Precipitation Measurement at CESAR, the Netherlands
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ABSTRACT

The Cabauw Experimental Site for Atmospheric Research (CESAR) observatory hosts a unique collection of instruments related to precipitation measurement. The data collected by these instruments are stored in a database that is freely accessible through a Web interface. The instruments present at the CESAR site include three disdrometers (two on the ground and one at 200 m above ground level), a dense network of rain gauges, three profiling radars (1.3, 3.3, and 35 GHz), and an X-band Doppler polarimetric scanning radar. In addition to these instruments, operational weather radar data from the nearby (~25 km) De Bilt C-band Doppler radar are also available. The richness of the datasets available is illustrated for a rainfall event, where the synergy of the different instruments provides insight into precipitation at multiple spatial and temporal scales. These datasets, which are freely available to the scientific community, can contribute greatly to our understanding of precipitation-related atmospheric and hydrologic processes.

1. Introduction

Precipitation is known to be highly variable over a range of scales in both space and time (e.g., Georgakakos et al. 1994; Fabry 1996; Uijlenhoet et al. 2003; Berne et al. 2004a,b; Ciach and Krajewski 2006). This has major implications for both our understanding of atmospheric processes (e.g., Trenberth 1998; Henzing et al. 2006) and the quality of remotely sensed precipitation (e.g., Gosset and Zawadzki 2001; Steiner et al. 2003; Gosset 2004; Miriovski et al. 2004; Leijnse et al. 2008, 2010). It is therefore very important to understand this space–time variability of precipitation at multiple scales.

In this paper, we describe the precipitation research that is conducted at the Cabauw Experimental Site for Atmospheric Research (CESAR) observatory. This site contains multiple sensors that measure precipitation at different scales in space and time. Datasets from several of these instruments span a number of years and are continuously growing. This combined dataset is unique in its length and the number of instruments it contains.

Upcoming satellite missions such as Global Precipitation Measurement (GPM; e.g., Rose and Chandrasekar 2005, 2006) and the Earth Clouds, Aerosols and Radiation Explorer (EarthCARE) (e.g., Battaglia and Simmer 2008; Koner et al. 2010), as well as existing space-based missions yielding precipitation data (such as derived from SEVIRI; see Roebeling and Holleman 2009), can greatly benefit from the precipitation measurements at the CESAR site. This is primarily achieved through validation, but the data collected at CESAR could also
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contribute to improvement of retrieval algorithms. Numerical weather prediction (NWP) models rely heavily on proper representation of microphysics of clouds and precipitation. Again, datasets collected at CESAR can serve to validate and improve these representations (e.g., Lin and Colle 2009) but also as input to data assimilation schemes for NWP (e.g., Jung et al. 2008).

2. CESAR site description

CESAR is a consortium of three universities and five major research institutes (see online at http://www.cesar-observatory.nl). The CESAR site is located at 51.971°N, 4.927°E, between the villages of Cabauw and Lopik, the Netherlands, and is operated by the Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute (KNMI).

The Netherlands has a temperate climate with prevailing westerly winds. Mean annual rainfall varies between 675 and 925 mm (800 mm at Cabauw), with little seasonal variation. Annual 24-h rainfall maxima generally occur from July to December, and annual 1-h rainfall maxima occur from June to September, mainly because of the larger influence of convective rainfall in the summer. Most precipitation in the Netherlands falls as rainfall, with occasional hail in summer thunderstorms. Some snow and hail events usually occur each winter. The precipitation systems that can be observed at the CESAR site range from stratiform to deep convection. For more details regarding the rainfall climatology of the Netherlands, see Schuurmans et al. (2007) and Overeem et al. (2008, 2009a,b).

The suite of instruments related to precipitation measurement at the CESAR site includes one scanning radar (9.5 GHz) and three profiling radars (1.3, 3, and 35 GHz), three disdrometers, and a dense network of rain gauges. The operational C-band weather radar network of the Netherlands also offers relatively high-resolution data, because one of the radars comprising this network is located at approximately 25 km from the CESAR site. In addition to these instruments, CESAR has multiple lidars and radiometers, an extensive radiation measurement site [Baseline Surface Radiation Network (BSRN); see, e.g., Wang et al. 2009], and a 213-m-high tower in which profiles of several variables such as temperature and wind are measured. Aerosols are sampled at 60 m in this mast, and turbulent fluxes are measured on the site as well. Hydrological data (ditch discharges, groundwater levels, and soil moisture) of the catchment around the CESAR site are also available (see Brauer et al. 2009). The availability of these other atmospheric and hydrological data means that precipitation can easily be linked to other atmospheric and hydrological processes. The CESAR site is a regular host to large measurement campaigns (see, e.g., Crewell et al. 2004; Su et al. 2009; Kulmala et al. 2009), which occasionally bring many additional instruments to the site. The instruments at the CESAR site are...
Table 1. Specifications of the four radars at CESAR and the operational C-band weather radars. Note that the temporal resolution refers to the time for one scan for scanning radars (IDRA and the operational radars) and for one profile for profiling radars. The range (resolution, minimum, and maximum) and Doppler velocity \( v_D \) (resolution maximum) characteristics depend on the data acquisition mode for most radars. Maximum \( v_D \) values after dealiassing are listed in this table for IDRA, TARA, and the De Bilt C-band radar.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radar type</th>
<th>IDRA</th>
<th>TARA</th>
<th>Cloud radar</th>
<th>Wind profiler</th>
<th>C-band radar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Polarization</td>
<td>dual polarization</td>
<td>dual polarization</td>
<td>selectable</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>horizontal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>9.475 GHz</td>
<td>3.3 GHz</td>
<td>35 GHz</td>
<td>1.29 GHz</td>
<td>5.6 GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range resolution</td>
<td>3–30 m</td>
<td>3–25 m</td>
<td>89 m</td>
<td>60 m</td>
<td>0.5–10 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min range</td>
<td>230 m</td>
<td>200 m</td>
<td>250 m</td>
<td>150 m</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max range</td>
<td>&lt;122 km</td>
<td>38 km</td>
<td>13 km</td>
<td>5.5 km</td>
<td>320 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max ( v_D )</td>
<td>19 m s(^{-1})</td>
<td>25 m s(^{-1})</td>
<td>8.06 m s(^{-1})</td>
<td>14.5 m s(^{-1})</td>
<td>24 m s(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( v_D ) resolution</td>
<td>0.03 m s(^{-1})</td>
<td>0.03 m s(^{-1})</td>
<td>0.126 m s(^{-1})</td>
<td>0.23 m s(^{-1})</td>
<td>0.189 m s(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal resolution</td>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>1.5 s</td>
<td>15 s</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>5 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beamwidth</td>
<td>1.8(^\circ)</td>
<td>2(^\circ)</td>
<td>0.3(^\circ)</td>
<td>6(^\circ)</td>
<td>1(^\circ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevations</td>
<td>0.5(^\circ)</td>
<td>0–90(^\circ)</td>
<td>90(^\circ)</td>
<td>90(^\circ)</td>
<td>0.3–25(^\circ)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

concentrated at two locations, the remote sensing site and the tower, which are shown in Fig. 1, along with the locations of the precipitation-related instruments.

a. Radars

Two of the four radars at the CESAR site are operated by the Delft University of Technology (TU Delft): namely, the International Research Centre for Telecommunications and Radar (IRCTR) Drizzle Radar (IDRA; see Figueras i Ventura and Russchenberg 2009) and the Transportable Atmospheric Radar (TARA; see Heijnen et al. 2000). These two radars are both polarimetric frequency modulated continuous-wave (FMCW) radars with extremely high spatial resolutions. IDRA operates at X band, is located on top of the tower, and scans at 1 rpm at an elevation angle of 0.5\(^\circ\). Spectral polarimetry (Unal 2009) is applied in real-time data processing to suppress clutter and thus to enhance precipitation detection. TARA is a profiling radar that operates at S band, with beam azimuth and elevation that can be adjusted manually but remain fixed during operation. In the future, a raindrop-size-distribution retrieval algorithm will be devised that makes use of the joint measurements of TARA (through Doppler spectra; see Atlas et al. 1973) and IDRA (through polarimetric observables; see Seliga and Bringi 1976; Gorgucci et al. 2008).

KNMI operates a 35-GHz cloud radar (PDN100) and a 1290-MHz (Vaisala LAP-3000) wind profiler/radio acoustic sounding system (RASS) at the CESAR site. The cloud radar is a vertically pointing Doppler radar with a vertical resolution of 89 m and a temporal resolution of approximately 15 s. The wind profiler takes measurements in five different beam directions. In precipitation, the strength of the backscattered signal and the Doppler spectrum can be used to obtain information on the vertical distribution of precipitation and the associated drop size distributions.

The operational weather radar network of The Netherlands consists of two identical SELEX Meteor 360 ACC C-band Doppler weather radars. Ground clutter correction and extension of the unambiguous velocity range (Sirmans et al. 1976; Holleman and Beekhuis 2003) are operationally applied to the data. The operational scanning of the KNMI weather radars generates a 14-elevation (0.3\(^\circ\), 0.4\(^\circ\), 0.8\(^\circ\), 1.1\(^\circ\), 2\(^\circ\), 3\(^\circ\), 4.5\(^\circ\), 6\(^\circ\), 8\(^\circ\), 10\(^\circ\), 12\(^\circ\), 15\(^\circ\), 20\(^\circ\), and 25\(^\circ\)) volume. The 0.4\(^\circ\) elevation is at approximately the height of IDRA at the location of the CESAR site (22.762-km range and 229.5\(^\circ\) azimuth from the radar). For comparisons between the two, we will therefore use this elevation. The characteristics of the radars at the CESAR site and the operational C-band weather radars are given in Table 1.

b. In situ instruments

Three disdrometers are operated by Wageningen University at the CESAR site, one 2D video disdrometer (2DVD; see Schönhuber et al. 1994; Kruger and Krajewski 2002) and two HSS present weather sensors (PWS; see Sheppard and Joe 2000). These disdrometers are optical disdrometers with measurement areas between 50 and 100 cm\(^2\), which derive particle sizes and fall velocities from the known optical scattering properties of hydrometeors. The 2DVD and one PWS are located within 10 m of each other at the remote sensing site (see Fig. 1). The other PWS is located at 200-m altitude in the meteorological tower and can hence provide information on the particle size distribution aloft.

A dense network of approximately 15 tipping-bucket rain gauges is operated around the CESAR site by Wageningen University to investigate the short-range variability of rainfall (Schuurmans et al. 2007). The network has a radius of approximately 10 km, with a maximum distance between gauges of 15 km and one collocated...
gauge pair. The rain gauges have a volumetric resolution of 0.2 mm and are sampled at a temporal resolution of 0.5 s.

3. Data availability

To utilize the CESAR data to its full potential, it is important that users are able to freely access and retrieve the data in a user-friendly way with an acceptable response time and without any operator interference. KNMI has developed the CESAR database system (CDS) to address this need. The CDS has a Web-based portal through which data can be accessed (see online at http://www.cesar-database.nl).

The front end (Web portal) of the CDS comprises a self registration, a search function with a limited number of search options, and a download basket for ordering data files. Metadata on the dataset level is provided in the Web portal, as well as an option to preview the data by quick looks, which are stored with the data files in the database. The data are all stored in network common data form (netCDF) format (available online at http://www.unidata.ucar.edu/software/netcdf) and comply with the Climate and Forecast (CF) Metadata Convention version 1.4 (available online at http://cf-pcmdi.llnl.gov). The CDS has become operational in July 2009 and will be filled with many datasets from the operational continuous measurement program, measurements executed by visiting researchers, and datasets from (inter)national campaigns hosted at CESAR (e.g., Crewell et al. 2004; Su et al. 2009; Kulmala et al. 2009).

Most data from the instruments presented in section 2 are or will become available through this database.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Start</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IDRA</td>
<td>CDS</td>
<td>27 Jun 2008</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>$Z_{\text{HH}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TARA</td>
<td>CDS</td>
<td>campaigns only</td>
<td>variable</td>
<td>variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloud radar</td>
<td>CDS</td>
<td>1 Aug 2001</td>
<td>15 s</td>
<td>$Z, v, w$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind profiler</td>
<td>CDS</td>
<td>1 Jan 1995</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>$Z, v, w$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-band radar</td>
<td>KNMI</td>
<td>1 Feb 1998</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>$Z, v, w$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2DVD</td>
<td>CDS</td>
<td>1 Jul 2008</td>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>$N(D)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWS (3 m)</td>
<td>CDS</td>
<td>22 Feb 2006</td>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>$N(D), Q_{\text{opt}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWS (200 m)</td>
<td>CDS</td>
<td>20 Nov 2006</td>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>$N(D), Q_{\text{opt}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gauges</td>
<td>CDS</td>
<td>1 May 2004</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>$R$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 2. Availability of data from different instruments. Products in the database include copolar horizontal reflectivity $Z_{\text{HH}}$, reflectivity $Z$, mean Doppler velocity $v$, Doppler velocity spread $w$, drop size distribution $N(D)$, optical extinction $Q_{\text{opt}}$, and rainfall intensity $R$. Note that operational C-band weather radar data are available through KNMI (additional IDRA data are available through http://data.3tu.nl/repository/resource:sensor-51970493-IDRA).

FIG. 2. Results of fits of power-law relations between rainfall intensity on the one hand and radar variables on the other based on 9 months (March–November 2009) of 2DVD data. The graphs show rainfall intensities estimated from radar variables ($R_{\text{radar}}$) on the x axes, and true rainfall intensities ($R_{\text{true}}$) on the y axes, with the corresponding correlation coefficient between the two ($r$) given. The density of points in these graphs is indicated by the darkness of the shading (individual points are plotted in areas with low point density). Shown are (top) the results for radar variables computed using scattering matrices for different radar wavelengths (corresponding to the radar frequencies employed at the CESAR site) and using the Rayleigh scattering approximation with spherical raindrops and (bottom) results for polarimetric X-band radar (e.g., IDRA), where rainfall is retrieved from different polarimetric variables. Note that the third panel in (top) is the same as first panel in (bottom).
Operational C-band radar data are stored in hierarchical data format 5 (HDF5; available online at http://hdfgroup.org/HDF5). Table 2 lists details regarding data availability for the different instruments discussed in section 2.

4. Examples

Nine months of 2DVD data have been employed to derive power-law radar rainfall retrieval relations. The results of these power-law fits are shown in Fig. 2, where retrieved rainfall intensities are compared to true values of $R$. Radar variables have been computed using the Rayleigh approximation with spherical raindrops (top-left panel) and using T-matrix scattering computations (Mishchenko 2000) with raindrop oblateness ratios according to Andsager et al. (1999; all other panels). These analyses have been carried out for different radar frequencies (corresponding to radar frequencies employed at CESAR; top panels) and for different X-band polarimetric variables. It is clear from Fig. 2 that using polarimetric retrieval relations (particularly $Z_{HH}/Z_{DR}$ and $K_{DP}$) may greatly reduce scatter resulting from DSD variability.

Figure 3 shows an overview of a rainfall event that occurred on 7 November 2009. The vertical profiles of reflectivity and mean Doppler velocity measured by the 35-GHz cloud radar clearly show the presence of a melting layer at $-1.5\,\text{km}$, which means that both the $0.4\,\text{e}$ elevation of the operational C-band radar and IDRA are measuring liquid precipitation. The plan position indicator (PPI) of reflectivities from IDRA (including a calibration correction based on comparison with 2DVD-derived reflectivities; this calibration offset has been confirmed by Otto and Russchenberg (2010)) shows the very fine spatial structure that is present in this event, indicating the great potential of this extremely high-resolution radar for studying this structure. Time series of rainfall accumulations measured by different instruments (relations from Fig. 2 are used for radar rainfall estimates) are shown in Fig. 3 (bottom right) to be similar. Appropriate areal averages of rainfall intensities have been used for the C-band radar and IDRA to compensate for storm cell advection between radar scans (see Fabry et al. 1994). The underestimation by the lowest range cell (250 m) of the 35-GHz cloud radar is likely due to attenuation resulting from wetting of the material covering the antenna.

Figure 4 shows a comparison of PPIs from IDRA and the operational C-band radar. For this purpose, IDRA data have been averaged so that they fit on the C-band radar grid. Comparing Figs. 3 and 4 again shows the extremely detailed information on the spatial structure that can be obtained using IDRA. The two PPIs look
quite similar. However, when quantitatively comparing rainfall intensities for each grid point in the top panels (Fig. 4, bottom right), there is a considerable amount of scatter. This could have been partially caused by the fact that a nonlinear retrieval relation is used in combination with subgrid rainfall variability. However, the bottom-left panel of Fig. 4 shows that this is not the case here.

5. Conclusions and perspectives

In this paper, the potential for precipitation science at the Cabauw Experimental Site for Atmospheric Research (CESAR) observatory has been illustrated. The unique combination of instruments, both in situ and remote sensing, provides data to quantitatively study many processes related to precipitation, on a wide range of spatial and temporal scales. The individual datasets collected by each of these instruments already have great value. However, it is the synergetic use of these instruments that provides the greatest potential for improving our understanding of atmospheric and hydrologic processes related to precipitation. One important aspect is related to the space–time variation of the microstructure of precipitation. The polarimetric variables measured by IDRA (e.g., Seliga and Bringi 1976; Gorgucci et al. 2008), vertically pointing Doppler radar (e.g., Hauser and Amayenc 1981, 1983; Kollias et al. 2002), and a combination thereof (Unal and Moisseev 2004; Moisseev et al. 2006; Spek et al. 2008; Unal 2009) can be used to obtain information on the microphysics of precipitation with unprecedented extent and resolution.

A recently developed dedicated database provides free and easy Web access to the data collected at the CESAR site. This database and Web portal allow many...
scientists to work with this unique dataset. It is expected that this database will contribute greatly to our understanding of precipitation-related atmospheric and hydrologic processes. In our view, sharing data among scientists, such as is common practice in the United States, is a development that should be greatly encouraged, because it can lead to a more open scientific debate and ultimately lead to a better and more complete understanding of physical processes. The CESAR consortium, through its database, aims to contribute to this development.
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