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This file provides Supplementary Figures S1–S19.
Figure S1: The average CESM2 Historical histogram produced by the MODIS simulator fit into each ISCCP CR.
Figure S2: The average CESM2 Historical histogram produced by the MISR simulator fit into each ISCCP CR.
Figure S3: Difference in the ISCCP CR centers between the CESM2 Historical run from 1983-2015 and ISCCP observation from 1983-2015
Figure S4: Difference in the MODIS CR centers between the CESM2 Historical run from 07/2002-01/2015 and MODIS observation from 07/2002-01/2015

Figure S5: Difference in the MISR CR centers between the CESM2 Historical run from 03/2000-01/2015 and MISR observation from 03/2000-01/2015
Figure S6: The relative frequency of occurrence of each ISCCP CR in the CESM2 Historical run from 1983-2015 minus the relative frequency of occurrence of the CRs in ISCCP observation from 1983-2015
Figure S7: The relative frequency of occurrence of each MODIS CR in the CESM2 Historical run from 07/2002-01/2015 minus the relative frequency of occurrence of the CRs in MODIS observation from 07/2002-01/2015
Figure S8: The relative frequency of occurrence of each MISR CR in the CESM2 Historical run from 03/2000-01/2015 minus the relative frequency of occurrence of the CRs in MISR observation from 03/2000-01/2015
Figure S9: The time series of relative frequency of occurrence for the MODIS CRs in the CESM2 historical and SSP simulations. The top left panel shows the average cloud cover, and all panels have a span of 9% on the vertical axis.
Figure S10: The time series of relative frequency of occurrence for the MISR CRs in the CESM2 historical and SSP simulations. The top left panel shows the average cloud cover, and all panels have a span of 9% on the vertical axis.
Figure S11: The relative frequency of occurrence of each ISCCP CR in SSP1 from 2071-2100 minus the relative frequency of occurrence of the CRs in the CESM2 Historical run from 1983-2015.
Figure S12: The relative frequency of occurrence of each MODIS CR in SSP1 from 2071-2100 minus the relative frequency of occurrence of the CRs in the CESM2 Historical run from 07/2002-01/2015.
Figure S13: The relative frequency of occurrence of each MISR CR in SSP1 from 2071-2100 minus the relative frequency of occurrence of the CRs in the CESM2 Historical run from 03/2000-01/2015.
Figure S14: The relative frequency of occurrence of each ISCCP CR in SSP2 from 2071-2100 minus the relative frequency of occurrence of the CRs in the CESM2 Historical run from 1983-2015.
Figure S15: The relative frequency of occurrence of each MODIS CR in SSP2 from 2071-2100 minus the relative frequency of occurrence of the CRs in the CESM2 Historical run from 07/2002-01/2015.
Figure S16: The relative frequency of occurrence of each MISR CR in SSP2 from 2071-2100 minus the relative frequency of occurrence of the CRs in the CESM2 Historical run from 03/2000-01/2015.
Figure S17: The relative frequency of occurrence of each ISCCP CR in SSP5 from 2071-2100 minus the relative frequency of occurrence of the CRs in the CESM2 Historical run from 1983-2015.
Figure S18: The relative frequency of occurrence of each MODIS CR in SSP5 from 2071-2100 minus the relative frequency of occurrence of the CRs in the CESM2 Historical run from 07/2002-01/2015.
Figure S19: The relative frequency of occurrence of each MISR CR in SSP5 from 2071-2100 minus the relative frequency of occurrence of the CRs in the CESM2 Historical run from 03/2000-01/2015.