The Use of Ground-Based GPS Precipitable Water Measurements over China to Assess Radiosonde and ERA-Interim Moisture Trends and Errors from 1999 to 2015

WEIXING ZHANG, a,b,c YIDONG LOU, a,d JENNIFER S. HAASE, c RUI ZHANG, e GANG ZHENG, a JINFANG HUANG, a CHUANG SHI, f,a AND JINGNAN LIU a

a Global Navigation Satellite Systems Research Center, Wuhan University, Wuhan, China
b School of Geodesy and Geomatics, Wuhan University, Wuhan, China
c Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California, San Diego, San Diego, California
d Collaborative Innovation Center of Geospatial Technology, Wuhan University, Wuhan, China
e National Earthquake Infrastructure Service, Beijing, China
f School of Electronic and Information Engineering, Beihang University, Beijing, China

(Manuscript received 11 August 2016, in final form 1 June 2017)

ABSTRACT

Global positioning system (GPS) data from over 260 ground-based permanent stations in China covering the period from 1 March 1999 to 30 April 2015 were used to estimate precipitable water (PW) above each site with an accuracy of about 0.75 mm. Four types of radiosondes (referred to as GZZ2, GTS1, GTS1-1, and GTS1-2) were used in China during this period. Instrumentation type changes in radiosonde records were identified by comparing PW calculated from GPS and radiosonde data. Systematic errors in different radiosonde types introduced significant biases to the estimated PW trends at stations where more than one radiosonde type was used. Estimating PW trends from reanalysis products (ERA-Interim), which assimilate the unadjusted radiosonde humidity data, resulted in an artificial downward PW trend at almost all stations in China. The statistically significant GPS PW trends are predominantly positive, consistent in sign with the increase in moisture expected from the Clausius–Clapeyron relation due to a global temperature increase. The standard deviations of the differences between ERA-Interim and GPS PW in the summer were 3 times larger than the observational error of GPS PW, suggesting that potentially significant improvements to the reanalysis could be achieved by assimilating denser GPS PW observations over China. This work, based on an entirely independent GPS PW dataset, confirms previously reported significant differences in radiosonde PW trends when using corrected data. Furthermore, the dense geographical coverage of the all-weather GPS PW observations, especially in remote areas in western China, provides a valuable resource for calibrating regional trends in reanalysis products.

1. Introduction

As the most abundant greenhouse gas, water vapor plays a key role in the global energy and hydrologic cycle (Kiehl and Trenberth 1997). According to the Clausius–Clapeyron equation, an increase of 1 K in atmospheric temperature will cause an increase of about 7% in water content if relative humidity is assumed to remain constant, which in turn will significantly enhance the warming (Trenberth et al. 2003). The strong positive feedback associated with increased water vapor greatly affects global climate (IPCC 1996). Accurate knowledge of the distribution and variability of water vapor is indispensable to climate change research and weather forecasting.

Great efforts have been made in recent decades to measure trends in atmospheric water vapor due to climate change using different methods. Among these, radiosonde data have the longest records and have been most widely used (Bannon and Steel 1960). They have near-global distribution over land and high vertical resolution but suffer from an inhomogeneity problem due to changes in instrument types, observational practice, calibration and processing strategies, station
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radiosonde and NWP models over the Tibetan Plateau. The climatic variations in China are associated with a wide range of spatial scales, complex topographic conditions (including the Tibetan Plateau), teleconnections with the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (Lau 1992; Wang et al. 2000), and the influence of the East Asian monsoon (Ding 1994). Radiosonde humidity data from stations in China have been included in several previous Northern Hemisphere water vapor trend analyses (Ross and Elliott 2001; Durre et al. 2009). In particular, Zhai and Eskridge (1997) investigated the PW trend over China over the period from 1970 to 1990 based on 44 radiosonde stations selected based on a homogeneity test. The PW trends were found to be closely correlated with precipitation and surface temperature. More recently, Zhao et al. (2012) and Zhao et al. (2015) studied the humidity trends over China for 1970–2008 and 1970–2012, respectively, by using radiosonde data homogenized with the method proposed by Dai et al. (2011). After the homogenization, positive PW trends of 1%–5% decade$^{-1}$ were seen across most of China.

Ground-based GPS water vapor measurements have also been used to assess errors in other datasets. In one example, PW data from six GPS stations for more than one year were calculated in order to assess errors in radiosonde and NWP models over the Tibetan Plateau.
Results showed that the radiosonde measurements and NWP over the Tibetan Plateau may suffer from significant dry biases. In Wang and Zhang (2008), PW from 1997 to 2006 is estimated for more than 300 global International Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS) Service (IGS) stations, including five stations in China, and the systematic errors in 14 radiosonde types (including the types Shang-M and Shang-E used in China) are assessed by comparisons with GPS data. Jin et al. (2008) investigated the multi-scale variations of PW over China based on more than 20 permanent GPS stations from 2004 to 2007 and found that the dominant seasonal and diurnal variations depend on geographic location and topographic features.

The old type 59-701 mechanical radiosonde (referred to as GZZ2 hereafter, also named Shang-M in some published studies) has been used for decades in China, with a goldbeater’s skin hygrometer equipped for humidity measurement. Because of the slow response and low precision of the GZZ2, a new-generation L-band digital electronic radiosonde system has been deployed in recent years (Li 2010). The new radiosonde system manufactured by Shanghai Changwang Meteorological Instrument Plant (referred as GTS1, also named Shang-E in some published studies) was deployed and is currently used by most upper-air sounding stations in China. The newly added GTS1-1 and GTS1-2 radiosondes manufactured by Taiyuan Meteorological Instrument Plant and Nanjing Bridge Machinery Company, respectively, have been used in some of the stations in China since 2010. GTS1 and GTS1-1 are both equipped with carbon hygristor sensors while GTS1-2 uses a thin-film capacitor as the humidity sensor.

In this study, GPS data from the Crustal Movement Observation Network of China (CMONOC), including approximately 28 stations starting in 1999 and over 260 stations operational from 2011 to 2015 in mainland China, have been processed based on the precise point positioning (PPP) method (Zumberge et al. 1997). Special efforts have been made to acquire reliable atmospheric station pressures at GPS stations by selecting from measurements from ground-based GPS station meteorological observations, nearby synoptic station observations, and reanalysis products. The retrieved long time series of homogenous PW with wide spatial extent over China will be used to assess radiosonde humidity data errors. Most of the current radiosonde error analyses to date have focused on radiosondes from Vaisala and VIZ while only a few assessed the performance of radiosonde types used in China. In Wang and Zhang (2008), only five GPS stations were included in a systematic error analysis of two radiosonde types (Shang-M and Shang-E) in China and these two types were not treated separately in spite of their significant differences (as will be shown later). Liang et al. (2012) tried to quantify errors of two radiosonde types (GZZ2 and GTS1) with only two GPS stations (one station from 1999 to 2008 and one station in 2003) on the Tibetan Plateau. The objective of this paper is to determine the systematic errors existing in all Chinese radiosonde types used from 1999 to 2015 and to investigate the influences on PW trends. The accuracy of water vapor derived from reanalyses will also be assessed by comparisons with GPS over China.

The paper is organized as follows: data used in this paper, including GPS data, radiosonde data, and reanalysis data will be described in section 2. Section 3 will focus on the estimation of PW and the corresponding error analysis. Radiosonde data and reanalysis products will be compared with GPS data to assess the errors and the corresponding influences on the PW trends in sections 4 and 5, respectively, followed by a discussion of the results compared to previous work in section 6, and the final conclusions in section 7.

2. Data and methodology

a. GPS data

The CMONOC in China maintains operational permanent GPS stations for crustal deformation monitoring, earthquake research, and space environment and meteorological research. From 1999 to mid-2010, which is called phase I, there were approximately 27 permanent GPS stations distributed over China. The network was then dramatically extended in phase II at the end of 2010. By 2015, more than 260 permanent stations were in operation in China. The rapid growth in the geographical distribution and number of stations is seen from 2010 to 2011 in Figs. 1a and 1b, respectively. Six stations in the network also belong to the IGS network, denoted with red triangles in Fig. 1a, and will be used as a baseline for consistency with other analysis of the position and atmospheric parameters.

All GPS measurements sampled at 30 s from 1 March 1999 to 30 April 2015 are processed by the PPP method in a daily manner using the position and navigation data analyst (PANDA) package (Shi et al. 2008) developed at Wuhan University. The final satellite orbit and clock products from 1999 to 2015 provided by one of the IGS data analysis centers, the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), are used and fixed in the PPP method because they have been reprocessed in the reference frame called IGS08 (Rebischung et al. 2012) for the entire period, in order to avoid any inconsistence in satellite orbit products within different reference frames released by IGS during different periods. Differential
code biases between frequencies from the Center for Orbit Determination in Europe (CODE) are used (Schaer and Steigenberger 2006). The absolute antenna phase center correction model (Schmid et al. 2007), phase wind-up corrections (Wu et al. 1993), and relativity corrections are applied. Ionospheric delays are eliminated by using ionosphere-free combination of observations. Ground station coordinates are estimated as constants each day and receiver clocks are solved each epoch with errors taken as white noise. The station coordinates are determined from observations of the travel time to four or more satellites. The travel time depends on the refractive index of the atmosphere, which in turn depends on temperature, pressure, and water vapor. When solving for position, one must also solve for the refractive delay parameterized as the integrated zenith delay through a layer of atmosphere. The neutral atmosphere will cause a propagation delay along the signal path from the satellite to the receiver and can be divided into two components, namely the “wet” (caused by water vapor) and “hydrostatic” (as a result of atmospheric density) delays. GPS measurements are made along the line of sight from the receiver to the satellite, so mapping functions are usually used to map the slant delays into the zenith direction and account for first-order geometrical effects of refraction.

The empirical global pressure and temperature (GPT) model (Boehm et al. 2007) and relative humidity of 50% at mean sea level (MSL) are used to estimate the a priori zenith hydrostatic delays (ZHD) and a priori zenith wet delays (ZWD) in the GPS analysis. Corrections to the a priori ZWD are then estimated as piecewise constant every 2 h with a power density of $20 \text{ mm}/\sqrt{h}$, where $h$ denotes time in hours, which can also include any mismodeling of the a priori ZHD. The final zenith tropospheric delays (ZTD) are retrieved by summing up the a priori ZHD, the a priori ZWD, and the estimated ZWD corrections. The relatively loose constraint on variability of $20 \text{ mm}/\sqrt{h}$ is widely used in meteorological studies (Haase et al. 2003; Jin et al. 2007; Brenot et al. 2014). The global mapping function (GMF) (Boehm et al. 2006) based on the assumption of a climatological model of an azimuthally symmetric atmosphere is used in the processing. Tropospheric gradients in the north–south and east–west directions are estimated at 12-h intervals. Cutoff elevation angles are set to 7° and an elevation-dependent weighting strategy is applied to measurements at low elevations (below 30°) (Gendt et al. 2003) to reduce the influence of multipath and the uncertainties in mapping functions at low elevations. We apply quality control criteria to detect poorly constrained ZTD solutions to by discarding any ZTD estimate that is more than four standard deviations (STDs) from the monthly mean ZTD at the site.

After obtaining ZTD, the ZHD is subtracted to yield ZWD. Rather than using the a priori ZHD from the previous GPS data processing, a more accurate estimate of ZHD is calculated based on accurate surface station pressure $P_s$ (all pressures in hPa) through the Saastamoinen model (Saastamoinen 1972; Elgered et al. 1991):

$$ZHD = (2.2779 \pm 0.0024)P_s f(\phi, H),$$

(1)

Where $f(\phi, H) = (1 - 0.00266 \cos 2\phi - 0.00028H)$ accounts for the variation of gravitational acceleration at ellipsoidal latitude $\phi$ and the ellipsoidal height $H$ (in km) of the GPS station.

The moisture weighted mean temperature $T_m$ is then used to calculate the conversion factor $Q$ to convert ZWD to PW (Bevis et al. 1992):

$$PW = \frac{1}{Q}ZWD = \frac{1}{10^{-2} \rho_w R_e [(k_1 T_m) + k_2] ZWD},$$

(2)
where \( \rho_w \) is liquid water density (1000 kg m\(^{-3}\)), \( R_e \) denotes the specific gas constant of water vapor (461.51 J K\(^{-1}\) kg\(^{-1}\)), and \( k_2 \) and \( k_3 \) are atmospheric refractivity constants 17 \( \pm \) 10 K hPa\(^{-1}\) and 3.776 \( \pm \) 0.004 \( \times \) 10\(^2\) K\(^2\) hPa\(^{-1}\), respectively. The term \( T_m \) will be calculated from reanalysis products instead of using an empirical linear relationship between \( T_m \) and the surface temperature (Bevis et al. 1992) for better accuracy (Wang et al. 2005). A detailed procedure of retrieving PW from GPS ZTD can be found in the supplemental material.

c. ERA-Interim

ECMWF produces the most widely used global atmospheric reanalysis, ERA-Interim, covering the period from 1979 onward, with spatial resolution of approximately 80 km (T255 spectral resolution) on 60 vertical levels from 1000 to 0.1 hPa (Dee et al. 2011; Gregor et al. 2015). As mentioned above, radiosonde observations are an important data source assimilated in the reanalysis but the moisture inhomogeneity for sites in China has not been corrected before assimilation (Zhao et al. 2015). The accuracy of PW from the ERA-Interim over China will be quantitatively assessed by comparisons with GPS data from 1999 to 2015. Daily fields (geopotential height, temperature, and specific humidity) on pressure levels are used in this study (data available online at http://apps.ecmwf.int/datasets/data/interim-full-daily). The field values at four grid points \( p_1, p_2, p_3, \) and \( p_4 \), nearest the GPS station latitude and longitude coordinates \((\phi, \lambda)\), are horizontally interpolated to the position of GPS station at each pressure level by taking the weighted average of the gridpoint values, where the weights are given by the angular distance from each grid point (Jade and Vijayan 2008). After obtaining the variables at pressure levels at the horizontal location of the GPS antenna, the same altitude offset correction method is applied as was used for the radiosonde data to calculate PW from the GPS antenna level to the top level of ERA-Interim.

d. Surface pressure estimation

ZHD is a function of the station pressure \( P_s \) at the GPS antenna height as shown in Eq. (1). Biases of 1 hPa in \( P_s \) can induce approximately 2–3-mm delay errors in ZHD, corresponding to about 0.5-mm errors in the final PW (Hagemann et al. 2003). However, pressure measurements at CMONOC GPS stations are only available in phase II, namely after 2011, and the records are usually not continuous for most stations. Sometimes they are noisy (Wang et al. 2006) and require careful quality checks before use. For most stations the completeness (number of high-quality pressure measurements divided by number of ZTD measurements) is only about 60%–70% and there are more than 100 stations where it is less than 50%. Therefore, alternate sources for pressure data are required (e.g., nearby synoptic station records and reanalysis products) in order to get more complete time series of PW. The procedure adopted was to select the more complete synoptic station data as the source if it is closer to the observed pressure at the GPS site than to the station pressure.
from the ERA-Interim. Otherwise select ERA-Interim as the pressure source if the RMS difference from the GPS station pressure does not exceed 2.5 hPa. Finally, if the ERA-Interim RMS difference exceeds 2.5 hPa use the GPS station pressure. Further details are provided in the supplemental material on how the combined pressure measurement dataset is constructed.

The sample rate for GPS station pressure measurements, synoptic station pressure records, and ERA-Interim products is 30 s, 3 h, and 6 h, respectively. No temporal interpolation is used in this study, so the final pressures as well as other variables ($T_m$ and PW) are degraded to 6-h intervals at 0000, 0600, 1200, and 1800 UTC. The histogram of the final combined pressure measurement dataset completeness and the histogram of RMS pressure differences between the combined pressures and GPS station pressure measurements are shown in Figs. 2c and 2d, respectively. Stations with GPS pressures directly used will not be included in the RMS calculation. For most stations, the combined pressure measurements cover 90%–100% of the epochs and the RMS for most stations is from about 0.25 to 1 hPa, with minimum, maximum, and average RMS of 0.1, 2.1, and 0.7 hPa, respectively.

3. GPS PW error analysis

The main factors contributing to GPS PW observational errors consist of errors in ZTD, $P_s$, and $T_m$. In this section, the quality of the positions and ZTDs estimated by the PPP method will be assessed first based on six stations in CMONOC that also belong to the IGS network. The uncertainty in $T_m$, which is derived from ERA-Interim, will be assessed by comparison with $T_m$ from radiosonde profiles. With the uncertainties of ZTD, $T_m$, and $P_s$, uncertainties of GPS PW will then be derived.

a. Position and ZTD error analysis

The correlation among PPP-method-estimated parameters is such that errors in vertical position can trade off with errors in ZTD. To estimate possible contributions to errors in ZTD, the daily position solutions of six stations that also belong to IGS network are compared with position solutions from IGS-reprocessed Solution Independent Exchange (SINEX) products (available online at ftp://cddis.gsfc.nasa.gov). IGS SINEX positions and IGS ZTD parameters are accepted as the most accurate reference because they combine estimates from several independent IGS Analysis Centers and have associated error estimates. The time series of position differences between our estimates and the IGS SINEX positions in the east, north, and upward components for these stations are presented in Fig. 3, left, and the statistical values of the differences are shown in Fig. 3, right. Gaps in the position difference time series are due to the absence of IGS SINEX solutions and/or GPS data. The mean values of position differences are smaller than 4 mm for all six stations in three
components. RMS values are smaller than 10 mm with typical RMS of approximately 5.7, 3.6, and 7.3 mm in the east, north, and upward component, respectively, which is in the same accuracy range as IGS SINEX position solutions, even including any small differences related to reference frames.

The estimated 2-hourly ZTD for the six IGS stations are also compared to the IGS ZTD products. ZTD estimates for periods when there are fewer than 50% of the expected GPS observations in a 2-h interval are excluded and an outlier check (monthly mean \( \pm 4 \) times standard deviation) is applied before the ZTD comparison and the later PW conversion. IGS ZTD products at 5-min sampling rate are averaged to a 2-h interval to be comparable with the ZTD estimates in this study. Prior to 27 April 2011, IGS final ZTD products in the IGb05 reference frame are used in the comparison because ZTD products in International Terrestrial Reference Frame 2008 (ITRF2008) are not available. Time series of ZTD differences for six IGS stations are presented in Fig. 4. There is no observable difference in the time series before and after 27 April 2011, so differences among reference frames IGb05, ITRF2008, and IGS08 were neglected in the ZTD comparisons. (Note that the reference frame IGS08 is consistently used in our PPP-method processing so there are no reference frame inconsistencies in the data used in the rest of the paper). As can be seen from the right panel of Fig. 4, mean differences are smaller than 2 mm in ZTD for all six stations and the typical RMS is approximately 3.9 mm with minimum and maximum values of 2.7 and 4.6 mm, respectively, which is comparable to the nominal uncertainty reported for IGS final ZTD products (\( \pm 4 \) mm; http://www.igs.org/products). Since most stations in CMONOC were equipped with the same receiver and antenna type and the same GPS data processing strategy was applied, we can expect typical stations to be of this quality.

b. The \( T_m \) error analysis

The term \( T_m \) is a key parameter used in the retrieval of PW from ZWD. One way to estimate \( T_m \) at GPS stations is based on a linear empirical relationship between surface temperature and \( T_m \) (Bevis et al. 1992). However, underestimation of \( T_m \) by as much as 6 K in the tropics and subtropics and overestimation by up to 5 K in the middle and high latitudes were found with this linear relationship (Wang et al. 2005). We use reanalysis products to derive \( T_m \) at GPS stations, which can have global accuracy better than 2 K (Wang et al. 2005), and assess the accuracy of this approach using radiosondes. The values of \( T_m \) at 153 radiosonde stations in China from 1999 to 2015 are calculated as described in section 2b. Then \( T_m \) is estimated from ERA-Interim products at these locations using the interpolation method.
described in section 2c. The geographic distribution of the RMS $T_m$ difference between radiosondes and ERA-Interim and the histogram is presented in Fig. 5. The RMS for most stations in southeastern China is smaller than 2 K and for most of stations in other regions is approximately 2–3 K. The typical RMS is approximately 1.8 K.

c. PW error analysis

In spite of quality controls in ZTD, $P_s$, and $T_m$, there may still be outliers in the PW time series. Similarly, a range limit check and an outlier check are applied to PW time series at each GPS station. PW values smaller than 0 mm are removed in the limit check, which excludes approximately 0.19% of data points. In the outlier check, PW values that differ from the monthly mean value by more than 4 times the monthly standard deviation are rejected, which excludes approximately 0.22% of the data points.

Similar to the method used in Ning et al. (2016), the PW errors can be estimated by

$$
\sigma_{PW} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\sigma_{ZTD}}{Q}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{2.2799 \sigma_{P_s}}{f(\phi, H)Q}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{P \sigma_c}{f(\phi, H)Q}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\sigma_{Q_c}}{Q}\right)^2},
$$

where $\sigma_{ZTD}$, $\sigma_{P_s}$, and $\sigma_Q$ denote the uncertainties of ZTD, $P_s$, and $Q$, respectively. The function $f(\phi, H)$ can be simply taken as 1 in Eq. (3). Also, $\sigma_c$ is the uncertainty of the constant shown in Eq. (1), which equals 0.0024, and $\sigma_Q$ can be expressed as (Ning et al. 2016)
TABLE 1. PW errors due to errors in ZTD, $T_m$, and $P_s$ as well as the total PW errors. Mean values are calculated based on time series of all GPS stations from 1999 to 2015.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Min error</th>
<th>Max error</th>
<th>Mean error</th>
<th>PW error (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PW (mm)</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZTD (mm)</td>
<td>2318.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>2.2779</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.0024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_s$ (hPa)</td>
<td>887.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_m$ (K)</td>
<td>273.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_1$ (K hPa$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_2$ (10$^8$ K$^2$ hPa$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>3.776</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q$</td>
<td>6.455</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total PW error (mm)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\sigma_0 = 10^{-6} \rho_w R_v \sqrt{\left( \frac{\sigma_k}{T_m} \right)^2 + \sigma_{k_1}^2 + \left( k_2 \frac{\sigma_{T_m}}{T_m^2} \right)^2},$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $\sigma_{k_1}$, $\sigma_{k_2}$ and $\sigma_{T_m}$ denote the uncertainty of $k_1$, $k_2$, and $T_m$, respectively.

Based on the statistical values of each variable discussed above, the PW errors associated with errors in ZTD, $P_s$, and $T_m$ as well as the total PW errors for all GPS stations are summarized in Table 1. The 3.9-mm uncertainty for ZTD is used according to results in section 3a. Minimum, maximum, and mean errors of 0.1, 2.1, and 0.7 hPa are used for $P_s$ and 0.8, 2.8, and 1.8 K are used for $T_m$ based on the analysis in sections 2d and 3b. The mean total PW error is approximately 0.75 mm, with minimum and maximum errors of 0.55 and 1.10 mm, respectively. This PW accuracy is in the same range as found in previous studies (Wang et al. 2007; Vey et al. 2009).

4. Comparison of radiosonde and GPS

a. Radiosonde type change identification

As stated in Wang and Zhang (2008), there is only limited information on radiosonde types available in the IGRA metadata (available online at ftp://ftp.ncdc.noaa.gov/pub/data/igra/). As in their study, we obtain as much radiosonde type information as is available from the WMO report titled “WMO catalogue of radiosondes and upper-air wind systems” updated in 2001, July 2007, and July 2014 (WMO 2014). If two consecutive versions show different types for a radiosonde station, then type changes must have occurred between the dates of the two versions of the document. Other resources on the radiosonde type identification in China are available from previous studies, including Wang and Zhang (2008), Liang et al. (2012), Zhao et al. (2012), and Sun et al. (2013), and are listed in appendix A.

For remaining type changes described in the WMO reports that occurred at an unknown time, we examine visually the time series of monthly mean PW differences (radiosonde minus GPS) (monthly mean values of individual PW differences) to identify the specific change date (i.e., year and month). Note that this method depends on the reliability of PW from both radiosonde and GPS data.

Examples illustrating how radiosonde type changes are identified based on monthly mean PW differences are shown in Figs. 6 and 7. The four stations presented in Fig. 6 have known dates when the type changed and these changes were reported in several previous papers, namely, from GZZ2 to GTS1 at the beginning of 2002 for BJFS (54511) (Wang and Zhang 2008; Zhao et al. 2012), from GZZ2 to GTS1 in December 2005 for KMIN (56778) (Zhao et al. 2012), from GZZ2 to GTS1 in January 2005 for LHAS (55591) (Liang et al. 2012), and from GZZ2 to GTS1 in October 2006 for WUHN (57494) (Zhao et al. 2012). These known changes can be identified confidently from PW difference time series for all sites except LHAS as shown in Fig. 6. GPS site LHAZ is collocated with LHAS and has similar PW differences when compared to radiosonde station 55591 and therefore is not presented here. Differences before and after the type change for station LHAS are not very obvious, which might be because LHAS is located on Tibetan Plateau with quite low PW so any sensor error correlated with temperature or moisture may also be small and less obvious to detect. Dry biases in PW for GZZ2 radiosonde data on the Tibetan Plateau were discussed in Takagi et al. (2000) and Liu et al. (2005) and may produce uncertainties in the radiation budget for the Tibetan Plateau if not corrected (Liu et al. 2005). The PW difference time series for all four stations in Fig. 6 have results consistent with the previously mentioned studies (Wang and Zhang 2008; Liang et al. 2012; Zhao et al. 2012).

We are not able to provide information on radiosonde type changes outside the common observation period of
radiosonde and GPS from 1999 to 2015. Figure 7 presents PW differences for the rest of the matched GPS and radiosonde stations containing radiosonde type changes where the exact date of the radiosonde type change was not known prior to this study. Systematic errors in different radiosonde types are quite different, making it easy to visually identify the month of the type change for these stations, using a method similar to that of Wang and Zhang (2008). We report these changes in appendix A and assign the date of the change to the first day of the month. The uncertainty in the date of the change of ±0.5 months is not large enough to impact the statistical estimate of PW trends. Almost all stations equipped with type GZZ2 show wet biases in PW. The wet biases in goldbeater’s skin humidity sensors in the GZZ2 radiosondes were also found in Wang and Zhang (2008). However, the dry biases in GTS1 equipped with the carbon hygristor sensor as shown in Fig. 7 are contrary to the conclusions in Wang and Zhang (2008), where wet biases for the carbon hygristors in VIZ and RS SDC radiosondes were generally found. There are apparently different systematic errors existing in the same type of humidity sensor technology coming from different manufacturers. Dry biases in GTS1 were also revealed in comparisons between GTS1 and Vaisala RS92 in Li (2010) and in comparisons between radiosonde and radio occultation profiles from the Constellation Observing System for Meteorology, Ionosphere, and Climate (COSMIC) (Ho et al. 2010). Stations XIAM (59134) and YONG (59981) stand out with significantly different characteristics for GTS1 statistics, where little or no annual signal can be found in the PW difference time series. These sites are both coastal stations at relatively low latitudes (24.450° and 16.834°N, respectively). Generally, the newly deployed GTS1-1 and GTS1-2 perform better than the older types as shown in Fig. 7. Biases are generally dry for GTS1-1 and wet for GTS1-2, but very small. Station YONG (59981) has a significant change in characteristics in 2014, which is after the date of the last report documenting type changes. Based on this work, we suggest that a type change from GTS1 to one of the later models is likely to have occurred at this date. It is not listed in appendix A as a type change that needs further investigation. Aside from station YONG, there is no evidence of any additional unreported type changes of statistical significance prior to the last report in 2014. The visual identification of known type changes with unknown date is facilitated for time series that include at least one annual cycle following the change. Therefore any other type changes after the last version published in July 2014 cannot be unambiguously identified without a longer time series. There is low probability for a change of equipment for stations already equipped with the latest generation of radiosonde (GTS1-1 and GTS1-2), but the time series for stations equipped with GTS1 merit continued monitoring in the future. Potential errors after 2014 due to unidentified type changes for GTS1 will not significantly contaminate the statistical results for trend estimation due to the relatively short period from July 2014 to April 2015. While it would be beneficial to identify the exact date of type changes, it is necessary to use monthly mean PW differences to reduce random errors so that the changes are detectable. Since climatological products are usually reported as monthly mean values, this approach satisfies its primary objective.
The list of radiosonde stations with type changes detected by this PW comparison with nearby GPS stations and the related descriptions are given in Table A1 in appendix A.

### b. PW comparison

Mean values and STDs of PW differences for all matched stations are grouped by the radiosonde type and are shown in Fig. 8a at 0000 and 1200 UTC combined, Fig. 8b at 0000 UTC only (0800 solar local time in Beijing) and Fig. 8c at 1200 UTC only (2000 solar local time in Beijing). Stations are plotted in order of increasing PW on the x axis. In Fig. 8a, for GZZ2, all but three stations show wet biases, with a few as large as 2 mm. The three stations with dry biases, KMIN (56778), LHAS (55591), and LHAZ (55591), all have elevation higher than 2000 m (2020, 3660, and 3660 m, respectively). The other GZZ2 stations are all below 1000 m [except XNIN (52866) with elevation of 2408 m], which indicates that there are generally wet biases for GZZ2 stations below 1000 m while generally dry biases for GZZ2 stations above 2000 m. There is a larger moist bias at 0000 than at 1200 UTC for GZZ2, with mean PW differences of 1.0 and 0.2 mm, respectively. This diurnal difference is significant for GZZ2 stations with high elevations. Whether this is a property of the sensor or not has not been determined.

For GTS1, almost all stations are found to have dry biases at both 0000 and 1200 UTC. Dry biases are slightly greater at 12 UTC compared to biases at 0000 UTC, with mean PW differences of −1.4 and −0.9 mm, respectively, and the mean of the station biases is −1.2 mm for combined 0000 and 1200 UTC. The STD of the PW differences increases with increasing mean PW level (Fig. 8) at all times. This is due to increased variability of moisture under high moisture conditions, where the in situ radiosonde sensor may sample local atmospheric conditions that are different from the mean.

![Graphs showing PW differences for different stations](image)
conditions sampled by the azimuthally averaged GPS raypaths extending down to 10° elevation angle. This also shows up in the correlation for the STD of the radiosonde minus GPS PW difference with latitude (Fig. 9), with lower-latitude sites generally having higher moisture and higher moisture variability.

For the newly deployed GTS1-1, which is equipped with a carbon hygristor sensor similar to GTS1, the performance is better than GTS1 but there are still slight dry biases of approximately 0.4 mm in PW compared to GPS results, and 1200 UTC has a larger bias than 0000 UTC, with dry biases of 0.1 and 0.7 mm, respectively. On the other hand, there are slight wet biases of approximately 0.3 mm for GTS1-2 stations, with biases of approximately 0.1 mm at 0000 UTC and approximately 0.5 mm at 1200 UTC. As shown in Fig. 8, GTS1-1 shows the best agreement with GPS PW with STD of about 1.7 mm, while GTS1-2 has the largest STD of about 2.7 mm but the smallest systematic bias. Statistics for PW differences for each matched GPS and IGRA station are listed in Table S1 in the supplemental material.

The correlation coefficients between GPS and radiosonde monthly PW anomalies are also indicated in Fig. 8. The monthly PW anomaly is calculated as the deviation of the monthly PW from the monthly PW climatology (mean value of monthly PW over the whole period from 1999 to 2015). The correlation...
coefficients of monthly PW anomalies are around 0.7–0.8 for all types.

Variations of absolute and relative PW differences as a function of GPS PW with an interval of 2 mm are presented in Fig. 10. The relative PW differences are calculated as the absolute PW differences (radiosonde minus GPS) divided by GPS PW in percentage. Blue lines indicate the absolute PW difference for individual stations. The moist biases for PW smaller than 55 mm can be observed for GZZ2. There are dry biases at PW larger than 60 mm. However, these biases are dominated by the effects at only three sites. Three sites on the Tibetan Plateau behave quite differently and have dry biases over the entire sampled range of PW. The relative differences are not significant for PW from 15 to 72 mm. For GTS1, in the PW range of 0–20 mm, the dry bias and STD increase with increasing PW and then stay roughly stable at approximately −2-mm mean and about 3 STD over the range of PW from 20 to 65 mm. A few sites with PW (>70 mm) produce exceptionally large negative biases, which is also clear in the relative differences, reaching approximately 10%–15% for large PW. Dry biases are clearly shown for GTS1 over the whole PW range. Biases are similar for GTS1-1 up to 50 mm of PW, and show better performance at small PW (<10 mm). However, there are no data for very high PW sites for GTS1-1, and therefore there is no information on the performance of GTS1-1 in that range. There are

Fig. 10. Variations of (left) absolute and (right) relative PW differences (radiosonde minus GPS) with PW from GPS for (top)–(bottom) four types of radiosonde. Red dots denote the mean value with STD represented by vertical black error bars. Blue lines show the mean PW differences for individual sites.
significant dry biases for GTS1-2 for PW > 45 mm, but once again there are only two sites where PW is high enough to show this feature.

c. PW trend comparison

As mentioned in the introduction, changes in sensors within the radiosonde data record can induce large biases in the long-term humidity trend. In this section, the PW trend will be estimated and compared from both GPS and radiosonde data for matched stations over the period from 1999 to 2015.

The 5-point moving-average method is applied to the monthly PW anomaly time series, and the PW trends are then estimated using Sen’s nonparametric method (Sen 1968) as

\[
\text{trend} = \text{median} \left( \frac{x_j - x_i}{j - i} \right),
\]

where \(x_j\) and \(x_i\) are the monthly PW anomaly values at time \(j\) and \(i\) (\(j > i\)), respectively. This method provides a more robust slope estimate than the least squares method since it is insensitive to outliers or extreme values (Fan and Yao 2003), particularly for relatively small datasets, and it can also reduce the impacts of autocorrelation in time series. The statistical significance of the trends is tested using the Mann–Kendall tau method (Mann 1945; Kendall 1975) with 95% confidence level. The Mann–Kendall statistic \(S\) is estimated as

\[
S = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \text{sign}(x_j - x_i),
\]

where \(n\) denotes the number of data points.

The variance \(\sigma_S\), denoted as \(V(S)\), can be calculated as

\[
V(S) = \frac{n(n - 1)(2n + 5) - \sum_{k=1}^{m} t_k(t_k - 1)(2t_k + 5)}{18},
\]

where \(m\) is the number of tied groups (a tied group is a set of data with the same value) in the time series and \(t_k\) is the number of members of group \(k\). When \(n > 10\), the standard normal test statistic \(Z_s\) is then estimated as

\[
Z_s = \begin{cases} 
\frac{S - 1}{\sqrt{V(S)}}, & \text{if } S > 0 \\
0, & \text{if } S = 0 \\
\frac{S + 1}{\sqrt{V(S)}}, & \text{if } S < 0
\end{cases}
\]

At the 95% confidence level, the null hypothesis of no trend is rejected when \(|Z_s| > 1.96\). Monthly PW anomaly time series and the corresponding trends for three pairs of GPS–radiosonde matched stations are presented in Fig. 11 and trends for all matched stations and the differences are summarized in Table 2. The significance at the 95% confidence level based on the above test is also reported in Table 2. Only matched stations with PW time series longer than 9 yr in both GPS and radiosonde results are used in the trend analysis and comparison.

For BJFS (54511), trends estimated from radiosonde PW are \(-0.049\) and \(-0.037\) mm yr\(^{-1}\) at 0000 and 1200 UTC, respectively, while trends are in opposite sign from GPS PW, 0.023 and 0.060 mm yr\(^{-1}\) at 0000 and 1200 UTC, respectively. Similar conclusions can be drawn for KMIN (56778) and WUHN (57494), namely upward trends are found from GPS PW but radiosonde PW show downward trends for the period from 1999 to 2015. In addition, the monthly PW anomaly from radiosonde data agree better with GPS data in recent years (after 2011) than before 2003, especially for BJFS (54511) and KMIN (56778).

From Table 2 we can find that almost all stations show downward PW trends during the period from 1999 to 2015 based on radiosonde data except XIAA (57036) at 0000 UTC, which is not statistically significant. However, trends from GPS PW in Table 2 that are statistically significant are almost all positive [except YONG (59981), which is located on an island in the southernmost part of China] and greater than radiosonde trends for all stations except for LHAS (55591), which is again not statistically significant. The reason for the reversal in the PW trend estimates between GPS and radiosonde is primarily because GZZ2 was widely used before 2006 and contained wet biases as discussed above, whereas the newly used GTS1 shows significant dry biases in PW, which introduces significant negative biases in the trend estimates.

The positive PW trends from GPS are more consistent with the expected global change in moisture due to rising temperatures (Trenberth et al. 2003). However, the trends in Table 2 are smaller than the about 7% change predicted by the Clausius–Claperyon equation assuming constant relative humidity (e.g., Soden et al. 2002) and approximately 0.6-K surface temperature change over 30 years (e.g., Santer et al. 2006). These regionally varying trend results will inform future research efforts to understand regional variations in moisture and precipitation trends.

The difference between trends estimated from uncorrected and corrected radiosonde records has been observed previously in Zhao et al. (2012), where it was also stated that these uncorrected radiosonde records were assimilated into the global reanalyses. Large
differences were shown between reanalysis trends and corrected radiosonde trends that have not been confirmed with any other moisture data source. These results provide further evidence that illustrate the improved trends and expand the dataset to a broader region of the country, spanning more climate zones and including areas not sampled by radiosondes. The time series from GPS PW that are made available in this study show shorter-term trends that are consistent in sign to the mapped longer-term values in Zhao et al. (2012), indicating that GPS PW are becoming a valuable source of observations. As the time series increase in length, they can confirm and improve trends in reanalysis products that are independent of radiosonde observational errors, and over the last four years the dataset has proven to be useful for verifying the accuracy of regional spatial moisture variations in the reanalysis.

5. Comparison of ERA-Interim and GPS

The ERA-Interim reanalysis is one of the leading reanalysis products for interpreting changes in climate, and one important moisture dataset included in the
reanalysis over China is the radiosonde record. In this section, the PW time series derived from ERA-Interim reanalysis and GPS data will be compared, as well as the estimated PW trend over China during the period from 1999 to 2015.

a. PW comparison

Following the steps described in section 2c, PW values are retrieved at all GPS station locations from ERA-Interim reanalysis products from 1 March 1999 to 30 April 2015 every 6 h. Absolute differences (ERA-Interim minus GPS) and relative differences are calculated for the common observations. The relative differences are defined as absolute differences divided by GPS PW in percentage. As shown from the geographic distribution of mean values of PW differences in Figs. 12a,b, the biases between ERA-Interim and GPS are smaller than 2 mm for almost all stations but relative differences are considerably smaller in southeastern China (<20%) than western China (~20%–40%), primarily due to the very low values of PW over high-elevation regions of the Tibetan Plateau. The STDs of absolute differences shown in Fig. 12c for most stations are approximately 1.5–3 mm. The highest standard deviations are found in regions with higher precipitation in southeastern China where moisture is more variable, and in the higher precipitation regions of northwestern China near Urumqi in Xinjiang Province, north of the Tian Shan, and within its mountain valleys. The correlation of higher STD where there is higher moisture and higher moisture variability has been observed in previous studies (e.g., Haase et al. 2003). For the relative differences, there are small STDs (<15%) in southeastern China and relatively large STDs in western China (>30%), once again due to the very low PW over the Tibetan Plateau and northern deserts.

The variations of mean values and STDs of relative PW differences in Fig. 13 show that the PW differences are strongly related to the altitude of stations. The mean relative difference for stations below 1000 m are within approximately −10% and 10% but reach from −20% to 50% for stations above 3000 m. STDs are about 20%–50% for high-elevation stations (above 2000 m) and decrease to about 5%–30% for low-elevation stations (below 2000 m).

Since there are strong seasonal signals in PW time series, the PW differences between ERA-Interim and GPS are also grouped into four seasons, namely spring [March–May (MAM)], summer [June–August (JJA)], fall [September–November (SON)], and winter [December–February (DJF)] (Fig. 14). The biases for ERA-Interim PW compared to GPS are very close to zero in all seasons. The STD of PW difference is largest in summer (~2.7 mm or 11.8%), and the mean value and RMS of PW differences are approximately 0.1 (2.6%) and 2.9 mm (13.2%). On the other hand, the smallest absolute STD of PW differences are found in winter, namely 0.3 (6.5%), 1.1 (19.8%), and 1.3 mm (23.0%) for mean, STD, and RMS. PW differences are roughly equivalent in spring and fall, with mean differences of about 0.2 mm (4.3–5.4%), STD of about 1.9 mm (16.2–19.5%), and RMS of about 2.0 mm (17.7%–21.2%). The error analysis in Table 1 shows that given GPS ZTD error estimates of 0.60 mm of delay and given the propagation of errors in pressure and temperature measurements, the “observational” error of GPS PW is approximately 0.75 mm of PW. This is close to the observed STD for winter values of ERA-Interim minus GPS, indicating the

---

**Table 2. PW trend estimates (mm yr$^{-1}$) from GPS and radiosonde data at 0000 and 1200 UTC and the differences (radiosonde minus GPS). Numbers for trend estimates in boldface denote statistical significance with confidence level of 95%; numbers in parentheses are not statistically significant.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GPS (IGRA) station</th>
<th>Station elev (m)</th>
<th>GPS</th>
<th>Radiosonde</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>0000 UTC (mm yr$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>1200 UTC (mm yr$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BJFS (54511)</td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>−0.072</td>
<td>0.060 (0.037)</td>
<td>−0.097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAN (54161)</td>
<td>258.7</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>−0.094</td>
<td>0.052 (0.032)</td>
<td>−0.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLR (50527)</td>
<td>633.5</td>
<td>(−0.002)</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>−0.124</td>
<td>0.022 (−0.072)</td>
<td>−0.094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRBN (50953)</td>
<td>184.5</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>−0.122</td>
<td>0.045 (−0.086)</td>
<td>−0.131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KMIN (56778)</td>
<td>2019.1</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>−0.172</td>
<td>0.042 (−0.099)</td>
<td>−0.141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LHAS (55591)</td>
<td>3659.3</td>
<td>(−0.006)</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>−0.026</td>
<td>(−0.013) (−0.002)</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LHAZ (55591)</td>
<td>3659.3</td>
<td>(−0.006)</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>−0.026</td>
<td>(0.019) (−0.002)</td>
<td>−0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHAO (58362)</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>(0.050)</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>−0.147</td>
<td>0.070 (−0.130)</td>
<td>−0.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URUM (51463)</td>
<td>919.1</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>−0.176</td>
<td>0.056 (−0.080)</td>
<td>−0.136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WUHN (57494)</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>−0.247</td>
<td>0.081 (−0.027)</td>
<td>−0.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIAA (57036)</td>
<td>543.6</td>
<td>(−0.020)</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>−0.019</td>
<td>(0.015) (−0.003)</td>
<td>−0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIAM (59134)</td>
<td>96.0</td>
<td>(−0.015)</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>−0.191</td>
<td>(0.023) (−0.247)</td>
<td>−0.270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XNIN (52866)</td>
<td>2407.7</td>
<td>(0.020)</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>−0.102</td>
<td>(0.020) (−0.022)</td>
<td>−0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YONG (59981)</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>−0.120</td>
<td>0.460</td>
<td>−0.340</td>
<td>(−0.045) (−0.481)</td>
<td>−0.436</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
error estimates are reasonable and that the ERA-Interim is modeling the humidity well. However, the larger ERA-Interim minus GPS STD in the summer of 2.7 mm PW, assuming the observational error is the same, indicates that there is significant model improvement that can be achieved, on the order of 3 times the observational error. This suggests that future data assimilation of the denser GPS PW observations over China could potentially have a significant impact on the accuracy of reanalyses and climate models, particularly in summer when moisture and precipitation are high.

The correlation coefficients between GPS and ERA-Interim monthly PW anomalies are shown in Fig. 15. For most stations in China, the correlation coefficients are larger than 0.7. However, for stations on the Tibetan Plateau, the correlation coefficients are significantly smaller (below 0.4 for some stations), possibly due to the relatively low number of measurements in this region.
which are assimilated in the reanalysis. We find the correlation coefficients generally decrease with increasing station altitudes, as shown in Fig. 15b.

b. PW trend comparison

Linear PW trends are derived based on ERA-Interim data following the method described in section 4c and compared to the GPS trends at 0000 and 1200 UTC for GPS stations with PW time series longer than 9 yr (Fig. 16). The most obvious difference between PW trends from GPS and ERA-Interim is that almost all stations show downward ERA-Interim PW trends while both upward and downward trends are found from the GPS results. PW trends from ERA-Interim are similar
to results from radiosonde data because radiosonde data are an important input data source in the reanalysis data assimilation. For both GPS and ERA-Interim, the statistically significant PW linear trends at 0000 UTC are consistent with estimates at 1200 UTC. Trend estimates for all stations with PW time series longer than 9 yr are presented in Table B1.

6. Discussion

This study goes significantly beyond previous studies of Chinese radiosonde errors due to instrumentation changes. By producing a consistently processed GPS PW dataset for the longest recorded set of GPS sites covering China, it was possible to detect and quantify the bias and dates associated with 10 additional instrumentation changes beyond the 5 originally quantified in the catalogs of Wang and Zhang (2008), Liang et al. (2012), and Zhao et al. (2012). It independently verifies changes detected based on dewpoint depression statistics (Dai et al. 2011). Table A1 serves as a comprehensive reference for the use of radiosonde records in China for climatological analysis, and brings attention to the potential for remaining humidity errors, even in the improved radiosonde dataset, for future studies that verify climate models against the historical record. The possibility of additional errors in reporting radiosonde types such as those detected by Dai et al. (2011) indicates that any correction algorithm should be used with care.

PW trends in China have been estimated for longer periods using corrected radiosonde data, for example over the period 1979–2012 in Zhao et al. (2015). However, the additional study of GPS PW trends at more than five stations presented here, although shorter, is important because there are no artificial discontinuities in the data that can call into question their accuracy. (The GPS PW data are made available online at http://agsweb.ucsd.edu/gpspw_china as a resource to the community.)

Intercomparisons of climate models from phase 5 of the Climate Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP5) for the historical period have shown that there are large regional biases in precipitation, especially at higher quantiles [e.g., see Liu et al. (2014) for southern China]. GPS PW datasets such as this one provide regionally dense climatological time series of moisture variations in China among the dry high plateau climate in the northwest, continental climate in the northeast, and temperate climate with monsoonal influence in the
For most stations, the 6-hourly integrated pressure nearby synoptic station records, and ERA-Interim produced GPS station meteorological pressure observations, surface air pressure at GPS stations by combining ground with radiosonde results. Efforts were made to obtain approximately 1.8 K over China as assessed by comparing derived from the ERA-Interim, with an accuracy of approximately 0.75 mm, with minimum and maximum errors of 0.55 and 1.10 mm, respectively.

We have demonstrated this type of comparison for the ERA-Interim and shown that there are interesting differences, for example, where the correlation with ERA-Interim is lower near the northwestern border region and in the elevated plateau. This illustrates the potential for the dataset to be used as a validation dataset for other climate models included in the CMIP5.

7. Conclusions

Ground-based GPS data measure atmospheric water vapor with low cost, in all weather conditions independently of the presence of clouds and precipitation, and with high temporal resolution and homogeneity over long time scales. As an independent data source, GPS-derived water vapor information can be used to identify and quantify errors in radiosonde data and to verify numerical weather model reanalysis products. In this study, data from over 260 permanent GPS stations from the CMONOC network in China in the period from 1999 to 2015 were processed using the PPP method to solve for positions and ZTDs. To avoid any inconsistency among different reference frames, the reprocessed satellite orbit and clock products in the IGS08 reference frame were used over the entire period. Six GPS stations that also belong to the global IGS network were used to assess the accuracy of the derived position and zenith tropospheric delay solutions by comparing with IGS final products. The typical RMS position differences are approximately 5.7, 3.6, and 7.3 mm in the east, north, and upward component, respectively, which is in the same accuracy level as the IGS SINEX position solutions. Typical RMS ZTD differences for all stations are approximately 3.9 mm, which is also comparable to IGS final ZTD products.

Water vapor weighted mean temperature \( T_m \), and surface air pressure \( P_s \), are two key parameters in the derivation of GPS PW from GPS ZTD. Values of \( T_m \) are derived from the ERA-Interim, with an accuracy of approximately 1.8 K over China as assessed by comparing with radiosonde results. Efforts were made to obtain surface air pressure at GPS stations by combining ground GPS station meteorological pressure observations, nearby synoptic station records, and ERA-Interim products to ensure both high accuracy and completeness. For most stations, the 6-hourly integrated pressure measurements have been calculated or obtained for approximately 90%–100% of the site observations with an accuracy of about 0.7 hPa. PW estimates were then retrieved with 6-h interval for all GPS stations and the uncertainty of PW contributed by different factors was analyzed accordingly. Based on this error analysis, the mean PW error is approximately 0.75 mm, with minimum and maximum errors of 0.55 and 1.10 mm, respectively.

Radiosonde data suffer from changes in instruments, observational practice, processing strategies, station relocations, or other issues. Previous studies have focused on identifying and quantifying errors in Vaisala and VIZ radiosondes, which are typically deployed in the Western Hemisphere and Europe. There are only a few studies of radiosonde types deployed in China, and these used a small number of GPS stations, a limited length of GPS records, or other observations and methods which are also susceptible to inhomogeneity issues. Our PW estimates for over 260 stations covering the period from 1999 to 2015 provide a good opportunity to identify systematic errors in radiosondes used in China and their impacts in reanalyses. Because of incomplete documentation of radiosonde changes, we identified additional type changes and their timing through comparison of PW calculated from radiosonde and GPS data. This is the first work to describe the biases associated with all four types of sensors used in China, by distinguishing between the two radiosonde types Shang-M (GZZ2) and Shang-E (GTS1), and differences between GTS1-1 and GTS1-2. The radiosondes minus GPS PW differences were then analyzed separately for each of the four types (i.e., GZZ2, GTS1, GTS1-1, and GTS1-2). For GZZ2 equipped with the goldbeater’s skin humidity sensor, there is a mean wet bias of approximately 1–2 mm, with dry biases seen only at three stations above 2000 m. An exception is that there are very large dry biases for observations with PW greater than 60 mm. For GTS1 carrying a carbon hygriostor, the radiosonde stations are found to have dry biases of approximately 1.2 mm in PW. The newly deployed GTS1-1 is also equipped with a carbon hygriostor and has a better performance than GTS1, but still contains dry biases in PW (≈0.4 mm). There are slight wet biases (≈0.3 mm) in the newly deployed GTS1-2, which uses a thin-film capacitor as humidity sensor. The biases in different radiosonde types will introduce significant biases in PW trend estimates for stations where more than two types of sensor were used. GZZ2 radiosondes, containing significant wet biases for most stations, were widely used before being replaced by GTS1 with obvious dry biases, GTS1-1 with small dry biases, and GTS1-2 with slight wet biases. This produces artificially decreasing PW trends from the radiosonde data for most stations during
TABLE A1. Radiosonde type changes for IGRA radiosonde stations detected by comparisons between radiosonde-based and GPS-based PW. Types and the corresponding periods with each type used are given. References where known type change dates can be found are also listed. Type changes at stations where no reference is given were detected in this study. [Note that station YONG (59981) has a significant change in characteristics in 2014, which is after the date of the last report documenting type changes. Based on this work, we suggest that a type change from GTS1 to one of the later models is likely to have occurred at this date although it is not listed here, which needs further investigation.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IGRA</th>
<th>GPS</th>
<th>Station name</th>
<th>Type 1</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Type 2</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Type 3</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Reference(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>58362</td>
<td>SHAO</td>
<td>Shanghai</td>
<td>GZZ2</td>
<td>Jan 1999–Dec 2013</td>
<td>GTS1</td>
<td>Jan 2004–Dec 2015</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59134</td>
<td>XIAM</td>
<td>Xiamen</td>
<td>GZZ2</td>
<td>Jan 1999–Dec 2005</td>
<td>GTS1</td>
<td>Jan 2006–May 2015</td>
<td>GTS1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the period from 1999 to 2015, although the exact effect on the trend varies depending on the date of the change.

Similarly, the large GPS dataset in China also provides the possibility to independently evaluate water vapor information in reanalysis products. ERA-Interim, which is one of the most widely used new generation reanalysis products (Gregow et al. 2015), is chosen in this study. The biases in ERA-Interim PW relative to GPS PW are found to be smaller than 2 mm for most of stations and STD of PW differences range from about 5% to 10% for stations below 1000 m but larger (reaching from 20% to 50%) for stations above 3000 m. Relative STD are correlated with height, from about 5%–30% for low-elevation stations (below 2000 m) to about 20%–50% for high-elevation stations (above 2000 m). The PW difference time series have seasonal variations. We found that the STDs of PW differences (ERA-Interim minus GPS) are largest (2.7 mm or 11.8%) in the summer and smallest (1.1 mm or 19.8%) in the winter. Regarding the PW trends, with the uncorrected radiosonde humidity data assimilated, ERA-Interim results show decreasing PW trends for almost all stations in China from 1999 to 2015. However, as shown in the homogeneous GPS PW results, there are a considerable number of stations showing increasing PW trends (mainly in eastern China) or insignificant trends during the same period. Evaluations of other reanalysis products, which is beyond the scope of this study, can be carried out in the same way as ERA-Interim. Based on the study by Zhao et al. (2015) through comparisons with homogenized radiosonde dataset, the artificial decreasing PW trends found in ERA-Interim in this paper may also exist in most other reanalysis products, such as NCEP–NCAR, NCEP–DOE, JRA-25, JRA-55, and MERRA because of the assimilation of the similar unadjusted radiosonde humidity data over China. The new generation reanalysis, the NOAA–CIRES Twentieth Century Reanalysis (20CR), may be an exception because only surface observations were assimilated. However, these inferences need independent confirmation with the derived GPS PW dataset in the future work.

Table B1. Trend estimates based on GPS, radiosonde, and ERA-Interim PW at all stations with PW time series length longer than 9 yr. Numbers in boldface denote statistically significant estimates; numbers in parentheses denote estimates that are not statistically significant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GPS (IGRA) station</th>
<th>Station elev (m)</th>
<th>GPS 0000 UTC (mm yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Radiosonde 0000 UTC (mm yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>ERA-Interim 0000 UTC (mm yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>GPS 1200 UTC (mm yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Radiosonde 1200 UTC (mm yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>ERA-Interim 1200 UTC (mm yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>All times GPS</th>
<th>All times Radiosonde</th>
<th>All times ERA-Interim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BJFS (54511)</td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td>(0.023)</td>
<td>-0.049</td>
<td>-0.053</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>(-0.037)</td>
<td>-0.037</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAN (54161)</td>
<td>258.7</td>
<td>(0.016)</td>
<td>-0.078</td>
<td>-0.021</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>-0.032</td>
<td>(-0.012)</td>
<td>(0.038)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLAR (50527)</td>
<td>633.5</td>
<td>(-0.002)</td>
<td>-0.126</td>
<td>(-0.010)</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>-0.072</td>
<td>(-0.009)</td>
<td>(0.004)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRBN (50953)</td>
<td>184.5</td>
<td>(0.020)</td>
<td>-0.102</td>
<td>(0.005)</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>-0.086</td>
<td>(0.010)</td>
<td>(0.035)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KMIN (56778)</td>
<td>2019.1</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>-0.142</td>
<td>(-0.087)</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>-0.099</td>
<td>-0.056</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LHAS (55591)</td>
<td>3659.3</td>
<td>(-0.006)</td>
<td>-0.032</td>
<td>(-0.006)</td>
<td>(-0.013)</td>
<td>(-0.002)</td>
<td>(-0.010)</td>
<td>(-0.002)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LHAT (55591)</td>
<td>3659.3</td>
<td>(-0.006)</td>
<td>-0.032</td>
<td>(-0.006)</td>
<td>(0.019)</td>
<td>(-0.002)</td>
<td>(-0.010)</td>
<td>(-0.002)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHAO (58362)</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>(0.050)</td>
<td>-0.097</td>
<td>(-0.025)</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>-0.130</td>
<td>(-0.029)</td>
<td>(0.035)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URUM (51463)</td>
<td>919.1</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>-0.147</td>
<td>-0.070</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>-0.080</td>
<td>-0.076</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WUHN (57494)</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>(0.052)</td>
<td>-0.195</td>
<td>-0.107</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>(-0.027)</td>
<td>-0.065</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIAA (57036)</td>
<td>543.6</td>
<td>(-0.020)</td>
<td>(0.039)</td>
<td>-0.095</td>
<td>(0.015)</td>
<td>(-0.003)</td>
<td>-0.093</td>
<td>(-0.011)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIAM (59134)</td>
<td>96.0</td>
<td>(-0.015)</td>
<td>-0.206</td>
<td>(-0.050)</td>
<td>(0.023)</td>
<td>-0.247</td>
<td>(-0.042)</td>
<td>(-0.006)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XNIN (52866)</td>
<td>2407.7</td>
<td>(0.020)</td>
<td>-0.082</td>
<td>(-0.006)</td>
<td>(0.020)</td>
<td>-0.022</td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
<td>(0.018)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YONG (59981)</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>-0.120</td>
<td>-0.460</td>
<td>(0.028)</td>
<td>(-0.045)</td>
<td>-0.481</td>
<td>(0.038)</td>
<td>(-0.079)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BJSH</td>
<td>165.0</td>
<td>-0.036</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.045</td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.031</td>
<td>(-0.025)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLHA</td>
<td>3006.3</td>
<td>-0.021</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.009</td>
<td>(-0.006)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(-0.012)</td>
<td>(-0.017)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DXIN</td>
<td>1073.5</td>
<td>-0.054</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.040</td>
<td>-0.042</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.034</td>
<td>-0.050</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUAN</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.043</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.059</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JIXN</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>-0.032</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(-0.018)</td>
<td>(-0.019)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(-0.018)</td>
<td>-0.028</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUZH</td>
<td>338.8</td>
<td>(-0.014)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.073</td>
<td>(0.006)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.059</td>
<td>(-0.008)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QION</td>
<td>218.8</td>
<td>(-0.002)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(0.012)</td>
<td>(0.004)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(0.003)</td>
<td>(0.000)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUY</td>
<td>344.1</td>
<td>-0.050</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(0.000)</td>
<td>-0.026</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(0.009)</td>
<td>-0.037</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAIN</td>
<td>345.0</td>
<td>(0.021)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.057</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.038</td>
<td>(0.032)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TASH</td>
<td>3079.6</td>
<td>(0.005)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.015</td>
<td>(-0.007)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.025</td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WUSH</td>
<td>1440.7</td>
<td>-0.034</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(-0.003)</td>
<td>-0.023</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(-0.014)</td>
<td>-0.023</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIAG</td>
<td>2012.2</td>
<td>(-0.011)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.057</td>
<td>(0.013)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.043</td>
<td>(-0.005)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YANC</td>
<td>1340.7</td>
<td>(0.006)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.024</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(-0.005)</td>
<td>(0.017)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZHNZ</td>
<td>464.3</td>
<td>(0.049)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.110</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.117</td>
<td>(0.041)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There are still some limitations in the current GPS dataset used in this study. For example, the relative sparsity of the GPS station network in phase I in CMONOC before 2011 limits the spatial resolution of the long-term PW trend analysis. The absence of meteorological measurements at GPS stations in phase I is another limitation, and even in phase II there are periods when measurements are not complete enough for PW retrievals. However, results from this study could potentially be used to implement a bias correction scheme for radiosonde PW data. It provides motivation for a systematic approach to replacement or standardization of the radiosonde network, which could improve accuracy of reanalysis products over China. GPS PW datasets such as this one may also contribute to diagnosing diurnal and other biases in climate model precipitation (Dai 2006; Stephens et al. 2010) by providing high rate regionally dense measurements of moisture variations in China.
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