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ABSTRACT

Heavy rainfall and flooding associated with tropical cyclones (TCs) are responsible for a large number of fatalities and economic damage worldwide. Despite their large socioeconomic impacts, research into heavy rainfall and flooding associated with TCs has received limited attention to date and still represents a major challenge. The capability to adapt to future changes in heavy rainfall and flooding associated with TCs is inextricably linked to and informed by understanding of the sensitivity of TC rainfall to likely future forcing mechanisms. Here a set of idealized high-resolution atmospheric model experiments produced as part of the U.S. Climate Variability and Predictability (CLIVAR) Hurricane Working Group activity is used to examine TC response to idealized global-scale perturbations: the doubling of CO2, uniform 2-K increases in global sea surface temperature (SST), and their combined impact. As a preliminary but key step, daily rainfall patterns of composite TCs within climate model outputs are first compared and contrasted to the observational records. To assess similarities and differences across different regions in response to the warming scenarios, analyses are performed at the global and hemispheric scales and in six global TC ocean basins. The results indicate a reduction in TC daily precipitation rates in the doubling CO2 scenario (on the order of 5% globally) and an increase in TC rainfall rates associated with a uniform increase of 2 K in SST (both alone and in combination with CO2 doubling; on the order of 10%–20% globally).

1. Introduction

Every year, tropical cyclones (TCs) are responsible for a large number of fatalities and vast economic damages (e.g., Rappaport 2000; Pielke et al. 2008; Zhang et al. 2009; Jonkman et al. 2009; Jonkman et al. 2013; Mendelsohn et al. 2011, 2012; Peduzzi et al. 2012). To compound these TC hazards, over the last few decades there has been an increase in population and infrastructure in global coastal regions resulting in increased TC-related damages (e.g., Mendelsohn et al. 2012; Peduzzi et al. 2012); this demographic change is acting in addition to the TC changes projected to occur as a result of climate change.

Although heavy rainfall is a major hazard associated with TCs, few studies have examined the rainfall patterns associated with these storms. A recent analysis of observations found that over the period 1994–2008 TC-related heavy rainfall events in the United States occurred over twice as often as the long-term average (Kunkel et al. 2010). Using satellite-based estimates of rainfall, Lau and Zhou (2012) point to an increase in North Atlantic TC rainfall over the period 1988–2007. At the same time, they also identified a decrease in TC rainfall in the North Pacific. Over China, Ren et al. (2006) found decreasing trends in both TC total precipitation and frequency of torrential rain, while Ying...
et al. (2011) found increasing trends in the intensity of tropical cyclone precipitation at some locations over southeast China. Based on climate model outputs, Knutson and Tuleya (2004) found a tendency for larger near-storm precipitation rates in TCs in CO$_2$ warming scenarios. In a review of TC and climate change studies, Knutson et al. (2010) found seven studies reporting an increase in TC-related rainfall (various metrics) under climate warming scenarios and no studies with decreases. Overall, TC-related rainfall rates are projected to increase on the order of 20% within 100 km from the storm center for the late twenty-first century (Knutson et al. 2010). More recently, Knutson et al. (2013) used a range of global climate models (GCMs), including outputs from climate models produced under phase 5 of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP5; Taylor et al. 2012), and found an increase in the average precipitation rate in North Atlantic TCs of about 10%, rising to over 20% within 100 km of the storm center. Because of the observed and projected changes in TC rainfall and especially because of their large socioeconomic effects, it is essential to evaluate TC rainfall patterns under warming scenarios.

The main goals of this study are to undertake a global-scale analysis in six TC basins to analyze possible changes in TC rainfall under different warming scenarios using GCM runs. The research questions we will address are the following:

1) How well can GCMs reproduce the TC rainfall structure present in the observational records? Despite the simplicity of the question, very few studies have examined the capability of GCMs in reproducing the rainfall characteristics compared to the observational records.

2) What are the possible changes in TC rainfall that can be expected under different idealized warming scenarios? We will be able to address this question using a series of idealized experiments conducted in support of the U.S. Climate Variability and Predictability (CLIVAR) Hurricane and Climate Working Group.

The analyses will leverage on three state-of-the-art atmospheric models, and examine the changes in TC rainfall structure and magnitude in six TC genesis basins under three warming scenarios: 1) a doubling of CO$_2$ in the atmosphere with no change in SST, 2) a uniform 2-K rise in global SST, and 3) a doubling of CO$_2$ plus a uniform 2-K rise in SST. The expectation prior to performing the analyses is for a decrease in TC rainfall under the CO$_2$ doubling experiment and for an increase associated with the global increase in SST. It is more difficult to know a priori what to expect from a combination of changes in both CO$_2$ and SST because of the potentially opposite effects associated with the changes in these two forcings. One of the main objectives of the U.S. CLIVAR working group, and these experiments, is to quantify changes in tropical cyclone characteristics in a warming climate.

This paper is organized as follows. The next section contains a description of the data, methods, and models used. Section 3 presents the results, and is followed by section 4, in which we summarize the main points of this study.

2. Data and methods

Six TC genesis basins were used in this analysis, four in the Northern Hemisphere [North Atlantic (NA), eastern North Pacific (EP), western North Pacific (WP), and north Indian (NI) Ocean] and two in the Southern Hemisphere [south Indian (SI) Ocean and southern Pacific (SP)]. The delineation of these basins is the same as the one used in Camargo et al. (2005), with the only exception that we combine their Australian and South Pacific basins into the southern Pacific basin, which extends eastward from 110$^\circ$W longitude, in order to have a larger storm sample. We also perform analyses at the global and hemispheric scales.

Observed TC tracks were taken from the International Best Track Archive for Climate Stewardship (IBTrACS v03r04; Knapp et al. 2010). It represents a unified database of global TC tracks, including data from numerous TC forecast centers around the world and other sources. The IBTrACS includes the latitude and longitude of the center of circulation of the recorded storms, maximum sustained wind, and minimum central pressure every 6 h during the storm lifetime. Here we focus on the period 1997–2012 because it matches the period for which relatively high-quality daily rainfall data are available globally.

Rainfall data are available over the period 1997–2012 through the Global Precipitation Climatology Project (GPCP; Huffman et al. 2001). This dataset provides global rainfall at the daily scale with a 1° × 1° resolution. GPCP is obtained by merging different satellite-based rainfall estimates (in particular within and outside the latitude band of 40°N and 40°S) and rain gauge data. Here GPCP is used as reference dataset for the comparison with modeled data. It is worth keeping in mind, though, that these are rainfall estimates based on satellite data, and not direct measurements of rainfall. Our assumption is that the uncertainties associated with this product are much smaller than what we would expect from modeled rainfall data.

These observational records will be used to evaluate the capability of three climate models in reproducing the
rainfall characteristics associated with TCs. In this study we will use outputs from the Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory (GFDL) High Resolution Atmospheric Model (HiRAM), the Centro Euro-Mediterraneo sui Cambiamenti Climatici (CMCC) model, and the Community Atmosphere Model, version 5.1 (CAMS). The models are forced by climatological SSTs [1981–2005 average from the Hadley Centre Sea Ice and Sea Surface Temperature dataset (HadISST); Rayner et al. 2003]. The GCM run by CMCC builds on ECHAM5 (Roeckner et al. 2003) with the same resolution employed in the CMCC model fully coupled model (Scoccimarro et al. 2011): a spectral T159 horizontal resolution, corresponding to a Gaussian grid of about 0.75° × 0.75°, and a vertical resolution with 31 hybrid sigma-pressure levels with top at 10 hPa. The convection parameterization is based on the mass flux concept (Tiedtke 1989) modified following a spectral T159 horizontal resolution, corresponding to a Gaussian grid of about 0.75° × 0.75°, and a vertical resolution with 31 hybrid sigma-pressure levels with top at 10 hPa. The convection parameterization is based on the mass flux concept (Tiedtke 1989) modified following Nordeng (1994). Moist processes are treated using a mass-conserving algorithm for the transport of the different water species and potential chemical tracers (Lin and Rasch 2008). The moist boundary layer turbulence scheme is that of Bretherton and Park (2009). The model used for this study is a newer version of the GFDL HiRAM utilized in Zhao et al. (2009), Zhao and Held (2010), and Held and Zhao (2011) for studies of global hurricane climatology, variability, and change with global warming. It is a 50-km-resolution model using a cubed-sphere dynamical core and the Bretherton et al. (2004) convection scheme for both shallow and deep cumulus clouds. The main difference in the newer version is that it incorporates a new land model (GFDL LM3). The atmospheric dynamical core of the model was also updated to improve efficiency and stability. As a result of these changes, there are minor retunings of the atmospheric parameters in the cloud and surface boundary layer parameterizations necessary to achieve the top-of-atmosphere (TOA) radiative balance (Zhao et al. 2012). This model is also the version of HiRAM used for the GFDL participation in the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC AR5) high-resolution time-slice simulations.

The CAM5, developed by the U.S. Department of Energy and the National Science Foundation, was integrated using a finite-volume dynamical core on a latitude–longitude mesh of approximately 0.25° with 30 vertical levels (Bacmeister et al. 2014; Wehner et al. 2013, manuscript submitted to J. Model. Earth Syst.). The CAM5 physical parameterization package uses the Zhang and McFarlane (1995) deep convective parameterization and the University of Washington (UW) shallow convection scheme (Park and Bretherton 2009). The convective parameterization includes a dilute entraining plume (Neale et al. 2008) and a convective momentum transport approximation as used in the previous version of the model, CAM4 (Richter and Rasch 2008). The number of years in terms of rainfall data varied from model to model (20 yr for the GFDL model, 10 yr for the CMCC model, and 9 yr for the CAM5). The tracking algorithm used to identify TCs in the GFDL model outputs is similar to what is described in Zhao et al. (2009). The tracking for the CMCC model is based on Walsh (1997) and Walsh et al. (2007). Detailed information on the ability of climate models in representing TCs can be found in Walsh et al. (2013). The tracking algorithm for the CAM5 follows closely the method and thresholds of Knutson et al. (2007) and has been generalized to execute efficiently on very large numbers of processors (Prabhat et al. 2012).

Here we focus on the evaluation of the highest rain-producing TCs both in the models and observations. For each 1200 UTC time step of each TC in the IBTrACS or in the GFDL model, CMCC model, and CAM5, the rainfall in a 10° × 10° grid around the center of circulation of the storm was extracted based on the information available in the track files; these rainfall fields represent daily rainfall accumulations and were then averaged over the storm lifetime to form a gridded composite mean of the daily rainfall associated with a particular storm. We note that only time steps when the TC center was south of 30°N (for the Northern Hemisphere) or north of 30°S (for the Southern Hemisphere) were used to guard against extratropical dynamics affecting the TC rainfall patterns. The composite mean TC rainfall patterns were then ranked according to the highest average rainfall within a 5° radius around the TC center, and the top 10% of the TCs were selected. The selection of a 5° radius around the TC center is consistent with other studies in the literature (e.g., Hart and Evans 2001; Ren et al. 2006; Kunkel et al. 2010). We use a percentage rather than a specific number of storms to account for differences in the number of TCs in different basins and among the models. Finally all the TC time steps (or storm days) in the top 10% of TCs were averaged to produce a composite mean of the TC
storm days. Table S1 in the supplementary material summarizes the number of TC storm days for each model, scenario, and basin. The western Pacific is the Northern Hemispheric basin with the largest number of TC days with the exception of CAM5 (possibly due to a TC genesis more in the central Pacific, resulting in storms counted in the eastern Pacific). We also notice the low TC activity in the CMCC model in the North Atlantic and eastern Pacific because of this model’s known issues in generating TCs in these basins (Scoccimarro et al. 2011). The results presented in the next section are related to TC daily rainfall for the top 10% rainiest TCs.

3. Results

a. Observations

Figure 1 is based on GPCP data and shows the composite mean precipitation patterns associated with the top 10% rainiest TCs in each of the six basins, together with the corresponding hemispheric composites. For the Northern Hemisphere, the rainfall patterns have a southwest–northeast orientation. The areas with maximum rainfall vary among the basins, from the eastern quadrants in the North Atlantic to the southwest quadrant in the western Pacific; these differences may partly be an artefact of the coarse resolution of GPCP (1° × 1°), but are also likely to be associated with the different storm morphology in these basins. There is also a large spread in the number of TC storm days used in the composites, with the north Indian Ocean basin having the fewest and the western Pacific the most fields used (see panel titles in Fig. 1). The average rainfall footprint is also very different from basin to basin. Most of the rainfall is concentrated within a 5° radius for the North Atlantic and the eastern Pacific, with the latter showing the smallest rainfall averages among the basins in the Northern Hemisphere. On the other hand, the rainfall footprint for the western Pacific is much larger, consistent with the discussion in Chan and Chan (2012) related to the storm size in these basins. Overall, the TCs in the Northern Hemisphere present a well-defined structure, with the largest composite daily rainfall close to the center of circulation of the storms and decreasing as we move farther away.

In the Southern Hemisphere the rainfall patterns tend to be mirror images of those observed in the Northern Hemisphere, with a northwest–southeast structure, and the areas of maximum composite rainfall predominantly occurring in the southeast TC quadrant. Beside the fact that over the 1997–2012 period there were more than twice as many TC days in the Northern Hemisphere compared with the Southern Hemisphere, another difference is related to the rainfall magnitudes. In the Southern Hemisphere, while most of the (storm relative) rainfall is within a 5° radius of the storm center, on average the rainfall intensities are lower than in the northern ocean basins. Part of the asymmetry in the rainfall patterns could be a result of the storm motion and the fact that we are working with rainfall at the daily scale.

The median radial profiles of composite TC rainfall rates have similar structure among the different basins, with the highest rainfall rates near the center of circulation (Fig. 2), and differences that are related to the different interactions that these storms have with the environment in which they develop in each basin (Lonfat et al. 2004). Owing to the coarse GPCP resolution (1° × 1°) the eyewall structure is not captured in these profiles. These profiles are similar to the ones described in Jiang et al. (2008) for the North Atlantic and Lonfat et al. (2004) at the global scale once we account for GPCP’s coarser resolution. More specifically, the TCs in the north Indian Ocean basin have the largest rainfall rates close to the center of circulation, and a rapid decrease as distance from the TC center increases. The storms in the western Pacific have the largest composite daily rainfall rates overall, in agreement with the results in Fig. 1. Conversely, the eastern Pacific TCs are generally characterized by the smallest rainfall rates. Compared to the south Indian Ocean basin, the average daily rainfall rates associated with storms in the southern Pacific is consistently larger for any distance from the storm center. The results for both hemispheres are consistent with the rainfall profiles in Lonfat et al. (2004).

b. Models

In this subsection we compare the rainfall patterns for the three models and examine the TC rainfall response to the three idealized warming scenarios. We will start with results at the global (Fig. 3) and hemispheric scales (Figs. S1, S2 in the supplementary material) and then examine changes in TC rainfall in each of the six ocean basins. At the global scale, the three models provide a consistent response to the different scenarios. In the 2×CO2 run the intensity of the composite TC rainfall weakens compared to the Present Day run. The reduction resulting from 2 × CO2 may be due to two superimposing components. The first is a reduction in global mean precipitation associated with a weaker radiative cooling rate resulting from a doubling in CO2. The second is a weakening of TC intensity because of an increase in surface relative humidity and a slight increase of tropospheric stability (e.g., Sugi and Yoshimura 2004; Yoshimura and Sugi 2005; Held and Zhao 2011; Zhao
FIG. 1. Composite mean observed (GPCP) daily rainfall rate (mm day$^{-1}$) patterns in the six ocean basins, as well as the composites for the Northern and Southern Hemisphere top 10% rainiest TCs. The number of TC storm days used in the composite is given in the panel titles. The $x$ and $y$ axes correspond to degrees from the TC center.
et al. 2013). The $+2K$ and $2\times CO_2+2K$ simulations both have higher rainfall than in the Present Day one, which is due to the increased SST that leads to higher air temperatures, higher water vapor content, and increased rainfall (Scoccimarro et al. 2014). Yoshimura and Sugi (2005) discuss the opposing effects of increasing SST and CO$_2$ in terms of precipitation and dry static stability. Our analyses lead to similar conclusions when we stratify the results into the Northern and Southern Hemispheres (Figs. S1, S2 in the supplementary material). Based on the summary values in Table 1 for area- and daily-averaged precipitation associated with the top 10% rainiest TCs, our modeling results indicate a reduction in precipitation in the doubling CO$_2$ scenario on the order of 5%–15%; Table 1), as shown by the reduced footprint of the largest rainfall areas. In this run, the atmospheric radiative cooling rate decreases because of a reduction of TOA outgoing longwave radiative flux. In an equilibrium state, the reduction in atmospheric radiative cooling rate must be balanced by a reduction in precipitation and surface evaporation. Since surface winds do not change significantly in these experiments, the surface relative humidity must increase. The increase of surface relative humidity reduces the surface evaporation potential (or thermodynamic disequilibrium), which is the primarily driving force for TC genesis and intensity. Thus, we suggest that the above mechanism explains much of the general reduction of TC rainfall intensity in the $2\times CO_2$ case. In addition, the increase in CO$_2$ concentration tends to slightly warm the surface temperature over tropical land, which leads to slight warmer upper tropospheric temperature, contributing to a slight increase in tropospheric stability. This is a second mechanism which is likely partly responsible for the reduction in rainfall intensity in the $2\times CO_2$ case. The $+2K$ and $2\times CO_2+2K$ simulations both have higher TC rainfall rates than in the Present Day run (up to 25% in the eastern Pacific; Table 1). These results are valid for all the Northern Hemispheric basins, with the exception of the North Atlantic, where TC rainfall intensity is higher than the Present Day run for the $+2K$ but not for the $2\times CO_2+2K$ simulation (Table 1).

These results are corroborated in the median TC radial rainfall profiles in Fig. 5 computed based on the top 10% rainiest TCs; the simulations for a 2-K increase in global SST (whether with or without doubling CO$_2$) show the largest increase in rainfall at all distances.
Fig. 3. Composite weighted mean daily rainfall rate patterns (mm day$^{-1}$) at the global scale for each of the three models and the different scenarios. The weights are computed based on the number of TC storm days in each basin (see Table S1 in the supplementary material). The $x$ and $y$ axes correspond to degrees from the TC center. Note that the color bar extends over a different range for the GFDL model.
Similarly, a doubling of CO\textsubscript{2} alone results in a rainfall decrease at most ranges. These TC rainfall profiles also highlight the capability of the GFDL model in capturing how the largest daily rainfall rates occur away from the storm center (approximately between 5° and 4°). These results are consistent with Lonfat et al. (2004) and Jiang et al. (2008), even though the maximum herein tends to occur farther away than in the aforementioned observational studies.

In the south Indian Ocean and southern Pacific basins, the maximum TC rainfall from the GFDL model is mostly in the bottom-left quadrant, the opposite of the Northern Hemisphere (cf. Figs. 4 and 6). There is some evidence for the southeast–northwest orientation in the composite mean rainfall patterns, although the structures are not as well defined as in the observations (Fig. 1). Moreover, there a tendency toward larger average TC rainfall values.

The rainfall response for the south Indian Ocean and southern Pacific basins to different warming scenarios in the GFDL model is generally similar to the changes for the basins in the Northern Hemisphere. The increase in global SST results in an overall increase in TC daily rainfall rates (Table 1); on the other hand, a doubling of CO\textsubscript{2} results in comparable average rainfall values (Table 1). The median rainfall profiles in Fig. 5 (bottom panels) highlight the differences in responses for the two basins. While for the southern Indian Ocean basin the median rainfall profiles tend to be generally very similar regardless of the warming scenario, we observe more variability in the southern Pacific. Interestingly, the TC rainfall in the +2 K scenarios is generally the largest close to the center of circulation, whereas it is the smallest for the 2 × CO\textsubscript{2} one. On the other hand, as we move away from the center of the storm, the largest rainfall intensities are for the 2 × CO\textsubscript{2} scenario. These results suggest that by doubling CO\textsubscript{2} we should expect a rainfall decrease close to the center of the storm but also a widening of the areas associated with heavier rainfall.

We have also examined the potential changes in daily TC rainfall rates associated with a 2-K increase in SST based on the Clausius–Clapeyron relationship (14% increase in the Present Day scenario; Fig. 5). For the North Atlantic, Knutson et al. (2013) found that the use of the Clausius–Clapeyron relationship was able to well describe the TC rainfall rate changes at 200–400 km from the center of circulation, while other processes were dominant at shorter distances. In our study and based on the GFDL global model, it is hard to find consistent results across basins and range. In the North Atlantic and southern Pacific basins, the TC rainfall changes in the +2 K scenario are in general agreement with what we would expect based on the Clausius–Clapeyron relationship. On the other hand, with the exception of the eastern Pacific, the rainfall increases in the other basins are less than what expected based on a 7% K\textsuperscript{\text{-1}} increase in atmospheric humidity.

The regional rainfall composite and profile results for the CMCC model are similar to the ones presented for the GFDL model for the six ocean basins and the three warming scenarios. Note that the analyses related to the North Atlantic are presented but not discussed because of the known issues that the CMCC model has in generating TCs in this basin (Scoccimarro et al. 2011). The same holds for the eastern Pacific, for which the number of TC days used to compute the rainfall composites and profiles is much smaller than in the observations and in the GFDL model. These deficiencies in sample size are too large to be ascribed to the shorter records available for the CMCC model (10 yr) and are more likely due to simulation deficiencies of the model.

Figure 7 shows the composite TC daily rainfall patterns for the basins in the Northern Hemisphere for the CMCC model. The rainfall composites for the storms in the western Pacific and the north Indian Ocean basins exhibit structures similar to those for the observations (Fig. 1), even though the TC rainfall amounts are
generally larger. Moreover, the location of the areas with the largest rainfall amounts is similar to those identified in the observations. The changes in rainfall patterns for the different scenarios lead us to the same conclusions as those drawn for the GFDL model.

By increasing the global SST by 2 K, there is an increase in composite TC rainfall rates in the western Pacific and the north Indian Oceans for the CMCC model. At the same time, rainfall rates tend to decrease for the doubled CO₂ case (Table 1). This holds for both

**Fig. 4.** Composite mean daily rainfall patterns (mm day$^{-1}$) for the storm days in the top 10% rainiest TCs in (left)-(right) the four GFDL simulations and in (top)-(bottom) the four NH TC basins. The number of TC storm days used in each composite is given in the panel titles in parentheses, and the $x$ and $y$ axes correspond to degrees from the TC center of circulation.
rainfall mean composites (Fig. 7) and median radial profiles (Fig. 8). Despite the coarser resolution of the CMCC model, there are still indications that the rainfall tends to increase from the center of the storm up to about 2°–3° radius, and decrease afterward.

In the Southern Hemisphere the TC rainfall patterns from the CMCC model are similar to the observations (Fig. 9). The major rainfall axis is oriented northwest to southeast as in Fig. 1, even though the average rainfall tends to be higher than observed. The different warming scenarios provide results consistent with the Northern Hemisphere, as well as with those from the GFDL model. The global increase in SST results in an increase in TC rainfall rates, which is more marked closer to the center of the storms. On the other hand, in the 2 × CO₂ scenario we observe a reduction in rainfall rates, with a narrowing of the areas of higher rainfall close to the center of circulation. These conclusions are also supported by the analyses of the rainfall profiles (Fig. 8, bottom panels), in which warmer SST tends to translate to larger rainfall amounts, with some differences depending on the distance from the center (possibly related to the asymmetry of the precipitation near the center of the storms and changes in the location of

---

**Fig. 5.** Median radial rainfall profiles for the (top), (middle) NH and (bottom) SH basins in the four GFDL simulations. The x axis corresponds to degrees from the TC center. The line “Present Day (CC)” refers to the rainfall profile for the Present Day simulation increased by 14%, corresponding to a moisture increase according to the Clausius–Clapeyron relationship for a 2-K increase in SST. The bin size is 1°.
FIG. 6. Composite mean daily rainfall patterns (mm day$^{-1}$) for the storm days in the top 10% rainiest TCs in (top)–(bottom) the four GFDL simulations and in (left),(right) the two SH TC basins. The number of TC storm days used in each composite is given in the panel titles, and the $x$ and $y$ axes correspond to degrees from the TC center.
maximum rainfall). If we try to interpret the changes in rainfall profile using the Clausius–Clapeyron relationship, we have results similar to those for the GFDL model, with the profiles for the +2 K scenario exhibiting less rainfall than expected according to a 7% K⁻¹ increase in atmospheric moisture. There is actually a better agreement between the 2×CO₂+2K profiles and those based on a 14% increase in rainfall from the Present Day scenario.

The CAM5 is the model with the highest spatial resolution (approximately 0.25°). There are both similarities and differences with respect to the observational record and the other two models at the ocean-basin scale. Qualitatively, the TC rainfall patterns for the Present...
Day runs are consistent with the observations and the other models, even though the rainfall values tend to be larger than those based on GPCP and the fields are less smooth because of the higher spatial resolution (Fig. 10). There are a few differences in terms of the TC rainfall days. In the observations and the GFDL and CMCC models, the western Pacific has the largest number of TC days, followed by the eastern Pacific. For the CAM5, on the other hand, the number of TC storm days is the largest for the eastern Pacific, followed by the western Pacific. Despite the differences in TC days, the storms in the western Pacific are the ones with the largest composite rainfall footprint followed by the North Atlantic TCs. Finally, while the CMCC and GFDL models and observations indicate that the rainfall associated with eastern Pacific TCs is the smallest among the basins in the Northern Hemisphere, this is not the case for the CAM5, for which storms in the north Indian Ocean have the smallest rainfall values.

Examination of the model results for the three warming scenarios for the CAM5 does not allow a consistent interpretation of the results for all the basins. Compared to the Present Day climate runs (Table 1), the rainfall associated with North Atlantic, western Pacific, and north Indian Ocean TCs decreases for a doubling in CO₂, whereas it increases for the eastern Pacific storms. On the other hand, there is a general increase in TC rainfall rates for the two scenarios involving a +2-K increase in global SST (Table 1). The differences among scenarios can also be assessed for different distances from the center of circulation (Fig. 11, top and middle panels). Despite the finer resolution,
FIG. 9. As in Fig. 6, but for the CMCC model.
there is not the clear enhancement in rainfall rates as we move 100–300 km from the center of circulation discussed for the GFDL model and reported in observational studies (e.g., Lonfat et al. 2004; Jiang et al. 2008). We can also clearly see the changes in rainfall profiles in response to the different scenarios. Based on the GFDL and the CMCC models and published studies (e.g., Sugi and Yoshimura 2004; Yoshimura and Sugi 2005), we would have expected a decrease in rainfall associated with a CO₂ doubling. The response to increasing global SST is generally consistent with the other models.

Figure 12 shows the composite mean daily TC rainfall rate patterns for the basins in the Southern
Hemisphere for the CAM5. The results for the Present Day climate are similar to the observational record, with the same orientation and larger rainfall associated with the storms in the South Pacific rather than in the south Indian Ocean basin. For both basins, there is an overall decrease in TC rainfall rates for the $2 \times CO_2$ scenario (Table 1). In the $+2K$ case, there is an increase in TC rainfall for the south Indian Ocean and a marginal decrease for the South Pacific. Finally, more TC rainfall is generally associated with the $2 \times CO_2 + 2K$ scenario.

The TC rainfall profiles based on the CAM5 for the two basins in the Southern Hemisphere show similarities and differences with respect to the other models. For the south Indian Ocean basin, there is not a large degree of variability among scenarios (see Figs. 5 and 8 for comparison with the GFDL and CMCC models). On the other hand, the median profiles for the TCs in the South Pacific suggest an overall reduction in TC rainfall in the three warming scenarios compared to the Present Day run, in particular close to the center of circulation. This response is different from what is observed in the other models regardless of the ocean basin. Further work is necessary to assess what the possible causes for the discrepancies between CAM5 and the GFDL and CMCC models are in the southern Pacific. Similar to what was found for the CMCC and GFDL models, it is difficult to interpret the results associated with the $+2K$ scenario in terms of a simple Clausius–Clapeyron relationship scaling argument.

![Rainfall profiles](image-url)
FIG. 12. As in Fig. 6, but for the CAM5.
4. Conclusions

This study aimed to examine potential changes in rainfall associated with TCs at the global scale under different idealized global-scale perturbations. Results are based on three state-of-the-art atmospheric models (GFDL, CMCC, and CAM5) and three idealized perturbation atmospheric general circulation experiments (the radiative perturbation from doubling CO2 but keeping SST fixed, increasing the global SST by 2 K, and a combination of the two).

An important step we undertook prior to the examination of the models’ response to the different scenarios involved the assessment of the models’ capability in reproducing the TC rainfall patterns in the observational records. Our findings suggest that these models are able to characterize the rainfall rate distribution associated with TCs reasonably well, both in terms of rainfall mean spatial composites and radial profiles. Our results, however, also indicate that there are discrepancies between modeled and observed TC rainfall. These discrepancies are related to both the location of the rainfall maximum and the rainfall magnitudes. Despite the relevance of the TC rainfall hazard, it appears that the model evaluation of the rainfall fields is generally lacking in a number of studies describing modeling of TC activity. We hope that this study could represent a first step toward a more comprehensive and widespread effort in assessing the skill of models in reproducing the rainfall properties associated with TCs.

With these model limitations in mind, we examined how TC rainfall would change in response to three forcing perturbations. Even though we highlighted some differences among models and basins, our results point to a reduction in composite TC rainfall rates (both in terms of spatial extent and magnitude) in direct response to a doubling in CO2, with SSTs remaining unchanged. On the other hand, a 2-K global increase in SST points to the opposite result, with an overall increase in TC rainfall rates in all models. The spatial structure of the precipitation changes is less consistent than the spatially averaged changes, and we found that there are no consistent patterns among basins and distance from the center of circulation. Results at the global and hemispheric scales point to an average TC rainfall reduction when doubling CO2 on the order of 5%, and an increase associated with a global warming of the SST by 2 K (both alone and in combination with CO2 doubling) on the order of 10%–20%. For individual basins, the response seen in the global and hemispheric means is recovered, although with slightly more intermodel spread, and some interbasin differences. However, the overall tendency of the rainfall in the idealized perturbations is recovered across most basins for all models (Table 1), including the increase in response to a combined doubling of CO2 and an increase in global SST. There are indications that the response to both doubling of CO2 and 2-K warming together is not a linear sum of the responses to each forcing agent individually (Table 1), with the area-averaged increase in TC rainfall in response to both forcing agents often exceeding the increase in response to a 2-K increase alone—even though the response to CO2 increases alone is for a precipitation decrease. Because this result was unexpected to us, and because of the relatively small sample size, we choose to stop at remarking on its apparent existence, but leave further exploration and assessment of its mechanisms—to the extent that it is real—to future work.

These results add to an expectation that greenhouse gas–induced tropical warming should lead to an increase in rainfall rates of individual TCs (e.g., Knutson and Tuleya 2004; Knutson et al. 2010, 2013). Current-generation GCMs project, under a wide range of projected twenty-first century forcing scenarios, a robust warming of the tropics and tropical cyclone basins over the twenty-first century (e.g., Zhao et al. 2009; Vecchi and Soden 2007; Villarini and Vecchi 2012; Knutson et al. 2013). Based on these projections, an increase in SST by 2 K over their study area is within the realm of possibilities—arising largely from, and accompanied by, increases in greenhouse gases. Our results indicate that the decreases in TC precipitation rates from CO2 increases alone are not sufficient, in these three GCMs, to compensate for the SST-driven increases in TC rainfall rates. If current GCM projections of tropical warming and the rainfall modeling results described here and elsewhere are realized, then the hazard associated with rainfall from individual TCs should be increasing over the course of this century.

Acknowledgments. This work was carried out as part of a Hurricane and Climate Working Group activity supported by the U.S. CLIVAR. We acknowledge the support provided by Naomi Henderson, who downloaded and organized the data at the Lamont data library. Gabriele Villarini and David Lavers acknowledge financial support from the Iowa Flood Center, IIHR-Hydroscience & Engineering. This material is based in part upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant AGS-1262099 (Gabriele Villarini and Gabriel A. Vecchi), and in part from the Italian Ministry of Education, University and Research and the Italian Ministry of Environment, Land and Sea under the GEMINA project (Enrico Scoccimarro). Michael Wehner was supported by the Regional and Global Climate Modeling Program of the Office of Biological
and Environmental Research in the Department of Energy Office of Science under Contract DE-AC02-05CH11231. CAM5 calculations were performed at the National Energy Supercomputing Center (NERSC) at the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory.

REFERENCES


