Mechanisms Associated with Daytime and Nighttime Heat Waves over the Contiguous United States

Natalie P. Thomas, Michael G. Bosilovich, Allison B. Marquardt Collow, Randall D. Koster, Siegfried D. Schubert, Amin Dezfuli, and Sarith P. Mahanama

Universities Space Research Association, Columbia, Maryland
Global Modeling and Assimilation Office, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland
Science Systems and Applications, Inc., Lanham, Maryland

ABSTRACT: Heat waves are extreme climate events that have the potential to cause immense stress on human health, agriculture, and energy systems, so understanding the processes leading to their onset is crucial. There is no single accepted definition for heat waves, but they are generally described as a sustained amount of time over which temperature exceeds a local threshold. Multiple different temperature variables are potentially relevant, because high values of both daily maximum and minimum temperatures can be detrimental to human health. In this study, we focus explicitly on the different mechanisms associated with summertime heat waves manifested during daytime hours versus nighttime hours over the contiguous United States. Heat waves are examined using the National Aeronautics and Space Administration Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications, version 2 (MERRA-2). Over 1980–2018, the increase in the number of heat-wave days per summer was generally stronger for nighttime heat-wave days than for daytime heat-wave days, with localized regions of significant positive trends. Processes linked with daytime and nighttime heat waves are identified through composite analysis of precipitation, soil moisture, clouds, humidity, and fluxes of heat and moisture. Daytime heat waves are associated with dry conditions, reduced cloud cover, and increased sensible heating. Mechanisms leading to nighttime heat waves differ regionally across the United States, but they are typically associated with increased clouds, humidity, and/or low-level temperature advection. In the midwestern United States, enhanced moisture is transported from the Gulf of Mexico during nighttime heat waves.
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1. Introduction

Heat waves are among the most destructive extreme climate events, causing immense stress on human health, agriculture, and energy systems. The study of heat waves is complicated by the lack of a single accepted definition for them (Robinson 2001; Perkins and Alexander 2013; Perkins 2015). They are broadly defined as a sustained amount of time where temperature exceeds a threshold. However, a variety of different temperature variables can be used—the daily mean (Tmean), maximum (Tmax), and minimum (Tmin) temperature are potentially relevant, as are variables that account for humidity (Russo et al. 2017), such as apparent temperature, equivalent temperature, and heat index. Smith et al. (2013) and Lyon and Barnston (2017) provide detailed comparisons of these different indices.

Previous research has indicated that, on a global scale, heat-wave frequency and intensity have increased (Perkins et al. 2012) and are likely to continue to increase in the future (Meehl and Tebaldi 2004; Wang et al. 2020). Over the United States, trends in heat-wave frequency have been generally positive in recent decades (Oswald and Rood 2014; Schoof et al. 2017; Oswald 2018; Shafiei Shiva et al. 2019), although regional trends vary based on the index used (Smith et al. 2013). Several studies have noted the greater increase in nighttime (Tmin) versus daytime (Tmax) heat waves over the United States (Lyon and Barnston 2017; Rennie et al. 2019), specifically over California and Nevada (Gershunov et al. 2009), the Pacific Northwest (Bumbaco et al. 2013), and Florida (Cloutier-Bisbee et al. 2019).

The health impacts of extreme daytime heat are intuitive, but epidemiological studies have also noted the particularly dangerous nature of nighttime heat. In several case studies over different regions, minimum temperatures were more strongly linked with excess mortality (Kalkstein and Davis 1989; Kalkstein 1991; Hajat et al. 2002; Douset et al. 2011). Presumably, this is due to the fact that warm nights eliminate the anticipated recovery period during extreme heat events. Both daytime and nighttime heat waves can be harmful to society, so it is important to understand the unique mechanisms leading to the onset of each.
Atmospheric conditions characteristic of daytime heat waves are well-studied. Typically, heat waves are associated with anticyclonic circulation and subsidence in the middle and upper troposphere (Namias 1982; Chang and Wallace 1987; Meehl and Tebaldi 2004; Lau and Nath 2012; Schubert et al. 2014; Grotjahn et al. 2016; Yang et al. 2019). Horizontal temperature advection is also an important driver, and the relative contributions of subsidence and advection can vary even between geographically close regions (e.g., Hu et al. 2019; Yang et al. 2019). Several studies have shown the potential for Rossby wave patterns to influence extreme events such as heat waves in different parts of the world (Schubert et al. 2011; Wu et al. 2012; Teng et al. 2013; Kornhuber et al. 2019; Röthlisberger et al. 2019). Lehmann and Coumou (2015) reported a link between storm track activity and heat extremes. For daytime heat waves, land–atmosphere interactions are also highly relevant, as daytime heat leads to depletion of soil moisture and a subsequent reduction in evaporative cooling (Fischer et al. 2007; Miralles et al. 2014). Thus, droughts and heat waves are often linked, although the strength of the linkage varies regionally (Koster et al. 2009; Cheng et al. 2019).

Relatively fewer studies have focused on the mechanisms associated with nighttime heat waves. Nighttime heat waves have typically been linked with an anomalously moist atmosphere, which affects downward longwave fluxes. Gershunov et al. (2009) found a similar atmospheric circulation during daytime and nighttime heat waves over California but greater moisture advection from offshore during the latter. In their study of heat waves over the Pacific Northwest United States, Bumbaco et al. (2013) also noted a greater role of precipitable water in nighttime heat waves, compared with a stronger 500-hPa ridge and increased subsidence during daytime heat waves. Over the Korean Peninsula, nighttime heat events were found to be associated with a baroclinic atmospheric structure and increased cloud cover (Hong et al. 2018). A comprehensive analysis of mechanisms driving nighttime heat waves for different regions of the United States does not yet exist, to the best of our knowledge.

In this study, we focus explicitly on the different mechanisms associated with heat waves manifested during daytime versus nighttime hours over the United States. To facilitate this separation, the majority of the analysis will concentrate on events that occur solely during either the daytime or nighttime hours. Events that span both daytime and nighttime hours muddle the analysis, the majority of the analysis will concentrate on events associated with heat waves manifested during daytime versus nighttime hours.

2. Data and methods

a. MERRA-2

The National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications, version 2 (MERRA-2; Gelaro et al. 2017), is the primary tool used in this analysis. Hourly data from MERRA-2 are available at a spatial resolution of 0.625° longitude by 0.5° latitude starting in January 1980.

MERRA-2 is a global atmospheric reanalysis with a variety of updates relative to the original MERRA (Rienecker et al. 2011). Among these is the inclusion of an observation-driven precipitation field to force the land surface (Reichle et al. 2017a). An evaluation of the MERRA-2 climate can be found in Bosilovich et al. (2015). In general, MERRA-2 is improved relative to its predecessor, though biases do remain. For instance, MERRA-2 often underestimates the daily maximum temperature and overestimates the daily minimum temperature, leading to a negative bias in the diurnal temperature range (Bosilovich et al. 2015; Draper et al. 2018). MERRA-2 typically reproduces notable summer precipitation anomalies over the United States, though issues exist with the diurnal cycle of precipitation1 (Bosilovich et al. 2015). Although in general the inclusion of observation-corrected precipitation improves the estimates of land surface hydrology and energy balance (Reichle et al. 2017b; Draper et al. 2018), the downwelling longwave (net shortwave) radiation at the surface tends to be underestimated (overestimated) over the United States (Bosilovich et al. 2015; Draper et al. 2018) and positive biases exist in the summer latent heat flux, particularly over energy-limited climate regimes (Draper et al. 2018).

Despite these biases, MERRA-2 provides a quality reanalysis and a useful tool for this study. The availability of a variety of diagnostics at hourly frequency allows for a better representation of diurnal variations, and makes possible a precise day/night delineation, as is necessary for this analysis.

b. Heat-wave definition

Rather than using Tmax and Tmin as has been done in previous studies to define heat waves, we use average daytime and average nighttime temperature to emphasize the sustained nature of heat-wave events (Kalkstein and Davis 1989). Furthermore, using daytime- and nighttime-averaged temperature eliminates inconsistencies in the hour of Tmax and Tmin from day to day in a given location (Wang and Zeng 2013). Daytime and nighttime temperatures are computed using incoming shortwave flux at the top of the atmosphere (TOA) as a mask. A day begins at local sunrise, which is assumed to be the first hour in which TOA shortwave flux exceeds 10 W m−2; the day ends 23 h later. Temperatures during hours for which the TOA shortwave flux exceeds 10 W m−2 are averaged to produce a single daytime value, and those during hours for which TOA shortwave flux is less than 10 W m−2 are averaged to produce the nighttime value.

A heat-wave event is defined here as a period of at least 3 days during which the average 2-m temperature (T2m) exceeds its calendar-day 90th percentile. There were no major changes in the results when varying the heat-wave definition from 2 to 4 days. While other percentiles could be used to

1 The diurnal cycle of the observation-corrected precipitation is model generated because only daily mean values of observed precipitation contribute to the correction.
define heat waves, the 90th percentile was chosen to provide a balance between representing extreme temperatures and maintaining a large enough sample size of events. Calendar-day percentiles are computed using a fixed climatological period (1981–2010) and a 5-day window (i.e., Zhang et al. 2005). We define three independent categories of heat-wave events:

1) Daytime heat-wave event—Daytime temperature exceeds its 90th-percentile value for at least 3 days; nighttime temperature is below the 90th-percentile value on at least one of the three days.

2) Nighttime heat-wave event—Nighttime temperature exceeds its 90th-percentile value for at least 3 days; daytime temperature is below the 90th-percentile value on at least one of the three days.

3) Compound heat-wave event—Both daytime and nighttime temperatures exceed their 90th percentiles for at least three days.

This heat-wave categorization is similar to several recent studies of heat waves over China (Freychet et al. 2017; Chen and Li 2017; Chen and Zhai 2017; Su and Dong 2019), except that here we are using daytime and nighttime average temperature rather than Tmax and Tmin. (See Fig. A1 for a schematic illustrating each heat-wave type.) We also tested the effect of increasing the separation between daytime and nighttime temperatures in the heat-wave definition, that is, requiring that the daytime temperature is below the Xth percentile on nighttime heat-wave days, and vice versa. Increasing the separation (decreasing X further below 90) reduced the number of heat-wave days without changing the results notably, so X was kept at 90 in the interest of maximizing the sample size.

Heat waves are defined both on a gridpoint scale and a regional scale. For the gridpoint analysis, daytime or nighttime T2m at an individual gridpoint is used to define heat waves. For the regional analysis, daytime or nighttime T2m is area averaged over the region of interest, and this time series is used to define heat waves over the region. The use of area-averaged temperatures for defining heat waves could lead to the situation where regional events are disproportionately influenced by extreme temperatures in only one part of the region. To address this, we examined including an additional fraction of area requirement, but found that this reduced the sample size without qualitatively changing results. We examine the contiguous U.S. regions used in the fourth National Climate Assessment (NCA; Wuebbles et al. 2017). (See Fig. A2 for further details on the process used to define heat-wave days and events.)

c. Analysis method

This analysis focuses on the North American warm season of June, July, and August (JJA) for 1980–2018. To analyze different variables that may be linked with daytime or nighttime heat waves, we utilize composite analysis. For this, daily averages of variables are averaged over all heat-wave days of a particular type, to determine dominant patterns of association. To be included in the composite analysis for independent daytime (nighttime) heat waves, a day must 1) be part of a daytime (nighttime) heat-wave event (see section 2b) and 2) have only the daytime (nighttime) temperature exceed the 90th percentile (i.e., filled-in squares in Fig. A2).

Composites are produced by averaging the variable of choice over all heat-wave days of a given type. Thus, for a given variable, there are six composite fields: daytime-average of daytime heat-wave days, nighttime average following daytime heat-wave days, daytime average preceding nighttime heat-wave days, nighttime average of nighttime heat-wave days, daytime average of compound heat-wave days, and nighttime average of compound heat-wave days.

Statistical significance of composites is assessed in two ways. First, a Student’s t test is performed with a null hypothesis that the composite mean anomaly is not significantly different from zero. The t statistic is computed as the ratio of the mean of all values included in the composite to the standard error of all values included in the composite. Next, the nonparametric two-sided Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney rank-sum test is used to assess whether heat-wave days are significantly different from all summer days for a given variable. Both significance tests are performed at the 95% confidence level.

To create daily averages of variables, hourly variables are separated into their daily daytime and nighttime averages. This is done at each grid point, in the same way as for T2m described in section 2b. For analysis of NCA regions, the start of the day was taken as the most frequently occurring sunrise hour in the region on a given day. Daily daytime and nighttime anomalies are computed (using their respective climatologies) for the relevant variable using a 5-day window and a fixed climate period of 1981–2010. In the case of T2m, standardized anomalies are computed by dividing the anomalies by their standard deviation, in order to account for the local variability of temperature.

Examined variables include low-level winds, 500-hPa heights, vertically integrated moisture transport, precipitation, root-zone soil moisture, sensible and latent heat flux, total precipitable water, 2-m specific humidity, downwelling longwave flux, cloud cover, and shortwave and longwave cloud radiative effect (CRE).

Shortwave CRE (SWCRE) is calculated as the difference between the surface net downward shortwave flux (SWGNT, using MERRA-2 output variable names) and the surface net downward shortwave flux assuming clear sky (SWGNTCLR):

$$\text{SWCRE} = \text{SWGNT} - \text{SWGNTCLR}.$$  

Longwave CRE (LWCRE) is calculated as the difference between the surface absorbed longwave radiation (LWGAB) and the model-defined surface absorbed longwave radiation assuming clear sky, that is, with cloud effects removed (LWGABCLR):

$$\text{LWCRE} = \text{LWGAB} - \text{LWGABCLR}.$$  

3. Results

a. Heat-wave climatology and trends in MERRA-2

Prior to the examination of heat-wave climatology, we assess the climatology of summer daytime and nighttime T2m. Figure 1 shows the mean and subseasonal standard deviation of
daily daytime and nighttime T2m over all JJA days in 1980–
2018. The subseasonal standard deviation is computed after
removing the annual cycle and detrending the daily T2m
values. The mean T2m generally decreases with latitude, with
the exception of the high-elevation areas in the Rocky and
Appalachian Mountain ranges. The spatial patterns of daytime
and nighttime mean T2m are similar, although the diurnal
temperature range (difference between Figs. 1a,b) is larger in
the western half of the country. The standard deviation of daily
temperature is largest in the northern Great Plains and
northwestern United States for both daytime and nighttime
T2m (Figs. 1c,d).

The boundaries of the seven NCA regions are drawn in
each panel of Fig. 1. For each of these regions, Fig. 2 displays
the number of daytime, nighttime, and compound heat-
wave days and events, as well as the average and maximum
event duration for JJA 1980–2018. In all regions, there are
many more compound heat-wave days and events than ei-
ther independent daytime or nighttime ones (Figs. 2a,b).
Compound heat-wave events also typically persist for longer
than either daytime or nighttime events (Fig. 2c). The sta-
tistics for the southern Great Plains are affected by the ex-
treme summer of 2011, when 82 days in JJA were classified
as heat-wave days, including one single event that lasted
44 days (Fig. 2d). Therefore, the gray bars in Fig. 2 show the
statistics for compound heat waves over the southern Great
Plains if 2011 is not included. The Northwest, northern
Great Plains, and Southeast have the fewest number of in-
dependent day or night heat waves.

Figure 3 shows the total number of daytime, nighttime, and
compound heat-wave days and events over JJA 1980–2018 at
each grid point. Again, the most striking aspect is the high
number of compound heat-wave days and events (relative to
daytime or nighttime days and events) at all grid points.

Broadly speaking, the regions with greater variability in sum-
mer-time daily T2m (Figs. 1c,d) have fewer daytime or night-
time heat-wave days. In many regions, there are more daytime
than nighttime heat-wave days and events, especially in the
Northeast, Midwest, and Southwest. The southern Great
Plains stand out as having the greatest number of compound
heat-wave days, again with a sizeable contribution from the
summer of 2011. The minimum in heat-wave frequency over
the north-central United States has also been noted by Lyon
and Barnston (2017), who attribute it to the large day-to-day
variability in atmospheric circulation in this region.

The linear trend in the number of heat-wave days per sum-
mer (JJA) over 1980–2018 is shown in Fig. 4. For daytime-only
heat-wave days, trends are weak, varied, and mostly insignifi-
cant across the United States (Fig. 4a). For nighttime heat-
wave days, trends are predominantly increasing in the south-
western and northwestern United States, the Midwest, and the
Northeast, though statistical significance is localized and scat-
ered within these regions and may be difficult to separate from
statistical noise (Fig. 4b). The stronger and more widespread
trends in nighttime versus daytime heat waves are consistent
with recent literature (Oswald 2018; Rennie et al. 2019). Over
much of the United States, trends in the frequency of com-
 pound heat-wave days (Fig. 4c) are much larger than trends in
daytime or nighttime heat-wave days, with a coherent region of statistically significant trends in the western United States. The northern Great Plains and parts of the southeastern United States show negative trends in compound heat-wave frequency, though they are not statistically significant. This is reminiscent of the warming hole over the southeastern United States during the second half of the twentieth century (Meehl et al. 2012). Over parts of the Southwest, Northwest, southern Great Plains, and Northeast, trends in the frequency of compound heat-wave days exceed 2 days per decade.

b. Pointwise composites

Using heat-wave days at each grid point as the sample size (see Fig. 3), we compute composite fields of various variables from MERRA-2. Figure 5 shows the composites of daytime and nighttime T2m standardized anomalies for each of the three heat-wave types. Compound heat-wave days exhibit the largest positive standardized anomalies in T2m of all heat-wave types for both daytime temperature (Fig. 5e) and nighttime temperature (Fig. 5f). Daytime T2m standardized anomalies are typically 1.25–1.75 K over the United States on daytime-only heat-wave days (Fig. 5a) and exceed 2 K over parts of the north-central United States on compound heat-wave days (Fig. 5e). As expected, the daytime T2m standardized anomalies preceding nighttime heat waves (Fig. 5c) are the weakest of the three (since by definition these temperatures must not exceed the 90th percentile), but they are still positive everywhere, ranging from 0.5 to 1 K. Similarly, the nighttime T2m standardized anomalies following daytime-only heat waves (Fig. 5b) are the weakest of the three nighttime temperature composites, but these too are still positive everywhere. Nighttime T2m standardized anomalies range from 1.25 to 1.75 K on nighttime heat-wave days (Fig. 5d) and from 1.5 to 2 K during compound heat-wave days (Fig. 5f).

It is clear that compound heat-wave days are associated with larger daytime and nighttime T2m anomalies than either daytime or nighttime heat-wave days. However, the processes driving high daytime and nighttime temperatures during compound heat waves are not fully understood. Likely, these compound events are associated with some combination of the mechanisms driving extreme daytime or nighttime heat, with variation from one event to the next. To more cleanly examine the different mechanisms associated with extreme daytime and nighttime heat, the remainder of the composite analysis will focus on independent daytime and nighttime events, with some discussion of compound events throughout.
Figure 6 shows the composites of daily precipitation and root-zone soil moisture anomalies for daytime and nighttime heat-wave days. Daytime heat-wave days are associated with negative anomalies in daytime precipitation (Fig. 6a) and soil moisture (Fig. 6c) over the majority of the United States. This is consistent with previous work noting the importance of land surface processes for extreme high daytime temperatures (Fischer et al. 2007; Miralles et al. 2014). For nighttime heat-wave days, positive nighttime precipitation (Fig. 6b) and soil moisture (Fig. 6d) anomalies are seen in the Northeast and Midwest United States. Ford and Schoof (2017) also found oppressive (high humidity) heat waves in Illinois to be associated with increased antecedent precipitation and soil moisture. The positive anomalies over the agriculture-heavy Midwest suggest a potential role for evapotranspiration from crops, though further analysis is needed to better understand this relationship. Weak but significant dry anomalies persist on nighttime heat-wave days over the south-central United States. Compound heat-wave days (not shown) are characterized by patterns resembling those of daytime heat-wave days—negative anomalies in precipitation and soil moisture over the entire United States, with stronger anomalies in the eastern half of the country.

Heat flux anomalies on heat-wave days are shown in Fig. 7. Since heat fluxes are smaller at night, the left two columns of the figure show heat fluxes during daytime hours for both daytime and nighttime heat-wave days. On daytime heat-wave days, the spatial patterns of latent heat flux (Fig. 7a) and sensible heat flux (Fig. 7d) are consistent with that for soil moisture (Fig. 6c); decreased soil moisture over much of the eastern half of the United States naturally leads to decreased latent heat flux and increased sensible heat flux. The Pacific Northwest and northern New England are exceptions to this, since in these regions it is energy availability, rather than water

Figure 3. Total number of (left) daytime, (center) nighttime, and (right) compound heat-wave (a)–(c) days and (d)–(f) events at each grid point over JJA 1980–2018.

Fig. 4. Trends in number of summer (JJA) heat-wave days for (a) daytime, (b) nighttime, and (c) compound heat waves in MERRA-2. Trends are for 1980–2018. Trends significant at the 95% confidence level according to the Mann-Kendall test are indicated with white dots. Trend fields are smoothed once by a nine-point smoother before plotting.
availability, which most affects evapotranspiration (Koster et al. 2006b; their Fig. 4a). Consistent with Yang et al. (2019), anomalous energy fluxes on daytime heat waves are relatively smaller over the western United States. For the daytime hours preceding nighttime heat waves (Fig. 7, middle column), latent heat flux anomalies are varied and mostly insignificant over the northern United States, while sensible heat flux anomalies are significantly negative over the Northeast, Midwest, California, and the Pacific Northwest (Fig. 7e). The southern Great Plains region exhibits unique behavior, in that both daytime and nighttime heat-wave days are associated with significant negative anomalies in latent heat flux and significant positive anomalies in sensible heating. This is a region characterized by strong land–atmosphere coupling (Koster et al. 2006a); air temperature variations here are in part controlled by soil moisture variations and soil moisture here is anomalously low on both daytime and nighttime heat-wave days (Fig. 6d).

The right column of Fig. 7 shows the heat flux anomalies at night during nighttime heat-wave days. The magnitudes of heat fluxes are much smaller at night (note the different color bars). As Fig. 7f shows, during nighttime heat-wave days over much of the central United States there are significant negative anomalies in sensible heat flux at night, that is, increased flux of heat from the air to the surface. This is reflective of the increased wind speed over these regions on nighttime heat-wave days, a feature that also appears during the nighttime of compound heat-wave days (not shown).

Cloud properties are examined in Fig. 8. Figure 8a shows the composites of anomalies in total cloud area fraction and demonstrates that daytime heat-wave days are associated with reduced daytime cloud cover over the entire United States.

**Fig. 5.** Composites of daily standardized anomalies in (left) daytime and (right) nighttime 2-m temperature (°C) for (a),(b) daytime heat-wave days; (c),(d) nighttime heat-wave days; and (e),(f) compound heat-wave days.
Nighttime heat-wave days are associated with positive anomalies in total cloud area over the Northeast, Midwest, and Southwest both during concurrent nighttime hours (Fig. 8b) and preceding daytime hours (Fig. 8c). Cloud-cover anomalies are insignificant over the Southeast and central United States on nighttime heat-wave days. The middle and bottom rows of Fig. 8 show the anomalies in shortwave and longwave CRE.

The increased cloud cover during the daytime hours preceding nighttime heat-wave days is reflected in negative anomalies in shortwave CRE over California, the Northeast and the Midwest (Fig. 8c), or reduced shortwave radiation reaching the surface. The longwave CRE anomalies are only significantly positive over the southwestern United States, with no corresponding signature in the Northeast and Midwest (Figs. 8g,h). This can be explained by Fig. 9, which shows nighttime heat-wave days over the Midwest and Northeast are associated with significant increases in TPW (Fig. 9b) and near-surface specific humidity (Fig. 9d). The downwelling longwave flux at the surface is enhanced over the entire United States on nighttime heat-wave days, with particularly strong positive anomalies in the Southwest, Northeast, and Midwest (Fig. 9f). Over the Northeast and Midwest, the increase in downwelling longwave flux is primarily associated with the increased atmospheric moisture, whereas over the Southwest, the increase in cloud fraction also plays a role. The strong positive anomalies in TPW on nighttime heat-wave days (Fig. 9b) are consistent with previous studies that have noted statistically significant positive anomalies in TPW over Southern California (Gershunov et al. 2009) and the Pacific Northwest (Bumbaco et al. 2013) on Tmin heat-wave days.

c. Regional composites

The gridpoint-by-gridpoint compositing method used in Figs. 6–9 is useful for assessing patterns across the entire United States and for determining regions with similar local and columnar properties. To examine large-scale features of the atmospheric circulation that may contribute to regional heat waves, we look into heat waves defined using area-averaged temperatures from each of the NCA regions.
defined at an individual grid cell, spatial averaging is likely to provide greater statistical significance to any remote connections. To represent the synoptic circulation and low-level temperature advection, respectively, Fig. 10 shows the 500-hPa heights and height anomalies and 10-m wind anomaly fields composited over heat-wave days for each of the seven NCA regions. To focus on dynamical processes rather than the influence of long-term changes, the trends are removed from 500-hPa heights prior to computation of these composites. In all regions, both daytime and nighttime heat-wave days are associated with positive anomalies in 500-hPa heights, as expected (Namias 1982; Chang and Wallace 1987; Loikith and Broccoli 2012). However, there are features of the 10 m winds that differ between daytime and nighttime heat waves for various regions.

The wind anomalies are relatively small during both daytime and nighttime heat waves in the Northwest and Southwest regions. Warm air advection (in particular, anomalous southerly flow) appears to be a factor in nighttime heat-wave days over the Northeast, Southeast, Midwest, and southern and northern Great Plains. (Figs. 10b,d,f,j). To confirm this, Fig. 11 shows the composite mean 2-m temperature and 10-m winds. Indeed, this displays that, particularly for the Midwest (Fig. 11f) and Great Plains (Figs. 11h,j), warmer temperatures are transported into the region by low-level southern winds on nighttime heat-wave days.

The strong wind anomalies during nighttime heat-wave days over the Midwest United States (Fig. 10f) are interesting given that this region consistently showed noteworthy anomalies in other variables on nighttime heat-wave days in the gridpoint composites: increased precipitation and soil moisture (Figs. 6b,d), reduced sensible heating (Fig. 7d), increased cloud cover (Figs. 8b,c), and increased humidity and TPW (Figs. 9b,d). The Great Plains low-level jet (GPLLJ; Bonner 1968) is an important player in the summer hydroclimate of the United States, as it transports heat and moisture from the Gulf of Mexico into the central United States. It is characterized by a wind maximum in the lower troposphere and a diurnal cycle with increased strength at nighttime (Helfand and Schubert 1995; Weaver and Nigam 2008). To investigate reasons for increased moisture in the Midwest region on nighttime heat-wave days, composites of 850-hPa winds and vertically integrated moisture transport over Midwest heat-wave days are shown in Fig. 12. Given the climatological diurnal variability of these quantities, this figure shows the nighttime fields for both daytime and nighttime heat-wave days to facilitate a direct comparison.

Figure 12 shows that nighttime 850-hPa winds over the Great Plains and Midwest United States are much stronger for nighttime heat waves (Fig. 12b) than for daytime heat waves (Fig. 12a), suggesting a strengthened GPLLJ. For nighttime heat waves, nighttime 850-hPa winds exceed 16 m s$^{-1}$ in the central Great Plains. The intensified nighttime winds are not unique to the 850-hPa level; this feature persists throughout the lower troposphere, and these increased low-level winds lead to a strong enhancement in the moisture transport into the region (Fig. 12d). The nighttime heat-wave wind fields are reminiscent of the first mode of GPLLJ variability identified by

![Fig. 7. Composites of daily anomalies in (a)–(c) total latent heat flux (W m$^{-2}$) and (d)–(f) sensible heat flux from turbulence (W m$^{-2}$) for (left) daytime hours on daytime heat-wave days, (center) daytime hours on nighttime heat-wave days, and (right) nighttime hours on nighttime heat-wave days. Regions where the composite mean is not statistically significant at the 95% confidence level are masked out.](image-url)
Weaver and Nigam (2008), corresponding to a strengthening and northward extension of the jet (see their Fig. 10), leading to positive precipitation anomalies in the Midwest and negative precipitation anomalies in the southeastern United States. Previous studies have found the terminus of the GPLLJ to be connected with the development of mesoscale convective systems (Tuttle and Davis 2006), which could offer further connection to the positive anomalies in precipitation on nighttime heat-wave days. The structure of the 500-hPa height anomaly pattern for Midwest nighttime heat waves (Fig. 10f) consists of a slightly eastward-displaced ridge and a trough to the west, indicating a coupling between the upper-level flow and low-level jet (e.g., Burrows et al. 2019). This suggests a greater role for synoptic scale rather than boundary layer processes in driving the strengthened GPLLJ. It has also been shown that the presence of a midtropospheric cyclone/anticyclone spanning the continent (as seen in Fig. 10f) amplifies the diurnal component of the GPLLJ (Schubert et al. 1998). The anomalous continental-scale ridge characteristic of daytime heatwave events (Fig. 10e) allows daytime temperatures to climb from increased solar radiation and nighttime temperatures to radiatively cool.

4. Summary and conclusions

This study examined daytime, nighttime, and compound heat waves during summer over the United States using MERRA-2. Compound heat waves occurred the most frequently over the United States during JJA 1980–2018 and are associated with the largest daytime and nighttime temperature anomalies. Despite this, independent daytime or nighttime heat-wave events present an opportunity to assess unique processes driving extreme temperatures during daytime or nighttime separately, and thus are the focus of this analysis.

Fig. 8. Composites of daily anomalies in (a)–(c) total cloud area fraction (dimensionless), (d), (e) shortwave CRE (W m$^{-2}$), and (f)–(h) longwave CRE (W m$^{-2}$) for (left) daytime hours on daytime heat-wave days, (center) nighttime hours on nighttime heat-wave days, and (right) daytime hours on nighttime heat-wave days. Note that there is no shortwave CRE at night. Regions where the composite mean is not statistically significant at the 95% confidence level are masked out.
Such an approach was further motivated by the particularly dangerous nature of high nighttime temperatures and by the larger trends in the frequency of nighttime versus daytime heat waves over many regions (Fig. 4). We constructed composites of various land surface and atmospheric variables for these two types of heat waves to infer their mechanisms.

The results for daytime heat waves are generally consistent with previous research on heat waves: they are associated with positive anomalies in 500-hPa heights, dry soils, a lack of precipitation, reduced cloud cover, and increased sensible heating. Exact processes do vary between different regions of the United States, with a greater role indicated for surface energy fluxes in the central and eastern United States (Yang et al. 2019).

Mechanisms associated with nighttime heat waves differ by region. In the Great Plains and Southeast, nighttime heat-wave days are associated with anomalous low-level southerly flow...
FIG. 10. Composites of daily anomalies of 500-hPa heights (m; shading) and 10-m winds (m s$^{-1}$; vectors) and daily mean 500-hPa height composites (m; gray contours) for (a),(c),(e),(g),(i),(k),(m) daytime and (b),(d),(f),(h),(j),(l),(n) nighttime heat-wave days occurring in each NCA region. Fields are only plotted when the composite anomaly is statistically significant at the 95% confidence level. The region where area-averaged temperatures are used to find heat-wave days for each region is outlined in green. See Fig. 2 for the number of heat-wave days incorporated into these composites for each region.
FIG. 11. As in Fig. 10, but for the composites of daily 2-m temperature (shading; °C) and 10-m winds (vectors; m s⁻¹).
leading to the advection of warmer air into the region. In the Northeast, Midwest, and Southwest, nighttime heat-wave days are associated with increased cloud cover and TPW, which keeps daytime temperatures lower due to reduced solar radiation at the surface and leads to increased downward longwave flux at the surface at night (Fig. 9f). This is again consistent with previous explanations for extreme nighttime heat over the Pacific Northwest of the United States (Bumbaco et al. 2013), China (Chen and Li 2017), and the Korean Peninsula (Hong et al. 2018).

The northeastern and midwestern United States exhibit unique behavior on nighttime heat-wave days—in addition to increased clouds and moisture, they are associated with positive anomalies in precipitation and soil moisture. Further investigation of the midwestern United States revealed that increased low-level winds on nighttime heat-wave days bring increased moisture from the Gulf of Mexico into the region. The apparent connection between the GPLLJ and heat waves in the midwestern United States is noteworthy. The development of a GPLLJ significantly affects heat and moisture transports over the United States (Uccellini and Johnson 1979; Helfand and Schubert 1995) and thus is a logical potential influence on heat waves. Lopez et al. (2018) found an enhanced GPLLJ to be linked with fewer heat extremes in the Great Plains through its influence on soil moisture. However, their study focused on heat waves defined using daily mean temperature; their results would likely have been different if they had defined heat waves using minimum temperatures.

Mechanisms driving nighttime heat-wave days in the northwestern United States remain unclear. It is possible that nighttime heat waves in this region are simply the result of warm daytime temperatures that have not quite exceeded the 90th percentile, similar to the reasoning for dry tropical nights provided by Chen and Lu (2014). This can be seen in Fig. 5c, which shows that daytime T2m anomalies preceding nighttime heat-wave days are greatest in the Northwest and Great Plains. Additionally, there are relatively few heat-wave days over the northwestern United States during this period (see Fig. 3), leading to a small sample size for the composite analysis.

Note that results here are for summer heat waves in general; how the mechanisms linked with heat waves vary between the summer months of June, July, and August would be an interesting question, although a larger sample size would be required.

It would be worthwhile to examine other potential remote influences on daytime and nighttime heat waves using composite
analysis or other methods. For instance, the frequency of heat waves over the United States has been recently linked to the Atlantic multidecadal variability (Ruprich-Robert et al. 2018), the North Atlantic subtropical high (Li et al. 2019), and Arctic sea ice extent (Budikova et al. 2019). Lopez et al. (2019) found a potential linkage between circulation associated with heat waves in the U.S. Great Plains and the East Asian monsoon through a stationary wave train forced by diabatic heating. However, all of these studies were focused on Tmax- or Tmean-type heat waves; teleconnective influences on independent nighttime heat waves remain to be examined.

In general, the present analysis identifies unique processes associated with daytime and nighttime extreme temperatures over most regions, justifying their separate study. Compound heat waves, those events manifested in both the daytime and nighttime temperatures, had the most frequent occurrence (Figs. 2 and 3), greatest frequency trends (Fig. 4), and strongest intensity (Fig. 5) of the three heat-wave types. These events were not given as much attention in this analysis in order to cleanly distinguish the processes associated with the daytime and nighttime extremes. It is hypothesized that individual compound events arise as a result of some combination of the unique daytime or nighttime heat processes identified in this study. Further work is needed to understand the temporal evolution of daytime and nighttime temperatures and the accumulation of heat during compound heat waves.
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**APPENDIX**

**Heat-Wave Definition**

Figure A1 provides an example of each of the three heat-wave types. In the top-left panel, one of the three nights (in this example, the third one) falls below the 90th percentile, so this would be classified as a daytime heat wave. The top-right panel is an example of a nighttime heat wave since the first two days are below the 90th percentile while all three nights exceed the 90th percentile. In the bottom-left panel, both daytime and nighttime temperature exceed their 90th percentile on all three days, satisfying the definition of a compound heat wave. The bottom-right panel is not a heat wave since neither daytime nor nighttime temperature exceeds the 90th percentile on all three days.

Figure A2 then illustrates how heat-wave days and events are identified given a time series of daily 2 m daytime or
nighttime temperature. For the gridpoint analysis, this is the daily temperature at an individual grid point. For the regional-scale analysis, this is T2m area averaged over the NCA region of choice. The example outlined here is for heat waves in the Northeast U.S. NCA region.

Daytime and nighttime temperatures are analyzed separately to define heat-wave days. For each calendar day in JJA, days are identified where T2m exceeds its calendar-day 90th percentile for 3 days or more. If only one of daytime or nighttime temperatures is in heat-wave conditions on a given day, it is an independent daytime or nighttime heat-wave day (filled red and blue squares in Fig. A2). If both daytime and nighttime temperatures are in heat-wave conditions, a compound heat-wave day is identified (filled-in black squares in Fig. A2).

Heat-wave events are identified next. If a collection of consecutive heat-wave days consists only of daytime or nighttime heat-wave days, it is defined as a daytime or nighttime event, as indicated by the red and blue lines in Fig. A2. If a collection of consecutive heat-wave days consists of only compound heat-wave days, or any combination of daytime, nighttime, and compound heat-wave days, it is classified as a compound heat-wave event (black lines in Fig. A2).

For daytime and nighttime heat-wave days, the opposite temperature is examined to ensure that it is below the 90th percentile. By definition there will not be three consecutive days on which the opposite temperature exceeds the 90th percentile (otherwise it would be a compound heat wave). However, it is still possible that for individual days in the event, the opposite temperature exceeds the 90th percentile. These are shown by the unfilled red and blue squares in Fig. A2. These days are excluded from the composite analysis of independent daytime and nighttime heat waves but still remain as part of the daytime or nighttime event.
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