free areas. Surface pressure is reduced in the areas of condensation (with assumed stratospheric level of no gradient). An imbalance between pressure and wind acceleration occurs. Although the atmosphere continually tends to adjust itself to gradient wind balance, this adjustment is not instantaneous. In the adjustment to the imbalance of wind and pressure, other accelerations are activated. For the usual increase of horizontal temperature gradient in middle-latitude circulations, an increase of wind shear takes place. But, the vertical momentum transports associated with cumulus-developed baroclinicity in the tropical wave or storm inhibit an increase of the vertical wind shear from acting as the primary mode of adjustment toward gradient balance. The primary adjustment must then come from changes of wind speed and/or curvature of flow. In this sense the cumuli act to "force" a production of wind speed and/or increase of curvature. Cumulus-induced velocity-curvature growth of this type might be termed "cumulus momentum exchange growth."

2. THERMAL WIND EQUATION WITH CURVATURE NATURAL COORDINATES

With the assumption of frictionless motion and with the hydrostatic approximation, the thermal wind equation in natural coordinates with \( p \) as the vertical coordinate
may be written as

\[
\left(1 + \frac{2V}{R} \right) \frac{\partial V}{\partial p} = -\frac{C \partial T}{p \partial n},
\]

(1)

where \(f\) = Coriolis parameter
\(V\) = horizontal wind speed
\(R\) = radius of trajectory curvature which is assumed to be constant with height
\(p\) = pressure
\(C\) = gas constant
\(T\) = virtual temperature of air
\(n\) = distance along \(R\), positive to the right of the direction of \(V\) in the Northern Hemisphere
\(\partial / \partial n\) denotes differentiation along the constant pressure surface.

In this derivation it is assumed that there is no rotation of the coordinate system with height. The above equation may be more simply written as

\[W_s S_n = B_n\]

(2)

where \(W_n = \left(1 + \frac{2V}{R} \right)\) = inertial parameter
\(S_n = \frac{\partial V}{\partial p}\) = vertical wind shear parameter
\(B_n = -\frac{C \partial T}{p \partial n}\) = baroclinicity

If differential condensation heating produces an increase with time of baroclinicity (denoted \(B_n\)), changes in either wind speed (\(V\)), curvature (\(R\)), or wind shear (\(S_n\)) must result (with constant \(f\)) as the atmosphere continually attempts to adjust itself to a new thermal wind balance.

If the cumuli act to inhibit changes of \(S\), substantial changes of \(V\) and/or \(R\) must result to balance even slight horizontal temperature gradient increases. Thus for assumed tropical easterly wave flow with

1. radius of curvature of \(10^3\) km.,
2. wind shear in the lower two-thirds of the troposphere of \(6\) m./sec.,
3. mean wind of \(5\) m./sec. (8 m./sec. at the surface and \(2\) m./sec. at \(400\) mb.),
a \(0.1^\circ\) C./\(100\) km. temperature gradient increase with no change of vertical wind shear would require a layer mean wind increase from \(5\) to \(\sim 25\) m./sec., or a decrease of the radius of curvature from \(1,000\) to \(\sim 200\) km. for continued gradient wind balance (\(f\) taken at \(20^\circ\) latitude).

**CYLINDRICAL COORDINATES**

The complete cylindrical thermal wind equation with origin continually at the center of a vortex,\(^1\) can be written as

\[
\left(1 + \frac{2u}{r} \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial p} = -\frac{C \partial T}{p \partial r} - \frac{\partial F_r}{\partial p} + \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right),
\]

(3)

\(^1\) The effect of the curvature of the earth may be neglected if consideration is given only to processes at the inner radii (\(r < 150\) km.).

\[W_s S_n = B_n\]

where \(r\) = radius from coordinate origin
\(u\) = speed in the tangential or \(\theta\) direction relative to the moving storm center, positive counterclockwise
\(v\) = speed in the radial direction relative to the moving storm center, positive outward
\(F_r\) = frictional acceleration in radial direction

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}
\]

= substantial derivative

and other symbols as before.

If we disregard the second and third terms on the right of (3) by assuming steady, frictionless motion, (3) can be written as

\[W_s S = B\]

(4)

where \(W_s = \left(1 + \frac{2u}{r} \right)\)
\(S = \frac{\partial u}{\partial p}\)
\(B = -\frac{C \partial T}{p \partial r}\)

If balanced conditions were periodically to prevail at incremental periods following increases of \(B\) with no increase of \(S\), \(u\) would then be directly related to \(B\).

**3. OBSERVATIONAL INFORMATION**

To what extent do the meteorological observations support the above hypothesis concerning the importance of vertical cloud-scale momentum transports? The air-
craft flight tracks flown by the National Hurricane Research Project during the 1958–61 seasons (fig. 2 is typical) permit direct determination of \( W \), and \( B \) along the individual flight legs. A calculation of the required vertical wind shear \( \partial W / \partial p \) to accompany \( W \) and \( B \) may then be made.

In addition, if it is assumed that the individual leg observations on each flight level were taken over a quasi-constant time interval, an approximation to the tangential average parameters along the storm radius can be made by assigning weighting factors to each radial leg according to its percentage area of representation. Four to seven (usually 5–6) radial penetrations were flown over periods of 4–7 hr. Determination of the required vortex average vertical wind shear \( \partial W / \partial p \) to accompany the vortex average measured values of \( W \) and \( B \) for steady, balanced, frictionless flow conditions may thus be made. A direct comparison of calculated with measured vertical wind shear values is possible in five cases when two aircraft operated together at different flight levels. Determination of the degree to which the cylindrical form of the balanced thermal wind equation (4) is applicable to the hurricane vortex may then be made.

Descriptions of the aircraft used, the meteorological instrumentation, the flight tracks flown, and the character of the data collected, etc., have been extensively discussed (Hawkins, et al. [16], Riehl and Malkus [42], Reber and Friedman [39], Colón and Staff NHRP [8], [9], Gray [12], [13], [14], Miller [31], LaSueur and Hawkins [25], Gentry [10]). The reader is referred to these for detailed information on the characteristics of the data.

Table 1 lists information concerning 19 flight levels for which individual radial leg and vortex average radial temperature and wind data are available. Table 2 lists observed flight-level vortex average radial adjusted temperature decrease (or temperature gradient along the constant pressure surface) in 18-km. segments from 18 to 90–126 km. In order that truly representative temperature gradients might be obtained, all spot temperatures used were obtained from averaging over 9 km. on either side of the boundary value used. The temperature gradient between radii 36 and 54 km. is thus obtained from the difference of the mean temperature in the radial interval between 45 and 63 km. subtracted from that of the mean temperature in the interval between 27 and 45 km. (fig. 3).

Virtual temperature correction has not been made. Relative humidities are between 80 and 100 percent at the inner radii in the middle and lower troposphere. This would account for a maximum error of 0.2° C. in the temperature gradients. As temperatures are averaged over 18 km., radial gradients of any possible wet-bulb effect on the vortex temperature reading are not thought significant.

The listed vortex average temperature gradients of table 2 are demonstrated to be reasonable if a comparison

---

**Table 1.** Flight Level Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Storm</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Flight level (mb)</th>
<th>Radii of data (km.)</th>
<th>Number of flight leg calculations</th>
<th>Approximate maximum wind at flight level (m./sec.)</th>
<th>Approximate central pressure (mb.)</th>
<th>Speed of storm (m./sec.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carrie</td>
<td>Sept. 15, 1957</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>18-108</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>965</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sept. 17, 1957</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>18-108</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>970</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleo</td>
<td>Aug. 18, 1958</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>18-126</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>970</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>...do</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>18-126</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>970</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daisy</td>
<td>Aug. 25, 1958</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>18-108</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>990</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>...do</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>18-108</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>990</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aug. 27, 1958</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>18-144</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>990</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aug. 28, 1958</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>18-126</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helene</td>
<td>Sept. 24, 1958</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>18-90</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>995</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sept. 25, 1958</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>18-90</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sept. 26, 1958</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>18-90</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>...do</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>18-180</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donna</td>
<td>Sept. 7, 1960</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>18-108</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>...do</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>18-72</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carla</td>
<td>Sept. 6, 1961</td>
<td>905</td>
<td>18-108</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>...do</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>18-108</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sept. 8, 1961</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>18-144</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>955</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>...do</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>18-144</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>955</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** Vortex average radial temperature decreases along constant pressure surfaces (°C).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Storm</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Flight level (mb)</th>
<th>Radii (km.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carrie</td>
<td>Sept. 15, 1957</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>18-36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sept. 17, 1957</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>25-34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>...do</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>54-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>...do</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>72-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>600</td>
<td>90-108</td>
<td>108-126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>620</td>
<td>18-144</td>
<td>18-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleo</td>
<td>Aug. 18, 1958</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>18-126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>...do</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>18-126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daisy</td>
<td>Aug. 25, 1958</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>18-108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>...do</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>18-108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aug. 27, 1958</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>18-144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aug. 28, 1958</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>18-126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>...do</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>18-180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>...do</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>18-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>...do</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>18-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>...do</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>18-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>...do</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>18-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>600</td>
<td>18-144</td>
<td>18-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>620</td>
<td>18-144</td>
<td>18-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>620</td>
<td>18-144</td>
<td>18-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>620</td>
<td>18-144</td>
<td>18-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>620</td>
<td>18-144</td>
<td>18-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>...do</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>18-144</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Southern quadrant value taken from 710-mb. flight leg.

---

**Figure 3.** Illustrating method of determining temperature gradient between 36- and 54-km. radii.
is made of the observed layer average temperature gradients with the radial gradients of temperature computed from layer thickness differences.\(^2\)

Table 3 shows a close comparison of observed layer average temperature gradients between radial intervals with the temperature gradients obtained from layer thickness gradients for seven available cases. Hydrostatic consistency was closely approximated. For these reasons the observed 18-km. average temperature gradients are thought to be reliable in the inner area of the hurricane where sizable temperature gradients are present.

Computations of \(W\) and \(B\) terms were made along the radial legs on all the levels listed.\(^3\) A determination was then made of the vertical wind shear which should accompany \(W\), and \(B\) if steady, balanced flow were present.\(^4\) Table 4 lists typical measured values of temperature gradient and resultant \(S\) (in m./sec. per 225 mb. or one-quarter of the troposphere) for the radial balance along each radial leg increments on each of the six flight legs of hurricane Cleo at 560 mb. on August 18, 1958. Large variation of \(\Delta T\) and \(S\) are present. The computed vertical shears ranged up to 23 m./sec. per 225 mb.

\(^2\) Layer mean temperature was obtained by averaging near the top and bottom.

\(^3\) The relative wind with respect to the moving vortex center was used in the tangential average or symmetric vortex considerations. A small percentage correction to the listed relative tangential wind (i.e., \(u\)) was made to compensate the Doppler-determined winds or ocean particle movement (see Appendix).

\(^4\) Because radii diverge the \((\frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{1}{r_i^2})\) term was calculated as \(\frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{1}{r_i^2}\), where \(\Delta r\) is a 9-km. interval and \(r_i, r_i\) are the outer and inner radii, respectively. \(\Delta T\) is the average value of \(T\) over a 9-km. radial interval.

Computations on this level are closely representative of the results obtained on the other individual radial legs.

The area weighted vortex average computed shears in the inner two 18-km. segments for Cleo at 560 mb. were 15 and 8 m./sec. per 225 mb. Yet the vortex average observed wind shears between 510 and 560 mb. for the same radial segments were but 2.3 and 3.5 m./sec. per 225 mb.

Table 5 summarizes results of vortex average calculated vertical wind shear for the highest 36-km. radial segments on 17 middle and lower troposphere levels. Wind shears are given in percentage (or ratio) of amount of shear per 225 mb. to layer average wind speed. Percentage vertical wind shear is defined as

\[
\text{percentage shear} = \frac{u_t - u_i}{\bar{u}} \times 100
\]

where \(u_t\) = tangential velocity at top of 225-mb. layer
\(u_i\) = tangential velocity at bottom of 225-mb. layer
\(\bar{u} = \frac{1}{2} (u_t + u_i)

Calculated shear as high as 37 percent of the observed mean wind per 225 mb. was obtained. Even though vortex averages were taken, unrealistically high computed percentage shear was obtained at the inner radii. Flight observations have shown, however, that measured wind shears in the lower half of the troposphere at the inner radii of the hurricane are considerably smaller. Hawkins [17] has constructed a nomogram of vertical wind shear obtained from available hurricane flight data of 1957-58. This diagram shows wind shear through the entire lower half of the troposphere (surface to 550 mb.) within radii of 60-80 km. to average but 10 percent of the mean wind speed (3-4 m./sec. per 450 mb. or 5 percent per 225 mb.). Flight observations since 1960 have further substantiated Hawkins' data.

Table 6 lists ratios of percentage vortex average calculated shear to assumed vertical shear of 5 percent per 225 mb. for the 17 middle and lower tropospheric levels. In the radial segments between 18 and 36 km. and between 36 and 54 km., this ratio averages 4.4 and 3.3, respectively. This is 4.4 and 3.3 times larger than Hawkins' wind shear nomogram.

---

### Table 3.—Comparison of vortex average observed and computed temperature gradients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Storm</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Between pressure levels (mb.)</th>
<th>Radius (km.)</th>
<th>Observed temperature gradient (C)</th>
<th>Computed temperature gradient (C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cleo</td>
<td>Aug. 18, 1958</td>
<td>560-255</td>
<td>18-54</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daisy</td>
<td>Aug. 25, 1958</td>
<td>525-555</td>
<td>18-54</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helene</td>
<td>Sept. 26, 1958</td>
<td>580-270</td>
<td>18-90</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donna</td>
<td>Sept. 15, 1960</td>
<td>700-495</td>
<td>26-72</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carla</td>
<td>Sept. 6, 1961</td>
<td>905-585</td>
<td>18-72</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Table 4.—18-km. incremental individual radial leg temperature gradients \(C\) and calculated vertical wind shear \(m./sec. per 225 mb.\)—parentheses for 560-mb. flight level of Cleo Aug. 18, 1958.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radius (km.)</th>
<th>NE</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>NW</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18-36</td>
<td>2.5(7.4)</td>
<td>3.6(13.1)</td>
<td>2.9(12.6)</td>
<td>2.8(23.4)</td>
<td>3.0(15.5)</td>
<td>2.9(16.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-54</td>
<td>2.0(6.8)</td>
<td>1.8(5.9)</td>
<td>2.1(6.8)</td>
<td>2.0(10.4)</td>
<td>2.3(7.4)</td>
<td>1.0(9.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54-72</td>
<td>-4.2(-3.9)</td>
<td>-1.2(-3.9)</td>
<td>-4.1(-3.9)</td>
<td>-3.1(-3.9)</td>
<td>-2.5(-3.9)</td>
<td>-2(-3.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72-90</td>
<td>0.1(2.1)</td>
<td>0.1(1.2)</td>
<td>0.1(1.2)</td>
<td>0(0.3)</td>
<td>0.7(5.3)</td>
<td>0.2(3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90-108</td>
<td>4.8(11.5)</td>
<td>3.1(13.1)</td>
<td>4.1(13.1)</td>
<td>3.1(13.1)</td>
<td>1.7(9.0)</td>
<td>1(1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-126</td>
<td>2.3(10)</td>
<td>1.1(3.1)</td>
<td>2.1(3.1)</td>
<td>2.1(3.1)</td>
<td>2.3(3.1)</td>
<td>2.3(3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126-144</td>
<td>-1.3(-3.9)</td>
<td>-1.3(-3.9)</td>
<td>-1.3(-3.9)</td>
<td>-1.3(-3.9)</td>
<td>-1.3(-3.9)</td>
<td>-1.3(-3.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5.—Calculated percentage vertical wind shear per 225 mb. for highest 30-km. radial intervals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Storm</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Level (mb.)</th>
<th>Radius (n. mi.)</th>
<th>Average percentage vertical wind shear per 225 mb.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carrie</td>
<td>Sept. 15, 1957</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>18-54</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sept. 17, 1957</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>36-72</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleo</td>
<td>Aug. 18, 1958</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>18-54</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aug. 25, 1958</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>18-54</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daisy</td>
<td>Aug. 25, 1958</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>18-54</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aug. 28, 1958</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>18-54</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aug. 29, 1958</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>18-54</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helene</td>
<td>Sept. 24, 1958</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>18-54</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sept. 25, 1958</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>36-72</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sept. 26, 1958</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>18-54</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donna</td>
<td>Sept. 7, 1960</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>18-54</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sept. 6, 1961</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>18-54</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carla</td>
<td>Sept. 8, 1961</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>18-54</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sept. 8, 1961</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>18-54</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td>720</td>
<td>18-54</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.—Ratio of calculated to an assumed vertical wind shear of 5 percent per 225 mb.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Storm</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Level (mb.)</th>
<th>Radius (km.)</th>
<th>18-36</th>
<th>30-54</th>
<th>54-72</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carrie</td>
<td>Sept. 15, 1957</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sept. 17, 1957</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleo</td>
<td>Aug. 18, 1958</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>-9.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aug. 25, 1958</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daisy</td>
<td>Aug. 25, 1958</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>-10.0</td>
<td>-4.4</td>
<td>-2.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aug. 27, 1958</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aug. 28, 1958</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helene</td>
<td>Sept. 24, 1958</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sept. 25, 1958</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sept. 26, 1958</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donna</td>
<td>Sept. 7, 1960</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sept. 6, 1961</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carla</td>
<td>Sept. 8, 1961</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sept. 8, 1961</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td>720</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average. 4.4 3.3 1.3

Table 7.—Ratio of calculated to observed percentage vertical wind shear per 225 mb.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Storm</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Between pressure levels (mb.)</th>
<th>18-36</th>
<th>30-54</th>
<th>54-72</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cleo</td>
<td>Aug. 18, 1958</td>
<td>810-560</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daisy</td>
<td>Aug. 25, 1958</td>
<td>825-555</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>.9</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donna</td>
<td>Sept. 7, 1960</td>
<td>760-635</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>-3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carla</td>
<td>Sept. 8, 1961</td>
<td>905-585</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td>.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Five cases are available in which the aircraft operated simultaneously at different levels in the lower troposphere so that direct measurement of actual wind shear could be made. Table 7 lists a comparison of computed and observed vertical wind shears per 225 mb. For the inner two 18-km. radial segments the ratio of computed to observed shear was nearly three and two, respectively. There seems to be no question that the observed vertical wind shears at the inner radii may be one-half to one-third the value of the computed shears obtained from the horizontal temperature gradients. Why should this happen?

A complete evaluation of equation (4) could be accomplished in five cases for which vertical shear was measured. Table 8 portrays computed values of \( W_S \) and \( B \) for these cases. When \( B \) is larger or smaller than \( W_S \), then an excess \( (B_{ex}) \) or deficit \( (\overline{B_{ex}}) \) of baroclinicity above or below that required for balanced flow is hypothesized to be present. Thus

\[
B_{ex} = B - W_S \tag{6}
\]

It is evident that excess baroclinicity of quite pronounced magnitudes is continuously present at the middle and lower tropospheric levels in the inner radii. Values

Numerous reconnaissance missions at the middle and lower tropospheric levels into the linear area of typhoons have also reported sharp increases of horizontal temperature right before and during entry to the eye-wall clouds.

Table 8.—Layer average calculations of \( B, W_S, \) and \( B_{ex} \) (units \( 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2/\text{gm.} \))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Storm</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Between pressure levels (mb.)</th>
<th>18-36</th>
<th>30-54</th>
<th>54-72</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cleo</td>
<td>Aug. 18, 1958</td>
<td>810-560</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daisy</td>
<td>Aug. 25, 1958</td>
<td>825-555</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>.9</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donna</td>
<td>Sept. 7, 1960</td>
<td>760-635</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>-3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carla</td>
<td>Sept. 8, 1961</td>
<td>905-585</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td>.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average. 4.5 1.1 3.4 2.4 1.8 0.6 4.3 5 -1
of \( B_{2r} \) were frequently as large or larger than the \( W_{2r}S \) and \( B \) terms themselves. An assumption of frictionless, gradient wind balance would be significantly in error in these areas where large \( B_{2r} \) exists. It is surprising that the cylindrical thermal wind relationship should fail to describe the motion of the inner areas of the hurricane by margins as large as 200 to 400 percent. The large positive \( B_{2r} \) in the lower troposphere is consistent with an excess of pressure gradient over measured winds. In an earlier study, the author (Gray [12]) noted a vortex average excess of pressure over wind acceleration in a similar data sample.

**LOWER TROPOSPHERIC BAROCLINITY AND CLOUD ENTRAINMENT**

Although the most intense baroclinicity in the developed storm is found at levels between 500 and 200 mb., table 2 shows that large baroclinicity is also present in the lower half of the troposphere. This is consistent with the facts that (1) nearly all condensation heating occurs below 500 mb., (2) a significant percentage of shallow cumulus convection is also present, and (3) observed magnitude of the deep vertical draft velocities and time scale of the deep cumulus cell is possible only if significant amounts of entrainment and turbulent mixing are taking place on the cloud boundaries.

Were "undilute" cumulus ascent hypothesized for the lower part of the troposphere, then vertical draft velocity would have to be two to three times larger than, and cell lifetime one-half to one-third the size they are observed to be (Byers and Braham [4], Gray [13], Simpson et al. [53], Senn et al. [49]). By allowing shallow cumulus convection and some entrainment and turbulent mixing of the cumuli, substantial baroclinicity may be developed in the lower atmosphere. Ackerman [1] has measured liquid water contents within cumulus clouds along a number of the flight levels here discussed, and found amounts below those required for undilute ascent.

Cumulus intensity is greatest in and near the eye-wall area of the hurricane. It is in this region that the direct dynamic influences of the cumuli are more clearly evident and can be more easily isolated from the other parameters. The above envisaged cumulus momentum exchanges are also acting within other areas and circulations, but their relative importance is smaller and present instrumental limitation does not allow for accurate isolation and assessment.

The following sections of this paper will attempt to explain the excess baroclinicity as a plausible consequence of the characteristic dynamical process associated with the hurricane's cumulus momentum exchanges.

---

**4. CHARACTER OF VERTICAL MOTION AND STRESS IN THE HURRICANE**

Qualitative inspection of hurricane radar echo information has shown the heavy liquid water (and undoubtedly the primary vertical motion) to be concentrated in the selective cumulus cloud areas which may occupy but 5–20 percent of the hurricane's inner area (Jordan [20], Malkus [30], Malkus et al. [29], Riehl and Malkus [42], Colón and staff NHRP [8], [9], Ackerman [1], Gentry[10], and Simpson et al. [53]). The author (Gray [13]) has made an investigation of the width and magnitude of hurricane cumulus drafts. Radar observational studies of the motion of hurricane precipitation echoes have been made by Senn and Hiser [48], Senn and Low [47], Senn et al. [49], [50], [51], Watanabe [56], and others. The above and additional observational evidence allows an inductive determination of the character of the vertical motion at the inner radii of the hurricane.

From the work of Riehl and Malkus [41], [42], and Malkus [30], it is concluded that the vertical motion of primary significance occurs within cumuli which often penetrate into the upper half of the troposphere. No dynamically significant vertical motion is believed to occur in the clear air or within the layered or stratus clouds between the cumuli. The lifetime of the deep cumulus cell averages 30 min. This cell life-cycle is pictured as having two periods, the first of 10–15 min. duration when the vertical motion is predominantly upward, and a similar later period when the motion is predominantly downward. This is in agreement with the cell life-cycle envisaged by Byers and Braham [4]. The magnitude and width of the up- and downdrafts are similar. The mass circulation through the hurricane results from a more intense and slightly greater number of updrafts than downdrafts.7 This mean vertical motion or mass-circulation through the storm system is not representative of the average absolute vertical motion occurring within the system if typical up- and downdrafts have a magnitude of 5–10 m./sec.

**MOMENTUM EXCHANGES AND STRESS ASSOCIATED WITH THE CUMULUS DRAFTS**

In a previous observational paper on hurricane dynamics, the author (Gray [14]) stressed the importance of vertical momentum exchanges associated with the correlation of cumulus-scale wind components. By performing space averaging, the wind could be separated into space-determined mean and cumulus-sized eddy components. The measured cloud-scale horizontal and vertical eddies \((u', v', \omega')\) were of magnitude 5–10 m./sec and the components were often highly correlated. Sizable horizontal accelerations could result from vertical gradients of the cumulus-induced stress.

The sign of the \(u'\omega'\) correlation was typically negative.

---

1. The downdraft is established by frictional drag of the falling, strongly concentrated, heavy raindrops. This is assumed to be possible without invoking an evaporation-cooling mechanism.
FIGURE 4.—Portrayal of how the horizontal velocity within the deep cumuli can be different from the velocity of the surrounding cumulus-free area if large vertical wind shear is present. The tangential velocity at any level within the draft (u) may be significantly different (u') from the horizontally averaged tangential wind (u) surrounding the cumuli.

FIGURE 5.—Illustrating how cumulus updrafts from within the lower inflow layer can produce at higher levels negative radial velocities (v') significantly greater than the mean radial velocities (v) surrounding the cumulus. Typical exports of momentum out of the inflow layer by the gust (below) and the draft (above) processes are also shown.

FIGURE 6.—Assumed radial profile of mean tangential wind (\( \bar{u} \)).

FIGURE 7.—Assumed vertical dependence throughout the troposphere of the mean tangential (\( \bar{u} \)), radial (\( \bar{v} \)), and vertical wind (\( \bar{w} \)).
in the middle levels indicating upward vertical momentum transport as is required by cumulus penetration through a layer where the mean wind decreases with height. The large horizontal momentum in the lower levels is carried by the updraft to higher levels. A resulting higher horizontal wind speed is present within and surrounding the updraft. The opposite process will be in operation with the downdraft (fig. 4). A high correlation of $v\omega$ should also exist in the lower layers where the vertical gradient of radial wind is large (fig. 5). Radar evidence is available (previously cited) which shows that the "hard core" echoes often have horizontal velocities considerably different from those of the surrounding cumulus-free air in the hurricane and also within mid-latitude cumulonimbi (Newton and Newton [33] and Newton [34]). Byers and Battan [5] and Malkus [26] have previously discussed the effects of vertical wind shear on cumuli.

In order to gain more insight into the internal dynamics of the inner area of the hurricane and to explain quantitatively the lack of thermal wind balance as a plausible consequence of the cumulus vertical momentum transports, a symmetric vortex flow pattern for this area based on recent flight observations will be assumed and discussed in the following three sections.

5. ASSUMED VORTEX MODEL

A symmetric steady-state vortex flow pattern is now arbitrarily specified. Upon this mean flow pattern a distribution of three sizes of cumulus convection will be superimposed. Plausible cloud-scale wind fluctuations associated with these cumuli will also be assumed. This complete specification of the mean flow and cumulus-scale wind eddies will allow for calculations of the frictional and other terms in the equations of motion. The following parameters are specified to represent the conditions within the inner 80-km. radius of the moderate, moving hurricane with cumulus convection concentrated in eye-wall clouds at 33 km.

a. Tangential Wind in Horizontal. In agreement with Riehl [43], a relationship

$$\bar{u}r^2 = \text{constant} \quad (7)$$

is taken as the functional form of the mean tangential wind ($\bar{u}$) with radius $r$. $z = -1$ for radii from 15 to 30 km., and 0.5 for radii beyond 30 km. (fig. 6). For the data sample here presented, Riehl [43] has shown that $z = 0.5$ closely fits a majority of the radial wind profiles beyond the radius of maximum winds. Maximum wind is assumed to be 40 m./sec. at 30-km. radius.

b. Tangential Wind in Vertical. The vertical distribution of the mean value of $\bar{u}$ is taken to be given as

$$\bar{u} = \bar{u}_0 \cos^{1/3} \alpha \quad (8)$$

where $\bar{u}_0$ is the mean wind at the surface, and $\alpha = 0$ and $\pi/2$ at 1000 and 100 mb., respectively, as shown by the diagram on the left of figure 7. $\alpha$ and $\phi$ to follow are linear functions of pressure.

c. Radial Distribution of Radial Wind. Following Palmén and Riehl [38], Malkus and Riehl [28], and Miller [32], we assume that the surface stress $\tau_{rpm}$ varies according to the usual relationship

$$\tau_{rpm} = \rho_0 K \bar{u} \bar{a} \quad (9)$$

where $K$ is an arbitrarily specified exchange coefficient for gust-scale momentum transfer at the ocean surface. This constant is assigned the value $2.5 \times 10^{-3}$, and $\rho_0$ is the surface density. If 90 percent of the interface-induced stress is assumed to be dissipate in the lowest 100 mb., the average tangential frictional acceleration in the lowest 100 mb. ($\bar{F}_b$) is assumed to be given by the relation

$$\bar{F}_b = (0.9) g \frac{\tau_{rpm}}{\Delta \rho} \quad (10)$$

where $g$ is the gravitational acceleration, $\Delta \rho$ a 100-mb. thickness, and $\sim$ denotes vertical averaging.

Given $\bar{F}_b$, the average radial velocity in the lowest 100 mb. of the steady-state symmetrical hurricane is very closely determined from the cylindrical tangential equation of motion

$$\left( -\bar{\bar{\nu}}_a - \omega \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial \rho} + \bar{F}_b \right) = 0$$

by the relationship

$$\bar{\nu} = \frac{\bar{F}_b}{\bar{\bar{\nu}}_a} \quad (11)$$

where $\bar{\bar{\nu}}_a$ is the average absolute vorticity within this layer depth. The negligible vertical shear of $\bar{u}$ in the lowest 100 mb. allows the $\bar{\omega} \bar{u} / \partial \rho$ term to be neglected. The radial distribution of $\bar{\nu}$ in the lowest 100 mb. and the resulting mean upward speed $(-\bar{\omega})$ at 100 mb. above the surface level is portrayed in figure 8. The radial distribution of $\bar{\nu}$ is in agreement with observational evidence of Hughes [19] and others.
The effect of layered or stratus type clouds will be assumed to be negligible. The vertical motion within the cumuli will be given by the basic equation

$$\omega = \omega_0 \sin^{1/3} 2\varphi$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

The three types of cumuli assumed are:

1. small cumuli (Cu) which extend from 950 to 700 mb. Maximum upward speed (at 825 mb.) is taken to be 0.5\(\omega_0\), no downdrafts present. \(\varphi = 0\) and \(\pi/2\) at 1000 and 700 mb.

2. towering cumuli (TWG Cu) which extend from 950 to 500 mb. Maximum upward speed is 1.0\(\omega_0\) and downdrafts 0.5\(\omega_0\). \(\varphi = 0, \pi/2\) at 1000 and 500 mb. respectively for the updraft and 0 and \(\pi/2\) at 1000 and 700 mb. for the towering cumulus downdrafts.

3. cumulonimbus (Cb) which extend to the 200-mb. level. Maximum updrafts and downdrafts are 2.0 and 1.0\(\omega_0\), respectively, where \(\varphi = 0\) and \(\pi/2\) at 1000 and 200 mb. for the updraft and 0, \(\pi/2\) at 1000 and 500 mb. for the downdraft.

The magnitude of \(\omega_0\) is specified such that the maximum speeds of the Cb updrafts, Cb downdrafts, and TWG Cu updrafts and Cu updrafts are always 8, 4, and 2 m./sec., respectively, or 4.8, 3.4, and 1.7 m./sec. at 900 mb. The upward circulation through the vortex is carried by the Cb updrafts. The Cb downdrafts are balanced by the TWG Cu updrafts, the TWG Cu downdrafts by the small Cu updrafts.

Figure 9 portrays the relative magnitude of these five classes of cumulus up- and downdraft patterns. Figure 10 shows the percentage area of Cb updrafts and of each of the other types of cumulus up- and downdraft as a function of radius and also the total percentage area taken up by all five classes of updraft and downdraft. The magnitude of the draft speeds and mean vertical circulation determine these area percentages.

The above fits basic observational flight evidence of the characteristics of the typical moderate hurricane circulation which is moving with the cumulus convection concentrated in its center. Mass balance is present at each radius. The cumulonimbus extend to the upper troposphere and the mass inflow and outflow circulation is concentrated in the lowest 100 mb. and at 200 mb., respectively.

6. ASSUMED CUMULUS-INDUCED WIND EDDIES

The above specification of cumulus up- and downdrafts which are superimposed upon the mean flow conditions (in which vertical shear is present) must of necessity require that the winds within the cumuli be different from the mean winds surrounding the clouds. As shown in figures 4 and 5, the horizontal momentum in the lowest layers can be advected upward within the cumulus updraft to produce a component wind at a higher level which is significantly different from the mean wind component surrounding the cumuli. These cumulus-induced component wind variations will henceforth be called eddy winds.
VERTICAL EDDY WIND ($\omega'$)

With the previously specified functional form of $\omega$ with height, the magnitude of the typical vertical eddy wind associated with each cumulus up- and downdraft is portrayed in figure 11 and defined as

$$\omega' = \omega - \bar{\omega}$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

where $\bar{\omega}$ and $\omega$ have been previously specified in sections 5e and 5f.

The mean upward vertical speed ($-\omega$) is accounted for by the speed of the Cb updrafts multiplied by the area made up by the Cb's (average approximately 6.5 percent between 30- and 50-km. radii). The mean vertical circulation through the system is thus but a small fraction of a m./sec. and the vertical eddy wind is closely approximated by the velocity within the cumuli ($\omega' \sim \omega$).

TANGENTIAL EDDY WIND ($u'$)

The tangential eddy wind is produced by the cumuli advancing larger tangential momentum from below in the updraft and smaller tangential momentum from above in the downdraft (fig. 4). The Cb updrafts are most effective in this transfer because they penetrate through the greatest vertical shear. At the upper levels the difference between the tangential wind and the surrounding flow can be appreciable. At these levels large horizontal mixing must be occurring. The tangential eddy is arbitrarily specified as

$$u' = u_0(1 - \cos^{1.8} \alpha) M_s$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

where $u_0(1 - \cos^{1.8} \alpha)$ is the maximum eddy wind which would result from undiluted ascent from the surface; $\alpha=0$ and $\pi/2$ at 1000 and 200 mb.; and $M_s$ is the coefficient of mixing which is taken to be proportional to $\cos^{1.8} \varphi$. The greatest mixing is thus assumed to occur at the upper levels of each cloud. Then

$$u' = u_0(1 - \cos^{1.8} \alpha) \cos^{1.8} \varphi,$$

where $\varphi=0$, and $\pi/2$ at 1000 and 200 mb. in the Cb updrafts, 1000 and 500 mb. in the Cb downdrafts and TWG Cu updrafts, and 1000 and 700 mb. in the TWG Cu downdrafts and Cu updrafts.

A vertical portrayal of the tangential eddy wind which results from a Cb updraft is shown in the upper left diagram of figure 13. Smaller horizontal eddies would occur for the four other classes of cumulus up- and downdrafts.

RADIAL EDDY WIND

The tangential eddy is produced in a similar manner as the tangential eddy. However, the larger radial than tangential wind shear in the lower levels requires that the radial eddy ($v'$) and the radial mixing be larger at these levels. The radial eddy wind is arbitrarily specified for levels above 950 mb. as
\[ u' = (v_i - \bar{v}) M_r \]  
\[ \tau_{rp} = \frac{u' \omega'}{g} \]  
\[ \tau_{rp} = \frac{v' \omega'}{g} \]

where \( v_i \) is the average radial wind in the lowest 50 mb.

\( \bar{v} \) is the mean radial wind at each level,

\( M_r \) is radial mixing which is assumed to be proportional to \( \cos \varphi \) instead of \( \cos^{1/3} \) \( \varphi \) as with the tangential eddy,

\( \varphi \) is similar values for the five classes of cumulus up- and downdrafts as were specified for the tangential eddy wind.

Figure 12 and lower left-hand diagram of figure 13 portray the magnitude of radial eddy wind which would result from a cumulonimbus updraft through application of equation (17).

7. RESULTING CUMULUS-INDUCED STRESS AND HORIZONTAL ACCELERATION OF ASSUMED VORTEX

With the above-specified eddy winds, significantly large middle-level Reynolds stress values

Figure 13.—Tropospheric distribution at 35-km. radius of the magnitude of the resulting assumed tangential \( (u') \), radial \( \bar{v}' \), and vertical \( (\omega') \) wind eddies, their product, and consequent \( \tau_{rp} \) and \( \tau_{rp} \) stress which results from just the cumulonimbus updrafts if these components are correlated within the 15 percent area occupied by these updrafts.

(\( \sim \) denotes horizontal space averaging over distances considerably larger than the eddy) are present when the eddy wind components are appreciably correlated. These stress magnitudes may be as large as the surface interface stress. Figure 13 portrays the specified vertical distribution \( u' \), \( \bar{v}' \), and \( \omega' \) wind eddies from the cumulonimbus updrafts at 35-km. radius and the resulting Reynolds stress which would result from the correlation of the horizontal and vertical eddies within 15 percent of the area which is occupied by Cb updrafts at the eye wall.

It is assumed in this model that in the first approximation to the turbulent stress there is complete correlation of wind eddies within the restricted areas of the cumulus elements and no correlation of wind eddies within the

---

\[ \text{See Gray \cite{14} for a discussion of the treatment of cumulus-scale wind fluctuations as wind eddies from the Reynolds stress point of view.} \]
much larger percentage areas with no cumuli. This is a
different type of turbulence than the typical mechanically
produced gust-turbulence of the planetary boundary layer.
The typical eddies in this kind of cumulus-produced
turbulence are much larger and are driven by the con-
densation-developed buoyancy within the selective cumu-
lus draft. The author has, in fact, measured this latter
type of turbulence from the U.S. Weather Bureau’s
specially instrumented aircraft observations in the hurri-
cane. Draft-scale wind components often showed large
correlation at selective regions within and surrounding the
cumuli. Middle tropospheric stress values as high as
20–30 dynes/cm.² were computed from the high correlation
and magnitude of the draft-scale eddies within and
surrounding the selective regions occupied by the cumuli.
This scale of eddy was not observed in the cumulus-free
areas. The reader is referred to the author’s other paper
[14] for a discussion of this latter type of turbulence.

The three center diagrams of figure 14 portray the
vertical distribution of the average $\tau_{ep}$ stress between
30 and 50 km. which results from the up- and downdrafts
within the three assumed classes of cumuli. The drawing
on the left of figure 14 portrays the assumed vertical
decrease of surface stress ($\tau_{ep}$). The drawing on the right
of figure 14 shows the average stress between 30 and 50
km. which results from the combined surface and all
three sizes of cumuli. In the surface boundary layer
tangential momentum is being transferred downward by
gust-scale eddies and $\omega'u'$ is positive. Malkus and
Riehl [28], Riehl and Malkus [42], and Miller [32] have
estimated hurricane boundary layer stress values of
40–60 dynes/cm.² at inner radii. In the inflow layer
where momentum is being transferred to the ocean,
the eddy sizes are characteristically of gust length (~100
to 400 m.). Negative correlation between the vertical and
horizontal gust eddies is required for momentum transfer to the ocean surface. The few B-50 flights at 450 m. height that have been made in strong wind conditions have usually encountered a mechanical “washboard” type of turbulence. U.S. Navy flights at sub-cloud levels in typhoons have also reported this type of turbulence. Beginning at cloud base (400 to 600 m.) and extending into the upper troposphere, a characteristically different type of “cumulus-scale” eddy wind turbulence is established. In this case momentum is being transferred upward by the positive correlation of cloud-scale tangential and vertical eddies. These eddies are typically of much longer size than the eddies within the surface inflow layer.

**TANGENTIAL ACCELERATION** ($F_t$)

The left diagram of figure 15 shows the vertical distribution of the average combined $\tau_{rp}$ stress within the 30–50-km. radii, (a), and the $\tau_{rp}$ stress which occurs at the eye wall at 35 km., (b). A larger magnitude of stress exists at the eye-wall where the intensity of cumulus convection is greatest. The right diagram shows the tangential frictional acceleration ($F_t$) which results between and at these same radii from the vertical gradient of this combined $\tau_{rp}$ stress. It is to be noted that significant tangential accelerations occur in the middle and upper troposphere and that these accelerations are of a sign such that they act to suppress the tropospheric wind shear.

**$\tau_{rp}$ STRESS**

The right diagram of figure 16 shows the combined stress resulting from the surface interface (left diagram) and $\tau_{rp}$ stress induced by the three sizes of cumuli. It is to be noted that the level of largest $\tau_{rp}$ stress is 700 mb. instead of near 400 mb. as in the case of $\tau_{sp}$. This is due to the larger vertical shear of $\vec{v}$ at the lower levels. $\tau_{rp}$ at the surface is taken to be equal to $(\vec{v}/\vec{u})\tau_{rp}$. Over the tropical oceans and in hurricanes, cumulus clouds extend upward from a base of about 50–60 mb. above the ocean

---

*In an earlier study (Gray [14]) it was shown that the vertical gradient of stress was the predominant contributor to horizontal accelerations. Horizontal gradients of stress contributed in an insignificant way to horizontal acceleration.*

---

**FIGURE 16.**—Tropospheric distribution of average $\tau_{rp}$ stress between radii 30 and 50 km. (right diagram) which results from the combined surface interface stress (left diagram) and the three sizes of cumuli (center diagrams).

**FIGURE 17.**—Tropospheric distribution of $\tau_{rp}$ stress for average conditions between 30- and 50-km. radii (a) plus the value at 35-km. radius (b) is shown in the drawing on the left. Resulting distributions of radial frictional acceleration ($F_r$) and vertical gradient of radial acceleration $\partial F_r/\partial p$ at these same radii are shown in the center and right drawings, respectively.
From the surface inflow layer, a large export of inward radial momentum must take place both to the sea surface and also upward within the cumulus cloud. The downdrafts from above which penetrate into the inflow layer carry a smaller inward radial momentum component with them and add to this export. These vertical exchanges of radial momentum induce a sizable vertical gradient of $r_p$ stress.

**RADIAL ACCELERATION ($F_r$) AND $\partial F_r/\partial p$**

Figure 17 is similar to figure 15. The left diagram portrays the vertical distribution of the average combined $r_p$ stress within 30-50-km. radii, (a), and the $r_p$ stress occurring at the eye wall at 35-km. radius, (b). The center diagram shows the resulting frictional acceleration ($F_r$) obtained from the vertical gradient of this stress. $F_r$ is directed so as to inhibit the mass circulation through the vortex. An excess of pressure over wind acceleration then becomes a necessary requirement for maintenance of the mass circulation through the system.

The diagram on the right of figure 17 shows the vertical gradient of $F_r$ (i.e., $\partial F_r/\partial p$) in units of $10^{-6}$ cm$^2$ gm$^{-1}$. These are the same units used for the measured $B_{cr}$ of table 8. The average observed value of $B_{cr}$ as shown in table 8 for radii between 18 and 54 km. is $1.7 \times 10^{-6}$ cm$^2$ gm$^{-1}$. The calculated values of $\partial F_r/\partial p$ in the lower third of the troposphere shown in the right diagram of figure 17 are 0.5 and $1.5 \times 10^{-6}$ cm$^2$ gm$^{-1}$ for average conditions between 30 and 50 km. and at 35 km., respectively. These computed values are of the right sign and magnitude to offer a plausible explanation for the large observed $B_{cr}$. It is thus concluded that the $\partial F_r/\partial p$ term of (3) is always of very large magnitude at the inner areas of the typical hurricane and can, in fact, account for most of the continuous steady-state imbalance of wind and pressure acceleration. The magnitude of the $\partial (\partial F_r/\partial t)/\partial p$ term of (3) must be small in comparison to $\partial F_r/\partial p$ if unreasonably large growth rates are not to occur. The magnitude of the $\partial (\partial \tilde{F}_p/\partial t)/\partial p$ and $\partial (\partial \tilde{F}_p/\partial p)\partial p$ terms of (3) is also smaller above the surface inflow layer ($p<850$ mb.).

Given the lower stratospheric boundary condition of no pressure and wind gradient, a downward integration of $\partial F_r/\partial p$ through the troposphere produces the largest $B_{cr}$ at the surface as shown in figure 18. This is produced primarily by the imbalance of the thermal-wind equation. Negative $B_{cr}$ and stronger wind than pressure acceleration is hypothesized to be present above 450 mb. Below 450 mb. $B_{cr}$ is positive and the pressure acceleration is stronger than wind acceleration.

A much larger pressure than wind acceleration is required in the lowest layer to maintain a continual inward acceleration of the surface wind in order to balance the continual drain of inward-directed radial momentum to the ocean surface below and by the cumuli above (fig. 5). An excess of heating and pressure acceleration over wind acceleration must always be present within the steady-state storm to maintain this inflow. The observations of Graham and Hudson [11] and Schauss [46] have shown sizably larger cyclostrophic (or pressure gradient) than observed wind at the surface levels of the hurricane. In an earlier study the author found an excess of vortex averaged pressure over wind acceleration (Gray [12]). It is to be noted that the largest values of $\partial F_r/\partial p$ are found at the lowest level.

The cumulus produce the larger pressure gradients through condensation heating and also produce the mechanism whereby compensating radial accelerations are inhibited. In this way a steady-state imbalance of wind and pressure gradient can be maintained. The cumulus-induced radial accelerations act to inhibit compensating inward radial cross-isobaric motion which would generate large amounts of kinetic energy in the manner discussed by Palmen and Jordan [37].

In the tangential direction the uniform momentum exchange also act to inhibit a larger generation of wind at the lowest levels. It is then possible for the inward directed cross-isobaric flow, both in the steady-state and intensifying vortex case, to be larger at the lowest levels, yet for the vertical gradient of horizontal wind to remain small.

---

**Figure 18.—Comparison of the unbalanced pressure and wind (converted into equivalent pressure gradient) profiles at various levels in the assumed steady-state vortex.**
Differentiating this partially with respect to pressure, we obtain

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial p} \right) = - \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} \right) + \frac{\partial F_s}{\partial \rho} \]

(21)

In the intensifying vortex there is little change of vertical shear in the lower half of the troposphere, and \( \partial(\partial u/\partial p)/\partial t \sim 0 \). The pressure gradient and inward radial velocity must be larger in the lower levels of the intensifying vortex. The vertical distribution of \( \zeta \) and \( v \) requires that \( -\partial(v \tau_\rho)/\partial p \) be positive. \( \partial \partial u/\partial p \) can remain small only by \( -\partial(v \tau_\rho)/\partial p \) and \( \partial F_s/\partial p \) contributing in a negative sense to balance \( -\partial(v \tau_{\|})/\partial p \). In this way it is possible for the absolute vorticity and vertical gradient of radial wind to increase in the lower half of the troposphere but for the vertical gradient of tangential speed to remain small. It is to be noticed (fig. 19) that in the lower half of the troposphere the \( \partial F_s \) term resulting from the cumulus up- and downdrafts is of much larger magnitude than the \( \partial \partial u/\partial p \) term.

9. OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

With characteristic cumulus-induced stress as previously discussed, resulting values of vertical and horizontal kinematic eddy viscosity can range as high as \( 10^6 \) cm\(^2\)/sec. Figure 20 portrays the vertical distribution of kinematic viscosity \( (v) \) coefficients (in units of \( 10^7 \) cm\(^2\)/sec) which are defined as

\[ v \ \text{ (vertical)} = \frac{\tau_{\rho}}{\rho^2 g \frac{\partial u}{\partial p}} \]

(22)

and are required with the various calculated values of stress and mean wind shear. A wide range and large magnitude of kinematic viscosity is required to satisfy conditions of the assumed vortex. This is particularly true at middle tropospheric levels in the cases where \( \tau_{\rho} \) is large and the shear of the mean radial wind small. The magnitude of kinematic viscosity can range from one to three orders of magnitude greater than the values which have been generally used in the previously cited numerical experiments. It would appear that the usually assumed mixing length theory which equates the magnitude of stress proportional to the mean shear (although relatively valid for the usual mechanical gust-scale mixing occurring in the atmosphere's surface layers) is not valid for fluid mixing accomplished by cumulus clouds where thermodynamic changes also occur. Attempts to account for the turbulent mixing by the cumuli in terms of mean shearing conditions appear not to be realistically valid.

Eddy momentum exchange coefficients characteristic of these higher magnitudes might shift the most preferred growth scale of cumulus-induced heating away from the cumuli to the more realistic mesoscale and offer a physical
The alteration of wind and pressure in response to cumulus condensation need not take place simultaneously. Condensation heat must initially go directly to lower the pressure surfaces (with assumed upper boundary) and increase the horizontal pressure and temperature gradients. Very little is known about the resulting time-dependent adjustment of the wind changes in the real atmosphere. Adjustment solutions and discussions (Rossby [45], Cahn [6], Bolin [3], Washington [55]) have been made only under highly idealized conditions. Numerical experiments should be undertaken to learn more of the details of the adjustment processes in the cumulus atmosphere. It is further suggested that future numerical experiments which incorporate cumulus convection should also include the effects of cloud-scale momentum transports in addition to the effects of condensation heat.

It is important to appreciate the physical significance of cumulus-scale vertical momentum transports and of the dual or "paradox" role which the cumuli can play. It is hypothesized that if the cumulus-induced baroclinicity in the lower half of the troposphere were to act in the usual sense to increase the wind shear, the development of the tropical wave and vortex could not proceed. Increased vertical wind shear would create an environment less conducive to further cumulus development (Asai [2], Hitschfeld [18], Malkus and Scorer [27]). The increased vertical shear would prevent concentration of temperature.

The above ideas are a further extension of the so-called "hot tower" hypothesis of Malkus [30] and Riehl and Malkus [41], [42], who emphasized the importance of selective vertical transport of heat within the cumulus up- and downdraft. In addition to the transport of heat, this paper has emphasized the fundamental importance of vertical transport of momentum by the cumuli.

APPENDIX

Accuracy of Data. For information concerning the accuracy of the flight observations the reader is referred to the previously cited references on the Research Flight Facility (RFF) instrumentation. Strong evidence points to the basic reliability of the instruments, and especially to measured parameters which have been averaged over time and space such as the 18-km. vortex averages of wind and temperature. Navigation corrections after a number of hours of flight with the measured winds were usually within a few kilometers. When averaging was accomplished over the radial intervals at two levels, there was usually close hydrostatic consistency between the measured temperature gradients and the gradients of layer thickness. Although some observational and computational shortcomings may be present it is not thought possible that they could be of such an extent as to alter the conclusions or implications which are advanced. Choice of a larger or smaller smoothing interval for determining the radial temperature gradients would likewise not significantly affect the results.

Correction of Winds Computed from Doppler Navigation System. The Doppler Navigation system allows computa-
tion of wind velocity from the vector difference of the true airspeed and the aircraft motion relative to the ocean surface. It is reasonable that small percentage errors might occur in the wind determination if wind-driven ocean particle motion is present. Because of the difficulties of precise testing of this effect in hurricanes, no determination has yet been made of the small percentage correction which should be applied to the winds.

Quantitative information concerning this likely effect has however been gathered by a British Royal Air Force group (Grocott [15]) in wind conditions up to 30 m./sec. over the North Atlantic.

Individual surface particles which reflect the electromagnetic energy for the Doppler determination do not move at the same speed as surface waves. They describe circles whose radii decrease with increasing depth. Theoretically determined curves are available which relate the particle speed to wave length, wave height, and wave velocity. Observational relations of wave velocity to particle speed to wave length, wave height, and wave velocity are also available. Combining these two relationships, Grocott [15] presents an equation relating surface wind speed and surface ocean particle motion, thus

\[ W = 0.92 S^{1.3} \]

where \( W \) = water particle motion in m./sec. and \( S \) = Doppler measured wind speed in m./sec.

This relationship is shown as the theoretical curve of figure 21 which has been extended up to wind speeds of 60 m./sec. Grocott also presents observationally determined water motion from a Doppler system which was obtained from flight navigation corrections. For wind speeds up to 30 m./sec. he found the relationship \( W = 0.82 S^{1.3} \). Considering all aspects Grocott recommends use of a curve \( (W=0.87 S^{1.3}) \) which is intermediate between measured and theoretically determined values. Grocott's recommended curve has been extended for this study to include wind speeds above 30 m./sec. although it is uncertain that the functional dependence may not be altered at the higher wind speeds in tropical storms.

The Doppler correction of \( W=0.87 S^{1.3} \) requires an increase of the measured RFF winds in the data presented from 7 to 9 percent. It seems reasonable that this effect would also occur with hurricane winds. To obtain the best possible winds it was deemed advisable to apply this correction. The results and implications of this paper are, however, in no significant way dependent on this correction's being applied. The observed thermal wind imbalance of 200 to 300 percent in the inner area of the hurricane would not be substantially affected by a 5–10 percent alteration of the wind speed.
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