ABSTRACT: This study examines the role of the latent heating in exciting the upper-level circulation anomaly, which destructively interferes with the climatological stationary wave in the Western Hemisphere during boreal summer. This destructive interference pattern closely resembles the circulation trend that is known to be responsible for surface heat extreme trends. To investigate the mechanism behind this circulation anomaly, daily stationary–transient wave interference and related meteorological variables are analyzed using reanalysis data for the period of 1979–2017. Numerical model simulations forced by reanalysis heating anomalies indicate that the destructive interference pattern is most effectively excited by latent heating anomalies over the North Pacific Ocean and eastern Canada. The North Pacific heating anomaly drives circulation anomalies that not only resemble the destructive interference pattern, but also transport moisture into eastern Canada. The resulting latent heating over eastern Canada drives circulation that further reinforces the destructive interference pattern, which includes a prominent high pressure system over Greenland. Tropical heating also plays a role in driving the destructive interference pattern. On intraseasonal time scales, the destructive interference pattern is preceded by suppressed Indo–western Pacific heating and enhanced North American monsoon heating. On decadal time scales, both heating centers have strengthened, but the trend of the North American monsoon heating was greater than that of the Indo–western Pacific heating. These uneven heating trends help to explain the resemblance between the destructive interference pattern and the circulation trend over the Western Hemisphere.
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1. Introduction

With more frequent occurrence of summer heat waves in recent decades, understanding the mechanism of their excitation has become an important research topic. There is a large body of literature pointing out the importance of the atmospheric circulation in generating surface heat extreme conditions (e.g., Trenberth and Branstator 1992; Lyon and Dole 1995; Lau and Kim 2012; Trenberth and Fasullo 2012; Teng et al. 2013; Wulff et al. 2017). Adding to these earlier results, Lee et al. (2017) have found that the recent trend of boreal summer heat extremes is related to a particular upper-level circulation system. They applied a cluster analysis on the 200-hPa geopotential field for the period of 1979–2012 and identified a quasi-stationary pattern whose frequency of occurrence had dramatically increased since the late 1990s. This pattern closely resembles the total trend pattern of the upper tropospheric circulation during the examined time period (Baggett and Lee 2019, hereafter BL19).

Figure 1a shows the linear trend pattern of the 300-hPa streamfunction field during June, July, and August (JJA) for the period of 1979–2017. With midlatitude wavy features whose scale corresponds to zonal wavenumber 5, this trend pattern shares similarities with other previously examined teleconnection patterns, such as the circumglobal teleconnection pattern (Branstator 2002; Wang et al. 2012; Teng et al. 2019), the Silk Road pattern (Enomoto et al. 2003), and the CO2-forced wave pattern (Baker et al. 2019) over the midlatitudes.

However, none of these patterns captures the high pressure anomaly over Greenland shown in Fig. 1a. The occurrence of this circulation pattern over Greenland is likely to help account for the recent Greenland warming (Tedesco et al. 2016). This possibility serves as an additional motivation for better understanding the driving mechanism of the circulation trend pattern.

One noteworthy feature of this trend pattern is its striking resemblance to the opposite phase of the climatological stationary waves (black contours in Fig. 1a) in the Western Hemisphere (WH). The facts that latent heating plays the dominant role in driving the summer stationary wave (Ting 1994) and that there has been an attempt to understand future changes of summer stationary waves from mechanisms involving moist processes (Wills et al. 2019) raise a question as to whether changes in the latent heating field are largely responsible for generating the trend pattern. BL19 also highlighted the importance of latent heating by showing that their idealized diabatic heating over Baffin Bay can generate the upper-level high pressure anomaly over Greenland and downstream Eurasian wave trains that resemble the trend pattern. Because the surface turbulent latent heat flux trend over Baffin Bay was downward over the same time period, BL19 concluded that a possible source of the diabatic heating over Baffin Bay is through the release of a latent heat caused by horizontal moisture flux convergence rather than local evaporation. However, the process that initiates the moisture intrusion remains to be examined.

Motivated by the aforementioned studies, we investigate what are the key regions of latent heating that help excite the anomalous circulation that opposes the climatological stationary
and what initiates the northward moisture transport into Baffin Bay. Ting (1994) showed that Indo–western Pacific heating drives stationary waves in both the Eastern Hemisphere (EH) and the WH, but that heating associated with the North American monsoon region drives a stationary wave over the WH that opposes the stationary wave driven by the Indo–western Pacific heating. This result suggests that changes in the diabatic heating field are likely to be an important driver behind the circulation trend. Specifically, we hypothesize that the North American monsoon heating (WH heating) has been strengthening more than the Indo–western Pacific heating (EH heating), causing the destructive interference pattern to resemble the circulation trend pattern in the WH.

The EH tropical heating, however, might still contribute to the WH destructive interference pattern in an indirect fashion. In the context of boreal winter stationary–transient wave interference, Park and Lee (2019) showed that extratropical heating is not independent of tropical heating: tropical heating anomalies drive circulation anomalies that transport water vapor to the extratropics where some of the water vapor condenses. Therefore, the EH heating could contribute to the WH destructive pattern by transporting moisture into eastern Canada and Baffin Bay where the moisture condenses. The resulting latent heat could then excite the destructive interference pattern. Also, this idea of the remote response to EH tropical heating is in line with earlier findings that the annual circulation trend pattern over the Canadian Arctic and Greenland is forced from the tropical Pacific Ocean (Ding et al. 2014) and that the Indian monsoon can influence Arctic ice melting (Krishnamurti et al. 2015).

Data and detailed methods are presented in section 2. To test these hypotheses, we first analyze wave activity fluxes (Takaya and Nakamura 2001), latent heating, and moisture fluxes associated with WH destructive interference events using observational data (section 3). The causalities suggested by these observational data analyses are then tested using initial-value model calculations in section 4. The conclusions follow in section 5.

2. Data and methods

a. Data

We use daily zonal and meridional wind, 2-m temperature, vertical integral of water vapor flux, and vertical integral of moisture flux divergence from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts reanalysis (ERA-Interim; Dee et al. 2011). All variables have a horizontal resolution of 2.5° by 2.5°, and the zonal and meridional wind have 23 vertical pressure levels. Diabatic heating field is obtained from the Japanese 55-year reanalysis (JRA-55; Kobayashi et al. 2015), which has a horizontal resolution of 2.5° by 2.5° and 37 pressure levels. The nonradiative diabatic heating output from ERA-Interim sums the latent heating and heating due to vertical diffusion, whereas JRA-55 provides the convective heating, large-scale condensational heating, and vertical diffusive heating separately. As the latent heating is of our main interest, we opt to use the diabatic heating data from the JRA-55 reanalysis. Overall, there is good agreement between these two reanalysis datasets in both diabatic heating and circulation variables (Park and Lee 2019, and references therein). In this study, following Park and Lee (2019), large-scale condensational heating and convective heating are combined and vertically averaged from the 950-hPa level to the 150-hPa level to denote the latent diabatic heating \( Q \). The time period examined was JJA in years from 1979 to 2017. In this study, anomalies are obtained by first computing a seasonal cycle, which is a smoothed calendar day mean, and then subtracting the seasonal cycle from the raw values. Anomalous diabatic heating and vertical integral of moisture flux divergence field are spatially smoothed by applying two iterations of the “smth9” function of the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) Command Language (NCAR Command Language

FIG. 1. (a) The linear trend of JJA 300-hPa eddy streamfunction during 1979–2017 (shading) and the 300-hPa climatological stationary wave (contours). The contour interval is \( 2.5 \times 10^5 \text{m}^2\text{s}^{-1} \); negative values are dashed, and the zero value is omitted. (b) The 300-hPa eddy streamfunction anomaly composite for destructive SWI at lag day 0 (shading). The contours are as in (a). The green-outlined box indicates the projection domain of SWI. (c) Lagged composite of SWI against positive events of TI (blue line), and lagged composite of TI against SWI destructive events (red line). Stippled areas in (a) indicate statistical significance at the 95% level as computed by the Mann–Kendall test, and stippled areas in (b) and the thick line in (c) indicate statistically significant values at the 95% level as computed by the Monte Carlo resampling method.
2019), which uses nine local grid points for the smoothing. The significance levels of the linear trends of variables are computed using the Mann–Kendall test (Wilks 2011).

b. Stationary wave index

We construct the stationary wave index (SWI), which measures the sign and amplitude of the daily interference between transient eddies and the climatological stationary wave (Goss et al. 2016; Park and Lee 2019) by projecting the daily transient eddy streamfunction onto the climatological eddy streamfunction:

$$\text{SWI}(t) = \frac{\sum \sum \psi^*(\lambda_i, \theta_j, t) \overline{\psi}(\lambda_i, \theta_j, d) \cos \theta}{\sum \sum [\overline{\psi}(\lambda_i, \theta_j, d)]^2 \cos \theta},$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\psi^*$ refers to the 300-hPa daily eddy streamfunction anomaly, and $\overline{\psi}$ indicates the 300-hPa seasonal cycle eddy streamfunction. Here, eddies are defined as the deviation from the zonal average. The variables $\lambda_i$ and $\theta_j$ refer to the longitude and the latitude at grid points $i$ and $j$, respectively. The variable $t$ refers to JJA days from 1979 to 2017, and $d$ refers to the corresponding calendar day.

As indicated in the introduction, the similarity between the circulation trend and the stationary wave occurs mainly over the WH. Therefore, we focus on destructive interference over the WH domain (15°–75°N, 180°–0°; green-outlined box of Fig. 1b, centered over North America). Destructive interference refers to days for which the SWI is less than $-1.0$. To examine the daily evolution of the composite field, we have selected event peaks in the destructive interference, which correspond to destructive interference days when the SWI values are local minima that are separated from each other by at least 7 days. If another peak with weaker magnitude occurs within that 7-day period, it is discarded. Based on this procedure, 132 destructive interference events are identified, and they are used to composite meteorological variables. Statistical significance of the composite samples is tested by the Monte Carlo resampling method. We generated 1000 random composites, with an equal sample size (132 “events”), to construct a probability distribution.

c. Trend index and heating projection

We define two additional indices, the trend index (TI) to quantify the relationship between the destructive interference and the trend pattern, and the heating projection index (HPI) to evaluate the relationship between latent heating and destructive interference. The TI measures the similarity between daily eddy field and the circulation trend pattern, and is obtained by projecting the daily 300-hPa eddy streamfunction anomaly onto the trend pattern:

$$\text{TI}(t) = \frac{\sum \sum \psi^*(\lambda_i, \theta_j, t) \Delta \psi^*(\lambda_i, \theta_j) \cos \theta}{\sum \sum [\Delta \psi^*(\lambda_i, \theta_j)]^2 \cos \theta},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\Delta \psi^*$ refers to the linear trend of the JJA seasonal mean 300-hPa eddy streamfunction. Positive TI events are defined to be days when the index in Eq. (2) is greater than 1.0 and is separated by 7 or more days. The HPI is obtained by projecting the daily heating field onto the climatological heating field:

$$\text{HPI}(t) = \frac{\sum \sum Q^*(\lambda_i, \theta_j, t) \overline{Q}(\lambda_i, \theta_j, d) \cos \theta}{\sum \sum [\overline{Q}(\lambda_i, \theta_j, d)]^2 \cos \theta},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $Q^*$ and $\overline{Q}$ refer to the daily anomalous heating and the seasonal cycle heating, respectively. Positive and negative heating events are respectively defined as the times when HPI is greater than 1.0 and less than $-1.0$. HPI events are defined to be local maxima and minima of the HPI time series, separated by at least 7 days. The projection domain for the TI is identical to the SWI index. For the heating projection index, HPI, the EH-heating region (30°S–30°N, 60°E–170°W) and the WH-heating region (30°S–30°N, 120°–60°W) are projected separately; hence, there are two HPIs. These two domains were chosen based on the two centers of tropical heating considered by Ting (1994) [indicated by the green-outlined boxes in Fig. 3 (described in more detail below)].

d. Model setup

To examine the hypothesis that destructive interference events are driven by certain latent heating anomalies, we perform model experiments using the spectral dynamical core from Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory (GFDL). The model setup is identical to that used by Baggett et al. (2016), BL19, and Park and Lee (2019), and is designed to investigate intraseasonal time scale responses to perturbations, such as latent heating, in a specified background state. In our study, the background state is the 1979–2017 JJA climatology of zonal wind, meridional wind, temperature, and surface pressure, which are derived from the monthly mean data of the ERA-Interim reanalysis. Because the climatological flow is not a balanced state, to ensure that the initial state is a solution to the model equations a forcing term is added. The forcing term is obtained by integrating the model forward in time one time step (Franzke et al. 2004). The advantage of this model setup is that it allows one to examine circulation response embedded in a background state of one’s choice, but the added forcing also introduces an inaccuracy whose effect cannot be ignored after about 20 days into the integration (Franzke et al. 2004). Although the dynamic core does not include moist processes, specific humidity is used to initialize the passive tracer field to investigate how moisture is transported by the circulation response. The model has 28 vertical sigma levels and a triangular 42 horizontal resolution. The model uses fourth-order horizontal diffusion with 0.1-day damping time scale at its smallest length scale, with the Held and Suarez (1994) Newtonian cooling and Rayleigh friction parameterizations. The model is forced with time-evolving heating anomaly composites during destructive interference events as in Park and Lee (2019). At model day 1, the model is forced with the lag-day $-10$ heating composite, at day 2 with the lag-day $-9$ heating composite, and so on. Therefore, the resulting solution at model day 11 can be compared with the lag-day 0 composite. The forcing is applied
until model day 17 (lag day +6), and each of the model runs is integrated for 25 days. The heating data, which have 37 pressure levels, were interpolated into the 28 sigma levels prior to making the composite.

3. Observational data analyses

a. Structure of the destructive interference pattern

As indicated in the introduction, for the WH, the eddy streamfunction trend opposes the climatological stationary waves (Fig. 1a). Accordingly, composites of the WH destructive interference events, based on negative values of the SWI index, and shown in Fig. 1b, closely resemble the trend pattern in the WH. We further quantified this similarity by compositing the SWI for positive TI events, as well as composites of the TI index for destructive SWI events. Figure 1c shows that, at lag day 0 for positive TI events, the SWI is $0.73$ (blue line). Conversely, the lag-day 0 composite TI index for destructive SWI events is $0.75$ (red line). The magnitudes of these values are not much smaller than the threshold value of the positive (destructive) TI (SWI) events, which is $1.0$. This solid relationship indicates that more frequent and/or stronger destructive interference events likely make an important contribution to the WH circulation trend, and motivates us to investigate the dynamical processes that cause the destructive interference. The same analysis for the EH reveals no statistically significant values (not shown), confirming the visual impression from Fig. 1a that the EH trend pattern is unrelated to changes in stationary wave amplitude.

The time evolution of the 300-hPa eddy streamfunction anomaly composites, based on negative values of the SWI, indicates that destructive interference events take place over a time period of about 10 days (Figs. 2a–c). The initial development of the northeastern Pacific anticyclone coincides with a poleward and eastward wave activity flux over the central North Pacific, while that of the Greenland anticyclone appears to be associated with a wave activity flux emanating from North America (Fig. 2a). Within the next five days, the major features of the destructive interference pattern are established, with the anticyclones over the northeastern Pacific and Greenland, and the cyclones over North America and the North Atlantic (Fig. 2b). At these lags, the wave activity flux propagates from the northeastern Pacific to Greenland. These results suggest that the primary wave activity source for the WH destructive interference is located over the extratropical North Pacific. This possibility is examined in section 3c.

A comparison between the composite streamfunction fields, based on destructive SWI events, with the corresponding 2-m temperature composite fields indicates that the temperature anomalies (Figs. 2d–f), associated with the WH destructive interference events, in general, coincide with the upper-level
circulation pattern. The high pressure regions of Greenland and eastern Canada experience positive temperature anomalies while the low pressure regions of North America and the North Atlantic show negative anomalies (Fig. 2e). Over the oceans, including Baffin Bay, the 2-m temperature anomalies associated with the upper-level circulation are much weaker. Because it takes much longer for the ocean temperature to respond to heating due to its higher heat capacity, this difference in the temperature anomaly amplitude between the land and the ocean suggests that the surface temperature anomalies mostly reflect a response to the atmospheric circulation aloft.

b. Role of the tropical heating

First, we test the hypothesis discussed in section 1 that the WH destructive interference is associated with amplified WH climatological tropical heating and muted EH climatological tropical heating. As was hypothesized, the two centers of tropical heating exhibit opposite signs in their anomalies. That is, a calculation of the composite diabatic heating anomalies based on destructive events of the SWI finds that negative heating anomalies prevail for the region of the EH heating (30°S–30°N, 60°E–170°W; left green-outlined boxes of Fig. 3), while the WH heating anomalies are weakly positive (30°S–30°N, 120°–60°W; right green-outlined boxes of Fig. 3). Similar features are also captured with composites of the two HPIs (Fig. 4a) for the destructive interference events. As can be seen, the EH-heating projects negatively onto the EH climatological heating, especially during earlier lag days. On the other hand, the WH-heating projects positively onto the WH climatological heating centered at lag days 2-7 and 0, although the amplitude weakens in between these two peaks. This result indicates that when destructive interference occurs in the WH, the EH-heating (WH-heating) structure is opposite from (similar to) that of the climatological heating. These opposite signals of two heating centers are in line with the stationary wave theory of Ting (1994).

Next, we address the hypothesis that the upward trend in the destructive interference pattern is accompanied by a strengthening of the WH tropical heating, and/or a weakening or muffled strengthening of the EH tropical heating. We show in Fig. 4b the frequency time series of positive WH and EH heating events. Each value of time series represents the number of positive events in a given year. For both the EH heating and the WH heating, the frequency of positive events has been trending upward over the period of 1979 to 2017, indicating that the climatological heating in the tropics has been intensifying. However, the trend in the frequency of the WH-heating events is greater than that of the EH-heating events.

FIG. 3. (left) Pentad composites of latent diabatic heating anomaly (shading) and JJA climatological heating (contours; 2, 4, and 6 K day$^{-1}$ values are plotted). (right) Pentad composites of vertically integrated water vapor flux anomaly (vectors) with vertically integrated moisture flux convergence anomaly (shading). Vectors with magnitude larger than 20 kg m$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ are plotted, and the reference vector is 50 kg m$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$. Pentads are centered at lag days (a) −6, (b) −1, and (c) +4, and (d) −7, (e) −2, and (f) +3 of destructive SWI events. Stippled areas represent statistical significance at the 95% level as computed by the Monte Carlo resampling method. Green-outlined boxes represent two domains of tropical HPI, and blue- and red-outlined boxes represent the North Pacific domain and the eastern Canada domain, respectively (see section 3 for details).
The slope of the linear fit of the WH-heating event frequency is 0.097 events per year, which is greater than that of the EH-heating event frequency, which is 0.071 events per year. We regressed JJA mean 300-hPa eddy streamfunction onto time series of positive events of two heating projections and found that the magnitudes of the two regressed streamfunction fields are comparable (not shown). Based on this result, we conclude that the trend in the WH heating frequency being larger than the EH heating frequency trend has contributed to the similarity between WH destructive interference pattern and the circulation trend during the analysis period.

c. Midlatitude heating and heating-circulation relay mechanism

The wave activity flux that we examined in section 3a indicates that there is a source of wave activity in the northeastern corner of the North Pacific Ocean, suggesting that midlatitude forcing also plays an important role in driving the destructive interference pattern. Consistent with this interpretation, prior to the full development of the destructive interference events, there is a positive latent heating anomaly in the same region (Fig. 3a; blue-outlined box). This heating anomaly pattern agrees with horizontal moisture flux convergence pattern a day earlier (Fig. 3d), suggesting that the North Pacific latent heating arises from condensation of moisture transported from elsewhere. Composites of the water vapor flux vectors shows that these vectors extend to the subtropical western Pacific (Fig. 3d). As the North Pacific heating and moisture flux convergence diminish over the next five days (Figs. 3b,e), a positive heating anomaly starts to develop over eastern Canada (Fig. 3b; red-outlined box): During the interval that covers from lag-day $-4$ to lag-day $0$ interval, a cluster of water vapor flux vectors emerge over the North Atlantic and eastern North America that enters eastern Canada (Fig. 3e).

The water vapor flux vectors in Fig. 3e indicate that the moisture itself originates from the North Atlantic, but the wave activity flux vectors shown in Fig. 2 indicate that the circulation anomalies that cause the moisture fluxes are driven by the wave activity source over the North Pacific. These moisture fluxes converge over eastern Canada (Fig. 3e), consistent with the latent heating release also over eastern Canada (Fig. 3b). The eastern Canada heating anomaly and moisture flux convergence start to dissipate after lag day $+1$ (Figs. 3c,f). BL19 mimicked this eastern Canada heating anomaly with an idealized heating structure and found that the heating can generate a wave train with a high pressure over Greenland that resembles the observed Greenland high. These results paint a picture of a heating-circulation relay mechanism similar to the one described in Park and Lee (2019). Specifically, subtropical western Pacific heating anomaly excites circulation anomalies that transport moisture from southwest of the region denoted by the blue-outlined box in Fig. 3a into the northeastern corner of the North Pacific, and the resulting moisture flux convergence and latent heating drives a circulation pattern that destructively interferes with the climatological stationary wave; the resulting circulation pattern then transports moisture from North Atlantic to North America and then to eastern Canada, where again there is moisture flux convergence and condensation, leading to the excitation of the Greenland circulation anomaly farther downstream.

The lead–lag relationship between the North Pacific and eastern Canada heating anomalies is quantified by constructing daily time series of the two heating anomalies for these two domains. Figure 5 shows composites of the normalized daily heating anomalies, averaged (area-weighted) over the North Pacific domain (40$^\circ$–70$^\circ$N, 170$^\circ$–140$^\circ$W; blue-outlined boxes in Figs. 3a,d) and the eastern Canada domain (40$^\circ$–70$^\circ$N, 90$^\circ$–60$^\circ$W; red-outlined boxes in Figs. 3b,e), for the destructive interference events. These normalized anomaly time series are referred to as the North Pacific and the eastern Canada heating indices, respectively. The North Pacific heating index peaks at lag day $-6$ and the peak of the eastern Canada heating index follows at lag day $-1$, with the amplitudes of these peaks both being statistically significant. The suggested causal relationship between these two heating centers is tested in section 4 with model experiments.
4. Model experiments

In this section, we explore the ideas that arose from the observational analyses by examining the circulation responses to observed heating anomalies. We specifically ask two questions. First, does the North Pacific heating generate the destructive interference pattern over the WH? Second, does the North Pacific heating excite circulation anomalies that transport moisture into eastern Canada? To address these questions in a systematic fashion, we conduct a set of experiments by forcing the model with a series of patch forcings, which have a size of 30° latitude by 30° longitude. The heating for each patch corresponds to the composite heating of the destructive interference events for the domain of that patch. The center of each square patch ranges from 15°S to 75°N, and from 5°E to 5°W, with a 10° increment in both the latitudinal and longitudinal directions. For example, the first (last) model run is forced with the observed heating composite, over the domain of 30°S–0°, 10°W–20°E (60°–90°N, 20°W–10°E), with zero heating elsewhere. This experiment yields a total of 360 model simulations. Next, in order to evaluate how similar each of these simulated circulation fields is to the observed pattern of destructive interference, we computed uncentered pattern correlations between model streamfunction responses and the observed streamfunction composite, both at the 300-hPa level, for the extratropical WH domain (i.e., 30°–90°N, 180°–0°). To reduce day-to-day noise in these flow fields, prior to computing the pattern correlations, the observed composite fields are averaged from lag days −5 to +5, and the model responses are averaged over model days 6 to 16. As the model at day 1 is forced by the heating composite at lag day −10, model days 6 to 16 correspond to observational lag days from −5 to +5. There are 360 pattern correlation values corresponding to the 360 forcing patches. The 360 pattern correlation values are presented with a map, shown in Fig. 6. At each location, the values in Fig. 6 correspond to the pattern correlation for the patch forcing centered over that location. Specifically, the pattern correlation value that results from each patch forcing is assigned to the center of the patch domain. Accordingly, Fig. 6 shows the domain covered by the patch centers, which range from 15°S to 75°N and from 5°E to 5°W. For a given location, the red (blue) shading indicates that the model circulation response to the composite patch heating at that location matches (opposes) the observed circulation over the pattern correlation domain.

4a. Circulation response

From the model experiments described above, we identify two prominent forcing regions: the North Pacific and eastern Canada (Fig. 6). The positive pattern correlations over these regions indicate that the heating anomalies centered at these two regions drive circulation responses most similar to the destructive interference composite in the WH extratropics. The model eddy streamfunction responses are shown with shading in the left column of Fig. 7, where for ease of comparison the destructive interference composite of eddy streamfunction anomalies are indicated with contours. Within the North Pacific forcing domain, the heating over the 20°–50°N, 180°–150°W domain generates circulation anomalies that best match with the destructive interference composite, having a pattern correlation of 0.57 (Fig. 7a). This forcing patch generates high pressure over the northeastern North Pacific and the eastern Canada regions and low pressure over North America and the North Atlantic. Another important forcing location is the eastern Canada region. The 40°–70°N, 90°–60°W patch forcing, with a pattern correlation of 0.65, drives a wave train over Greenland and the North Atlantic that resembles the overlaid destructive interference anomaly field (Fig. 7b). This circulation response resembles the result of BL19. If the model is forced by these two patch domains simultaneously, the pattern correlation increases to 0.78 (Fig. 7c).

In addition to these two regions, tropical heating also produces a positive pattern correlation, albeit with weaker magnitude. The WH tropical heating generates circulation anomalies similar to that of the destructive interference pattern.
pattern, as indicated by the positive pattern correlation over Central America in Fig. 6. This map also indicates that the EH tropical heating anomalies yield mixed results, with the heating anomalies over the Indian monsoon and western Pacific warm pool contributing to the destructive interference pattern, while the heating anomaly centered at around 100°E damps the destructive interference pattern.

b. Passive tracer response

The model results thus far indicate that latent heating anomalies over the North Pacific and eastern Canada are most effective at generating the destructive interference circulation pattern. Given the evidence of moisture transport into these regions (Fig. 3), we ask which forcing region is most effective at exciting circulation anomalies that can transport moisture into those two regions. We address this question by examining the response of a passive tracer that is initialized with the climatological specific humidity spatial profile. First, in order to identify the patch domain that can most effectively advect a tracer into eastern Canada, vertically integrated tracer anomalies of each model simulation are averaged (area-weighted) over the 40°–70°N, 90°–60°W domain, from model day 9 to day 11.

**Fig. 7.** (a)–(d) The 300-hPa eddy streamfunction anomaly averaged over model days 6–16 (shading) in response to indicated patch forcings. The contours show observed 300-hPa eddy streamfunction anomaly composite, averaged from lag days −5 to +5 of SWI destructive events (the contour interval is 6.0 × 10^3 m^2 s^−1; negative values are dashed, and the zero value is omitted). Pattern correlations between model streamfunction (shading) and observed composite (contours) are indicated. (e)–(h) Vertically integrated model tracer anomaly (shading) with vertically integrated model tracer flux anomaly (vectors) averaged over model days 9–11, in response to indicated patch forcings. Vectors with magnitude larger than 0.5 kg m^−1 s^−1 are plotted, and the reference vector is 5 kg m^−1 s^−1. The red-outlined boxes of (e)–(h) indicate the eastern Canada domain (40°–70°N, 90°–60°W).
Fig. 8. Area-averaged vertically integrated model tracer anomaly from 360 patch experiments. The value at each grid point represents (a) tracer anomaly averaged over the 40°–70°N, 90°–60°W domain (the red-outlined box) from model day 9 to 11 and (b) tracer anomaly averaged over the 40°–70°N, 170°–140°W domain (the blue-outlined box) from model day 4 to 6, which results from the patch forcing centered at that grid point (see section 4 for details). Red shadings, or positive tracer anomaly values, indicate that the patch forcing centered at the location increases tracer anomaly over the region in the box.

11. We chose this particular domain because the model solution, forced with heating in this domain, shows the best match with the destructive interference pattern. Model days 9–11 are chosen because they correspond to lag day −2, lag day −1, and lag day 0, when the observed eastern Canada heating peaks (Fig. 5). Using this approach, locations with positive (negative) values in Fig. 8a correspond to locations where the heating field excites circulation anomalies that increase (decrease) the anomalous tracer value over the eastern Canada region. This procedure yields 360 eastern Canada anomalous tracer values, which are shown in Fig. 8a.

Figure 8a reveals that the circulation driven by the North Pacific heating can remotely transport moisture into the eastern Canada domain (red-outlined box of Fig. 8a). Specifically, over the North Pacific region, the forcing domain of 40°–70°N, 170°–140°W produces the strongest tracer anomalies over the eastern Canada region, with an area-averaged value of 0.14 kg m⁻² (Fig. 8a). The vertical integral of the tracer flux vectors in Fig. 7h suggests that the anomalous southerly flow over eastern North America is responsible for the moisture intrusion into eastern Canada. This southerly flow is part of the cyclonic and anticyclonic circulation pair over central North America and the North Atlantic (Fig. 7d). The pattern correlation resulting for the 40°–70°N, 170°–140°W domain forcing is 0.25, which is smaller than that of the 20°–50°N, 180°–150°W domain. The former domain, however, produces a greater tracer anomaly response over the eastern Canada region (cf. the shading Figs. 7e,h). These model results underscore the important role that the North Pacific heating remotely plays for both inducing the WH destructive pattern and the eastern Canada tracer anomaly.

The eastern Canada heating (40°–70°N, 90°–60°W) induces a dipole structure of tracer response with positive anomalies over Baffin Bay and negative anomalies over Hudson Bay (Fig. 7f). Again, this model solution closely resembles that shown in BL19 (see their Fig. 7). The tracer response to the combination of the North Pacific and the eastern Canada forcing is shown in Fig. 7g. We used the patch domain of 40°–70°N, 170°–140°W to represent the North Pacific forcing for Fig. 7g as this domain gives the best tracer response, whereas we used the patch domain of 20°–50°N, 180°–150°W for Fig. 7c as it gives the best circulation response. Over the eastern Canada region, the tracer structure is similar to that induced by eastern Canada heating alone (Fig. 7f). This result suggests that remote forcing from the North Pacific initiates the moisture intrusion over eastern Canada, and latent heating over eastern Canada drives an even stronger moisture intrusion. Central Canada forcing also gives a strong response to the eastern Canada tracer anomaly (Fig. 8a). However, unlike the North Pacific forcing, patches over central Canada either locate right next to or even overlap with the eastern Canada patch (red square of Fig. 8a). Therefore, this response is likely a local feedback, whereas the North Pacific patches act on a remote distance.

In a similar manner, we investigate which forcing is most effective at transporting moisture into the North Pacific region. The model tracer responses are averaged over the 40°–70°N, 170°–140°W domain during model days 4–6, which corresponds to the interval from lag day −7 to −5 when the North Pacific heating anomaly peaks (Fig. 5). This domain is chosen because it is the most important forcing region for generating the eastern Canada tracer anomalies. The result is shown in Fig. 8b. The greatest positive tracer anomalies in the North Pacific region are obtained when model is forced by western Pacific heating, centered between 150°E and 180° and between 20° and 50°N. In accordance with the moisture flux composite (Fig. 3d), this result points out the role of subtropical western Pacific heating in inducing circulation anomalies that advect moisture into the midlatitude North Pacific domain.

5. Conclusions and discussion

In this study, we have investigated the role of latent heating anomalies in exciting the anomalous circulation pattern that destructively interferes with the climatological stationary wave. This destructive interference pattern, which occurs over the WH, closely resembles the circulation trend pattern that includes the Greenland high. By studying the driving mechanism of the destructive interference pattern, we can also better understand the mechanism by which the circulation trend pattern arises.

Our analysis reveals two noteworthy findings regarding the role of tropical heating on the destructive interference pattern. First, consistent with stationary wave theory (Ting 1994), the destructive interference pattern is associated with the suppressed EH tropical heating and the enhanced WH tropical
heating. Second, while the climatological heating field has intensified in both hemispheres, the trend of the WH heating is greater than that of the EH heating. Accordingly, the destructive interference pattern has been able to dominate the circulation trend.

The WH destructive interference pattern, however, is most effectively excited by latent heating anomalies over the North Pacific and eastern Canada. The schematic diagram shown in Fig. 9 describes how the North Pacific and eastern Canada heating anomalies excite the destructive interference pattern. First, the moisture is transported from the subtropical western Pacific into the northeastern corner of the North Pacific, where that moisture fluxes converge and release latent heating anomalies (Fig. 9a). Those latent heating anomalies over the North Pacific excite the upper-level destructive interference pattern (Fig. 9b). Then, another moisture intrusion occurs due to the induced destructive interference pattern, from the Atlantic to eastern Canada where latent heating anomalies are again released (Fig. 9c). Heating anomalies over eastern Canada further excite circulation anomalies to amplify the destructive interference pattern (Fig. 9d). Our finding that extratropical heating anomalies play the major role might appear at odds with the prior results, which highlighted the role of the tropical heating in warming the Canadian Arctic and Greenland (Ding et al. 2014) and the role of the Indian monsoon on Canadian Arctic ice melting (Krishnamurti et al. 2015). However, the heating-circulation relay picture of Park and Lee (2019) helps reconcile this apparent difference, because even in our analysis at least part of the extratropical heating is driven by the circulation excited by the tropical–subtropical heating.

We have examined if transient eddy fluxes contribute to the destructive interference pattern. Specifically, we computed the streamfunction tendency due to high-frequency eddy vorticity flux, which has been examined in previous studies (e.g., Cai and van den Dool 1994; Feldstein 1998; Teng et al. 2019). We found that transient eddy vorticity flux helps to establish the anticyclonic circulation over Greenland, but it does not contribute to the anticyclone over the North Pacific and cyclones over the North America and the Atlantic (not shown). Therefore, transient eddy fluxes play a contributing role but only limited to the Greenland region, and the primary driver of the hemispheric destructive interference pattern is the latent heating anomaly.

One commonly discussed mechanism for the circulation–extreme weather linkage is a “quasi-resonant amplification” that attributes the extreme weather to amplified atmospheric waves of zonal wavenumbers 6 to 8 (Petoukhov et al. 2013a; Mann et al. 2017). However, we find that in the WH the circulation trend actually corresponds to destructive interference, rather than constructive interference. Because the proposed resonant response is circumglobal, we conclude that the coincidence of the destructive interference circulation pattern with the trend pattern is an indication that the quasi-resonant amplification is an unlikely explanation of the circulation and temperature trends in recent decades. Furthermore, the prior finding that there is no statistically significant observed trend of resonance (Screen and Simmonds 2013; Petoukhov et al. 2013b) also supports the idea that the observed circulation trend pattern is unrelated to the resonance mechanism. At least for the WH, our result instead indicates that anomalous latent heating is an important contributor to the trend pattern, which is in line with the studies that show the importance of diabatic forcing in driving anomalous summer circulation (Teng et al. 2019; Baker et al. 2019).
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