Influence of Abyssal Mixing on the Multilayer Circulation in the South China Sea
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ABSTRACT

By parameterizing the abyssal mixing as the exchange velocity (entrainment/detrainment) between the middle and deep layers of the South China Sea (SCS), its effects on the multilayer circulation are examined. Results indicate that the cyclonic circulation in the deep SCS appears only when the mixing induces an entrainment of at least 0.72 Sv (1 Sv = 10^6 m^3 s^-1) from the deep to the middle layer, which is equivalent to a diapycnal diffusivity of 0.65 \times 10^{-3} m^2 s^{-1} or a net input rate of gravitational potential energy (GPE) of 6.89 GW, respectively. It is also found that tidal mixing in the SCS is stronger than the threshold for the generation of the cyclonic abyssal circulation, but the pattern and evolution of the deep circulation and meridional overturning circulation also depend on the spatiotemporal variability of the mixing. Moreover, the abyssal mixing is able to intensify the anticyclonic circulation in the middle layer but weaken the cyclonic circulation in the upper layer. Vorticity analysis suggests that the upward net flux induced by the abyssal mixing leads to vortex stretching (squeezing) and modulates the pressure gradient by redistributing the layer thickness, hence affects the pattern and strength of the circulation in the middle (deep) layer of the SCS, respectively. The depth-integrated effect of the thickness variation can modulate the pressure gradient across all layers and hence influence the upper-layer circulation.

1. Introduction

Mixing in the ocean occurs at multiscales and is closely associated with various dynamical processes ranging from eddy stirring, internal wave breaking, turbulence, and down to molecular diffusion. In the abyssal ocean, diapycnal mixing is of vital importance in maintaining the stratification and meridional overturning circulation (MOC) and hence is able to significantly affect both local and global climate through heat transport (e.g., Rahmstorf 2003; Jayne 2009; Talley 2013; Mashayek et al. 2015). Munk (1966) estimated a diapycnal diffusivity of 10^{-4} m^2 s^{-1} to maintain the abyssal stratification against global upwelling associated with 25 Sv (1 Sv = 10^6 m^3 s^{-1}) of deep water formation. Subsequent observations indicate that the diapycnal diffusivity ranges from 10^{-5} m^2 s^{-1} above the smooth abyssal plains (e.g., Ledwell et al. 1993; Polzin et al. 1997) to more than 10^{-3} m^2 s^{-1} over the rough topography, such as seamounts, ridges, and canyons (e.g., Ferron et al. 1998; Ledwell et al. 2000; Waterhouse et al. 2014).

The thermohaline circulation requires external sources of mechanical energy to support mixing for sustaining the basic stratification (Huang 2009). In the abyssal ocean, diapycnal mixing is supported mainly by the tidal energy dissipation, which supplies about 0.6–0.9 TW (1 TW = 10^{12} W) for abyssal mixing and accounts for about half of 2.1 TW required to maintain the global MOC (Munk and Wunsch 1998; Wunsch and Ferrari 2004). Additionally, the global energy of internal lee waves induced by quasi-steady flow and mesoscale eddies over rough topography is estimated to be about 0.2–0.4 TW (Scott et al. 2011; Nikurashin and Ferrari 2013). Generally, the near-inertial energy generated by...
wind is thought to dissipate mostly within the upper ocean (Alford et al. 2016). However, the near-inertial waves were observed to propagate downward past 3000 m near the Mendocino Escarpment (Alford 2010). Furthermore, Jing and Wu (2014) found that in the Kuroshio extension region, about 45%–62% of the local near-inertial wind work radiates into the deep ocean and accounts for 42%–58% of the energy required to furnish mixing there.

The South China Sea (SCS) is the largest marginal sea in the western Pacific, and it is connected with the western Pacific Ocean via the Luzon Strait, the only deep passage. With the complicated topography in the SCS, the enhanced diapycnal mixing is observed to be on the order of $10^{-3} \text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$, two orders of magnitude larger than that over the smooth bathymetry in the North Pacific (Tian et al. 2009). In the Luzon Strait and Zhongsha Islands area, the intensive diapycnal mixing can even reach $3 \times 10^{-2} \text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ (Yang et al. 2016). The enhanced diapycnal mixing in the SCS and the Luzon Strait is mainly attributed to the baroclinic tidal dissipation (Alford et al. 2011, 2015). Energetic internal tides occur when the barotropic tides propagate over the rough topography in the Luzon Strait. Part of the internal tides dissipates locally near the generation sites and the remaining part propagates eastward into the Pacific and westward into the SCS (Niwä and Hibiya 2004; Jan et al. 2007). The northwestward-propagating internal tides evolve into internal solitary waves after encountering the steep slope and the Dongsha Islands in the northern SCS (Lien et al. 2005), which makes the SCS one of the most active regions in the World Ocean for such waves (Zhao et al. 2004). According to the internal tide energy budget of the SCS and the Luzon Strait by Wang et al. (2016), the dissipation rate of the baroclinic energy in the SCS is 15.98 GW (1 GW = $10^9 \text{W}$) in winter and 18.22 GW in summer, in which the local conversion rate from barotropic to baroclinic tidal energy is about half of the divergence of baroclinic energy flux. It suggests that the remotely generated internal tides are the dominant contributor to the baroclinic tidal dissipation in the SCS.

Using numerical models, Zhao et al. (2014) and Wang et al. (2017) found that the enhanced diapycnal mixing in the SCS and the Luzon Strait is able to sustain a deep-layer baroclinic pressure gradient across the Luzon Strait, which drives an overflow from the Pacific into the SCS (Qu et al. 2006; Tian et al. 2006; Yang et al. 2010). To balance the descending mass, the deep SCS water must be lightened through diapycnal mixing, upwelling somewhere else within the basin (Qu et al. 2006), and finally flow back to the Pacific via the middle layer of the Luzon Strait (Zhu et al. 2016; Liu and Gan 2017). This exchange process makes the SCS work as a “mixing mill” for the water mass transformation in the Pacific Ocean. Moreover, in conjunction with the monsoon, the sandwich-like water exchange in the Luzon Strait leads to a unique multilayer circulation system in the SCS, namely, the cyclonic circulation in the upper and deep layers but anticyclonic circulation in the middle layer (Lan et al. 2013; Shu et al. 2014; Xu and Oey 2014; Gan et al. 2016; Zhu et al. 2017).

Since the diapycnal mixing is not well understood, its parameterization in ocean general circulation models (OGCMs) is still one of the greatest challenges in physical oceanography. For simplification, in theoretical researches, diapycnal mixing has been represented by an upward flux between isopycnal layers. In the classical model of Stommel and Arons (1960), the abyssal circulation on a sphere is driven by the spatially uniform upwelling through the interface, with an intensified western boundary current (WBC) flowing southward to supply the poleward water in the interior basin. In the light of Stommel–Arons model, Xiao et al. (2013) constructed a diagnostic model of the SCS abyssal circulation including the effects of tidal mixing and eddy-driven mixing. In their model, the tidal mixing was parameterized following the method of St. Laurent et al. (2002). Part of the energy was used for mixing and resulted in the entrainment from the abyssal to upper layers, which contributed to the generation of the cyclonic circulation in the deep SCS. Their insightful work validated the theory of Stommel and Arons in the marginal sea and highlighted the impact of mixing on the SCS abyssal circulation. However, some important issues still remain to be addressed, such as how strong the mixing intensity should be to drive the cyclonic circulation in the deep SCS, whether the circulation is sensitive to spatiotemporal variability of mixing, and whether the abyssal mixing could influence the SCS circulation in the upper and middle layers.

The objectives for this study are 1) to test the sensitivity of the sandwich-like SCS circulation, especially the deep-layer circulation, to the intensity and spatiotemporal variability of the abyssal mixing, 2) to assess the contribution of tidal mixing to the SCS abyssal circulation, and 3) to give a dynamic explanation of how the abyssal mixing influences the multilayer SCS circulation system. The rest of this paper is organized as follows: the model configuration and parameterization scheme are introduced in section 2. Section 3 presents the results of numerical experiments. The relevant dynamic mechanism is discussed in section 4. Finally, section 5 is the summary of the study.
2. Data and methods

a. Layered ocean model

Different from the fully three-dimensional models, layered ocean models with simplified frameworks are able to elucidate the concerned dynamics of the oceans by excluding the impacts from other processes. Hence, they become very useful tools to study the dynamical mechanism of the SCS circulation (e.g., Wang et al. 2006; Cai et al. 2007; Yang et al. 2015). By adding the fourth layer to represent the abyssal SCS, the ocean model used here is further developed from our previous study. They become very useful tools to study the dynamical mechanism of the SCS circulation (e.g., Wang et al. 2006; Cai et al. 2007; Yang et al. 2015).

\[ (h_i v_i) + \nabla \cdot (v_i h_i) + f k \times h_i v_i + h_i (\nabla P_i) = \tau_{ii-1} - \tau_{i+1 i} + v \nabla^2 (h_i v_i) - v_i w_{i-1} \theta(w_{i-1}) - v_i w_{i+1} \theta(w_{i+1}) + v_i w_{i+1} \theta(-w_{i+1}), \]  

(1)

where \( h_i \) and \( v_i \) are the layer thickness and horizontal velocity, respectively, and the subscript \( i \) (1, 2, 3, 4) is the layer index; \( f \) is the Coriolis parameter varying with the latitude; \( v = 5 \times 10^3 \text{ m}^2 \text{s}^{-1} \) is the coefficient of eddy viscosity; \( w_{i+1} \) is the exchange velocity (EV) between the \( i \)th and \((i + 1)\)th layers, and \( \theta \) is the heaviside step function defined as \( \theta(x) = 1 \) if \( x > 0 \) and \( \theta(x) = 0 \) if \( x \leq 0 \).

In Eq. (1), the terms, from left to right, are the acceleration (ACC), advection (ADV), Coriolis acceleration (COR), pressure gradient (PG), wind stress (WS; only for the first layer), interface friction (IF; for the water boundary between layers), or bottom friction (BF; if the layer bottom is the sea floor), horizontal diffusion (HD), and entrainment/detrainment-induced momentum exchange between layers (EDIME).

The PG term in each layer is as follows

\[ \langle \nabla P_i \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{4} s_{n-1} \xi_n, \]  

(3)

where \( \xi_n \) is the surface/interface elevation and is given by the following,

\[ \xi_n = \frac{4}{5} h_i + H. \]  

(4)

Here, \( H \) is the static water depth; \( g_0 = g = 9.8 \text{ m} \text{s}^{-2} \) is the gravitational acceleration; \( g' = g(\Delta \rho_{i+1}/\rho_0) \) is the reduced gravitational accelerations in the (\( i + 1 \))th layer, \( \Delta \rho_{i+1}/\rho_0 \) is the normalized density difference between the \( i \)th and (\( i + 1 \))th layer. In this study, \( \Delta \rho_{12}/\rho_0 = 0.0044, \Delta \rho_{23}/\rho_0 = 0.0038, \) and \( \Delta \rho_{34}/\rho_0 = 0.0018, \) which are based on the climatological density profile in the central SCS basin from the World Ocean Atlas 2013 (WOA13; Locarnini et al. 2013; Zweng et al. 2013) and the initial layering specified in Table 1.

The variable \( \tau_{01} = \tau_{\text{wind}} \) is the wind stress calculated as

\[ \tau_{\text{wind}} = \frac{\rho_0}{\rho_0} C_D W |W|, \]  

(5)

where \( \rho_0 = 1.23 \text{ kg m}^{-3} \) is the air density, \( \rho_0 = 1025 \text{ kg m}^{-3} \) is the reference density of seawater, and \( C_D \) is the drag coefficient calculated following Large et al. (1994) as

\[ C_D = \left( \frac{2.7}{|W|} + 0.142 + 0.0764|W| \right) \times 10^{-3}, \]  

(6)

where \( W \) is the wind velocity and \( |W| \) is the wind speed.

The term \( \tau_{ii+1} (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) \) is either the IF for the water boundary between layers or BF if the layer bottom is the seabed (note that \( \tau_{45} \) is the BF for the fourth layer), which follows the quadratic law (Cai et al. 2007) as

\[ \tau_{ii+1} = C(|v_i - v_{i+1}|)(|v_i - v_{i+1}|), \]  

(7)

where \( C = 5 \times 10^{-5} (1.85 \times 10^{-3}) \) is the IF (BF) coefficient; \( v_5 \) is zero.

b. Entrainment and detrainment

Entrainment and detrainment due to wind stirring is determined in a manner similar to that in Kraus and Turner (1967) and McCreary and Kundu (1989) as

\[ w_e = \frac{h_{i+1}^n - h_i^{n-1}}{\Delta t}, \]  

(8)
where $\Delta t$ is the time step, $h_{i-1}^n$ denotes the first layer thickness at the previous time step, and $h_{1E}^n$ denotes the first layer thickness at the present time step. The specification of $h_{1E}^n$ is only determined by the net rate of generation of turbulent kinetic energy as

$$E = \mu v^3 = -\varepsilon h_{1E}^{n-1},$$

(9)

where $\mu v^3$ parameterizes the turbulence generated by wind stirring and $-\varepsilon h_{1E}^{n-1}$ is the rate of viscous dissipation. $m$ is the wind stirring coefficient and set as 0.5 following Yu et al. (2007), $u_w$ is the friction velocity, and $\varepsilon = 10^{-3} \text{m}^2\text{s}^{-3}$ is a background dissipation coefficient (McCreary and Kundu 1989). If the turbulence is difficult to occur (i.e., $E \leq 0$), the layer instantly detrains to the Monin–Obukhov depth as

$$h_{1E}^n = \frac{\mu v^3}{\varepsilon},$$

(10)

obtained by setting $E = 0$ in Eq. (9); otherwise,

$$h_{1E}^n = h_{1E}^{n-1} - \frac{E \cdot \Delta t}{2g\rho_p h_{1E}^{n-1}},$$

(11)

which is obtained by equating the rate of potential energy increase, $(1/2)g(\Delta \rho_1/\rho_0)h_{1E}^{n-1} (dh_1/dt)$, to the rate of generation of turbulent kinetic energy $E$.

Entrainment and detrainment due to shear instability is defined following McCreary and Kundu (1989) and Yaremchuk et al. (2009) as

$$w_{ei} = \begin{cases} \frac{(H_e - h_{1E}^n)^2}{t_e H_e} \theta(H_e - h_{1E}^n) \\ -\frac{(h_{1E}^n - H_e)^2}{t_e H_e} \theta(h_{1E}^n - H_e) \end{cases},$$

(12)

where $t_e$ is the relaxation time scale. The term $H_e = \max(h_{1E}^{n-1}, H_t)$ is the maximum between the thickness at the previous time step (i.e., $h_{1E}^{n-1}$) and

$$H_t = R \frac{\rho_0}{\Delta \rho_{i+1}} (v_{i+1} - v_i)^2.$$

(13)

The term $H_t$ represents the thickness below which the flow becomes supercritical when the bulk Richardson number criterion $R_i = [g(\Delta \rho_{i+1}/\rho_0)(v_{i+1} - v_i)^2]H_t$ is 0.75 (Yaremchuk et al. 2009). If the instantaneous thickness $h_{1E}^n$ is larger than $H_e$, detrainment occurs and vice versa.

In light of the classical abyssal circulation model of Stommel and Arons (1960), we prescribed the mixing-induced entrainment (i.e., $w_m$) from the fourth layer to the third layer in the model, which is crucial for this study and calculated using three methods for different purposes.

First, we assumed that the entrainment is a constant, which can be written as

$$w_m = \frac{Q}{S},$$

(14)

where $Q$ is the upwelling flux to balance the deep overflow through the Luzon Strait (Qu et al. 2006) and $S$ is the area of the interface between the third and fourth layers.

Second, following McDougall and Dewar (1998) and using a map of the tide-induced, near-bottom, diapycnal diffusivity read from Wang et al. (2017), the entrainment solely resulted from the tidal mixing was written as

$$w_m = \frac{\kappa_t \rho_0}{H_{1E}^n \Delta \rho_{2n}},$$

(15)

where $\kappa_t$ is the tide-induced diapycnal diffusivity.

Last, we constructed a spatiotemporally varying $w_m$ in reference to the map of abyssal upwelling in the SCS from the HYCOM reanalysis dataset GLBa0.08 (Shu et al. 2014) as

$$w_m = \iint_S F(x, y) \, dx \, dy,$$

(16)

where $F(x, y) = e^{\nabla H/0.06}$ is a distribution function related to the terrain gradient $\nabla H$ and $Q_{\text{SODA}}$ represents the
annual cycle of the Luzon Strait transport below 1500 m from the Simple Ocean Data Assimilation (SODA; Carton et al. 2000a,b).

Thus, \( w_{12}, w_{23}, \) and \( w_{34} \) are given by the following as

\[
\begin{align*}
  w_{12} &= w_1 + w_s, \\
  w_{23} &= w_s, \quad \text{and} \\
  w_{34} &= w_s + w_m,
\end{align*}
\]

\(c\). Model setup

The computational domain (Fig. 1) is similar to Quan and Xue (2018) and the original water depth is from ETOPO1 (Amante and Eakins 2009). In reference to the layering of the SCS in Gan et al. (2016), we further divided the upper layer (0–750 m) into two layers in our model due to the difference in forcing: the wind-driven first layer with the maximum initial thickness of 200 m to represent the mean thermocline depth (Chu et al. 1999; Cai et al. 2007; Yang et al. 2015), and the second layer driven by rising and falling of the thermocline (i.e., the interface between the first and second layers), with the maximum initial thickness of 500 m. The middle layer (750–1500 m) of the sandwich-like structure is represented by the third layer in our model, which has the maximum initial thickness of 800 m. The fourth layer represents the deep layer of the SCS and the maximum initial thickness is 2500 m. Details of the initial layering are listed in Table 1. Hereafter we use the first, second, third, and fourth to refer to the model layers, whereas the upper (first + second), middle (third), and deep (fourth) to refer to the SCS sandwich-like structure.

The open boundaries north of the Taiwan Island and east of the Luzon Island are set to allow for the lateral forcing from the Kuroshio. Another two open boundaries are set in the Karimata Strait and the Mindoro Strait, respectively. At the solid wall, a free-slip boundary condition is used and the velocity normal to the boundary is set as zero. The resolution of the model is \(0.1^\circ \times 0.1^\circ\) and the time step is 10 s.

d. Forcing

The climatological monthly wind from the cross-calibrated multiplatform (CCMP; Atlas et al. 2011) is adopted in our model, which has a horizontal resolution of \(0.25^\circ \times 0.25^\circ\) and is interpolated onto the model grid.

The climatological monthly transports through the open boundaries A, C, and D (the red solid lines in Fig. 1) are extracted from Yu et al. (2007), which are based on the data assimilative model results of Yaremchuk and Qu (2004). The transport at B is geostrophically adjusted by the model itself (Hurlburt and Thompson 1980).

Transports imposed at the open boundaries are full-depth values so they need to be allocated into each layer. In reference to the observations and previous model results (e.g., Gilson and Roemmich 2002; Cai et al. 2007), the vertical partition of the Kuroshio transport at A is set as \(2/3, 2/9,\) and \(1/9\) for the first, second, and third layer, respectively, while the transport for the fourth layer due to the deep current west of the Philippine basin (Zhou et al. 2018) is set as \(-1/9\) of the Kuroshio transport. For C and D, the transports are only imposed in the first layer due to the shallow water depth.

The model is spun up from a static state and runs for 20 years. The outputs based on a 3-day sampling from the 11th to 20th model years are used for analysis.

3. Results

a. Impact of abyssal mixing intensity on the SCS circulation

In this study, we use \( w_m \) based on Eq. (14) to test the sensitivity of the SCS circulation to the mixing intensity. After taking the deep layer into account, the circulations in the upper and middle layers of the SCS are similar to those based on the three-layer model in our previous study (Quan and Xue 2018; not shown). For the deep layer, it is found that the basin-scale circulation is anticyclonic (Figs. 2a and 3a) when \( Q = 0 \) (i.e., \( w_m = 0 \)), similar to that in the middle layer. As a result, the deep flow reverses and flows out of the SCS through the Luzon Strait. Moreover, the net flux between the middle and deep layers is downward. Except for the narrow area along the northern slope, the downward
EV occupies most of the basin, with the maximum of $-0.05 \times 10^{-5}$ m s$^{-1}$ northeast of the Zhongsha Islands in winter (Fig. 4a) and $-0.02 \times 10^{-5}$ m s$^{-1}$ east of Vietnam in summer (Fig. 5a).

As the mixing is gradually strengthened ($Q$ increases), the abyssal circulation tends to become cyclonic (take $Q = 1$ Sv for example; Figs. 2b and 3b), with the deep water flowing into the SCS through the Luzon Strait. The abyssal circulation is stronger in winter than in summer. Moreover, the upward EV dominates between the middle and deep layers, with the maximum of $0.5 \times 10^{-5}$ m s$^{-1}$ west of the Luzon Strait (Figs. 4b and 5b), which leads to a net flux entrained from the deep to the middle layer.

By increasing the value of $Q$, we conducted a series of experiments and established a regression equation as

$$\Omega = 4.03 \times Q_{\text{net}} - 2.91,$$

where $\Omega$ is the basin-integrated vorticity of the abyssal circulation and $Q_{\text{net}} = \iint w_34 \, dx \, dy$ is the net vertical flux between the middle and deep layers (Fig. 6a). According to Eq. (20), when $Q_{\text{net}} > 0.72$ Sv, the abyssal circulation is cyclonic ($\Omega > 0$); otherwise, it is anticyclonic ($\Omega < 0$).

In the previous studies (Tian et al. 2006; Qu et al. 2006; Zhou et al. 2014; Wang et al. 2017; Liu and Gan 2017), the estimations of the deep overflow through the Luzon Strait range from 0.8 to 2.5 Sv, larger than the determined threshold, and most of the studies show that there is a basin-scale cyclonic circulation in the deep SCS (Wang et al. 2011; Lan et al. 2013; Shu et al. 2014; Gan et al. 2016).

Huang (1999) pointed out that the thermohaline circulation requires external sources of mechanical energy to support mixing to maintain the basic stratification. According to Guan and Huang (2008), the rate of gravitational potential energy (GPE) in the fourth model layer can be calculated as

$$E_m = w_{34} \Delta \rho_{34} g DL^2,$$

where $w_{34}$ is the vertical velocity at the layer interface, $\Delta \rho_{34}$ is the density difference between the two layers, $g$ is the acceleration due to gravity, and $DL$ is the thickness of the fourth layer.
where $D$ ($L$) is the vertical (horizontal) scale of the layer. According to Eq. (21), the external mechanical energy for supporting the abyssal mixing in each experiment can be estimated (Fig. 6b) and its regression relationship with the flux between the middle and deep layers is established as

$$E_m = 7.45 \times Q_{\text{net}} + 1.53.$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

Hence, at least 6.89 GW of the external mechanical energy is required for the generation of the cyclonic circulation in the deep SCS.

Furthermore, according to Qu et al. (2006), the diapycnal diffusivity can be calculated as

$$\kappa_v = \frac{Q_{\text{net}} \Delta \rho_{2L}}{\delta \rho / \delta z}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

Based on the determined threshold of $Q_{\text{net}}$, the basin-averaged diapycnal diffusivity should be at least $0.65 \times 10^{-3}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$. This value is smaller than $1.5 \times 10^{-3}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$ estimated by Qu et al. (2006) and $O(10^{-2})$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$ by Yang et al. (2016), suggesting that the actual mixing in the deep SCS is much stronger than the threshold needed for the generation of the cyclonic abyssal circulation.

Our results indicate that the abyssal mixing plays a critical role in determining the pattern of the circulation in the deep SCS. Moreover, the abyssal mixing can also affect the SCS circulation in the middle and even upper layers. By calculating the WBC transports at 13.5°N section east of Vietnam (blue solid line in Fig. 1; note that the section is moved northeastward in the fourth layer due to topography and the location of the deep WBC) as the index of circulation intensity, we found that the climatological circulation in the middle (upper) layer is strengthened by 35.9% (weakened by 6.0%) after the abyssal mixing is considered (take $Q = 1$ Sv for example; compare Figs. 7a and 7b). Meanwhile, the relative change of the Luzon Strait transport is −6.3%, 33.7%, and −1700% for the upper, middle, and deep layers, respectively.
layer, respectively. It suggests that the abyssal mixing can have a full-depth effect on the water exchange between the SCS and the Pacific Ocean.

b. Contribution of tidal mixing to the SCS abyssal circulation

In the abyssal ocean, diapycnal mixing is supported mainly by the tidal energy dissipation. Since we have determined the critical amount of mixing-induced flux for the generation of the cyclonic circulation in the deep SCS, a question naturally follows is how much the tidal mixing can actually contribute to diapycnal mixing and hence the deep circulation. Figure 8 shows the map of tide-induced, near-bottom, diapycnal diffusivity read from Fig. 1 in Wang et al. (2017). It is found that the strongest tidal mixing occurs near the Luzon Strait and the Zhongsha Islands, which can reach \(O(10^{-2})\) m\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\). In the slope region and the seamount areas in the middle and southern SCS, the diffusivity is about \(O(10^{-3}-10^{-2})\) m\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\), while that in the smooth basin is about \(O(10^{-4}-10^{-3})\) m\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\).

We then used Eq. (15) to calculate the EV between the middle and deep layers of the SCS, and the net upward flux is about 0.91 Sv \((Q_{\text{tide}})\). Based on the threshold we have determined, the tidal mixing is supposed to be strong enough for the generation of the cyclonic circulation in the deep SCS. However, only a subbasin-scale cyclonic circulation occurs west of the Luzon Strait but the basin-scale circulation remains anticyclonic in this case (Figs. 2c and 3c). For the water exchange between the middle and deep layers, the upward EV can reach about \(2.0 \times 10^{-5}\) m\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\) but it concentrates in the limited regions, whereas the downward EV occupies most of the basin (Figs. 4c and 5c).

The results suggest that the intensity of the abyssal mixing is not a sufficient condition for the generation of
the cyclonic circulation in the deep SCS. The circulation pattern also significantly depends on the spatial distribution and temporal variability of the abyssal mixing, which will be further illustrated in the next section.

c. Impact of spatiotemporal variability of mixing on the SCS abyssal circulation

Mixing efficiency is conventionally approximated by a constant value near 1/6, but Mashayek et al. (2017) pointed out that the mixing efficiency is not a constant but varies significantly in the abyssal ocean and can be as large as about 1/3 in stratified regions near topographic features. In the deep SCS, the turbulent mixing is found to be more active in the north than in the south and more active in the east than in the west, with two “hotspots” in the deep Luzon Strait and Zhongsha Islands area (Yang et al. 2016). Moreover, Wang et al. (2016) concluded that the tidal mixing in the SCS is stronger in summer than in winter due to a stronger stratification. In this section, the sensitivity of the SCS abyssal circulation to the spatiotemporal variability of mixing is examined by adopting $w_m$ based on Eq. (16).

After taking the spatiotemporal variability of mixing into account, the SCS abyssal circulation becomes more complicated (Figs. 2d and 3d). On the periphery of the basin-scale cyclonic circulation, there exist several subbasin-scale anticyclonic gyres. Different from the results based on Eq. (14), the SCS abyssal circulation is stronger in summer than in winter when Eq. (16) is used, which is consistent with the finding of Lan et al. (2015). Moreover, the upward EV between the middle and deep layers occurs over the slope regions and the island areas with the maximum of $2.0 \times 10^{-5}$ m s$^{-1}$ (Fig. 4d), while the downward EV occurs in the interior basin with the maximum of about $-1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ m s$^{-1}$ (Fig. 5d). In this case, the net upward flux between the middle and deep

---

**Fig. 5.** As in Fig. 4, but for summer.
layers is 1.3 Sv, and the corresponding rate of GPE and diapycnal diffusivity is 16.4 GW and $1.3 \times 10^{-3}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$, respectively.

Furthermore, we calculated the meridional overturning streamfunction in the deep SCS as

$$\psi(y) = \int_{s_o}^{s_e} h_i \nu_i \, dx.$$ (24)

There is a basin-scale clockwise MOC in the deep SCS when the abyssal mixing is assumed to be uniform in time and space (Fig. 9a). However, the basin-scale clockwise MOC is divided into two subbasin-scale clockwise MOCs (Fig. 9b) when the spatiotemporal variability of abyssal mixing is considered, which is similar to the results based on the high-resolution global reanalysis data GLBa0.08 (Shu et al. 2014). It suggests that both the horizontal circulation and MOC in the deep SCS are significantly dependent on the spatio-temporal variability of the abyssal mixing.

4. Discussion

In this section, the vorticity analysis is conducted to explain the dynamics of how the abyssal mixing affects the multilayer SCS circulation. By calculating the curl of each term in Eq. (1), we present the vorticity equation in a unified format for all layers as

$$\Omega_{\text{ACC}} + \Omega_{\text{ADV}} + \Omega_{\text{COR}} + \Omega_{\text{PG}} + \Omega_{\nu} + \Omega_{L} + \Omega_{\text{HD}} + \Omega_{\text{EDIME}} = 0,$$ (25)

where $\Omega_{\nu}$ is either the WS curl for the first layer or IF curl at the upper interface for other layers, and $\Omega_{L}$ is the sum of IF curl and BF curl at the lower interface of the layer. Similar to the results based on the three-layer model (Quan and Xue 2018), in the SCS basin where the water depth is more than 200 m, the dominant terms in the vorticity balance for each layer are the PG curl ($\Omega_{\text{PG}}$) and COR curl ($\Omega_{\text{COR}}$), the sum of which is balanced by the WS curl ($\Omega_{\text{WS}}$) in the upper layer and by the HD curl ($\Omega_{\text{HD}}$) in the middle and deep layers, respectively. To show a clearer physical meaning, $\Omega_{\text{PG}}$ is written as

$$\Omega_{\text{PG}} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} g_n J(\zeta_n, h_n),$$ (26)

where $J(A, B) = A \cdot B - B \cdot A$ is the Jacobean operator. The right-hand side of Eq. (26) reflects the joint effect of baroclinicity and relief (JEBAR), which is generated by the interaction between baroclinic pressure and the variable topography (Mertz and Wright 1992). The term $\Omega_{\text{COR}}$ can be divided into two terms as

$$\Omega_{\text{COR}} = -f \nabla \cdot h_{\nu} - \beta h_{\nu},$$ (27)

Here, the first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (27) is the vortex stretching ($\Omega_{\text{ST}}$) and the second term is the planetary vorticity transport ($\Omega_{\text{TR}}$). Substitute Eq. (2) into Eq. (27) and omit the time derivative term to get

$$\Omega_{\text{COR}} = f(w_{n-1} - w_{n+1}) - \beta h_{\nu},$$ (28)

which reflects the effect of EV on the vortex stretching.
Figure 10 shows the basin-integrated values of dominant terms in the vorticity balance for each layer. When $Q = 0$, $w_{34}$ is so small that the vortex stretching is very weak ($\Omega_{ST} \approx 0$). Meanwhile, the horizontal gradient of the interface between the middle and deep layers is almost zero (i.e., $\nabla \zeta_{4} \approx 0$), which results in $g_{5} J(z_{4}, h_{4}) \approx 0$. Hence, $\Omega_{PG}$ in the deep layer is roughly equal to that in the middle layer (Fig. 10a). The PG force in the deep Luzon Strait points toward the Pacific Ocean (Fig. 11a), which drives the water to flow out of the SCS and leads to a negative $\Omega_{TR}$. As a result, the sum of $\Omega_{PG}$ and $\Omega_{COR}$ in the deep layer is negative, and an anticyclonic circulation is required to produce a positive $\Omega_{HD}$ for the vorticity balance.

As the abyssal mixing is strengthened, the interface between the middle and deep layers tends to be depressed in the central basin (not shown), which results in $\Omega_{ST} > 0$ and $\Omega_{PG} < 0$. The PG force in the deep Luzon Strait is reversed (Fig. 11b), which drives the water to enter the SCS, leading to $\Omega_{TR} > 0$. The sum of $\Omega_{PG}$ and $\Omega_{COR}$ in the deep layer is positive, and a cyclonic circulation is required to produce a negative $\Omega_{HD}$ for the vorticity balance.

For the middle layer, the mixing-induced upward flux between the middle and deep layers makes $\Omega_{ST} < 0$ and $\Omega_{PG} > 0$, both of which are stronger than those when $Q = 0$. Moreover, the increased outflux in the middle layer of the Luzon Strait (Fig. 7b) resulted in a stronger $\Omega_{TR}$. Therefore, the anticyclonic circulation in the middle layer must be strengthened to produce a stronger $\Omega_{HD}$ to balance the larger sum of $\Omega_{PG}$ and $\Omega_{COR}$.

In our previous study (Quan and Xue 2018), PG, which reflects the depth-integrated effect of the thickness variation across all layers, plays a key role in the dynamical link between layers. Although the upper layer is not affected directly by the abyssal mixing, the mixing-induced EV can redistribute the layer thickness to reduce $\Omega_{PG}$ in the upper layer (cf. Figs. 10a and 10b) via the depth-integrated effect according to Eqs. (3) and (4). On the other hand, the weakened downward flux between the upper and middle layers and the influx in the upper layer of the

Fig. 7. Fluxes through the main straits, WBC transports at 13.5°N section, and fluxes between layers for (a) $Q = 0$ and (b) $Q = 1$ Sv.
Luzon Strait (Fig. 7b) reduce $\Omega_{ST}$ and $\Omega_{TR}$. The damping of $\Omega_{PG}$ is weaker than $\Omega_{COR}$, hence the sum of them (negative), becomes larger than that when $Q = 0$. As $\Omega_{WS}$ (positive) is fixed, the cyclonic circulation in the upper layer must be weakened to produce a weaker $\Omega_{HD}$ (negative) for the vorticity balance.

5. Summary

Using a modified four-layer model, the present study examines the influence of abyssal mixing on the multi-layer SCS circulation. By parameterizing the mixing effect as the EV between the middle and deep layers of the SCS, it is found that the net upward flux should be at least 0.72 Sv (equivalent to a net input rate of GPE of 6.89 GW or a diapycnal diffusivity of $6.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$, respectively) for the generation of the cyclonic circulation in the deep SCS. Moreover, the abyssal mixing is able to intensify the anticyclonic circulation in the middle layer but weaken the cyclonic circulation in the upper layer. The tidal mixing in the SCS is stronger than the threshold to drive the cyclonic circulation in the deep layer, however, the pattern and evolution of the abyssal circulation and MOC are also found to significantly depend on the spatiotemporal variability of the mixing.

Based on the vorticity analysis, the mixing-induced upward flux can redistribute the thickness of the middle and deep layers and depress the interface between them in the central basin, which causes a PG force toward the SCS in the deep Luzon Strait to drive the overflow. As a result, a cyclonic circulation is required in the deep SCS to produce a negative $\Omega_{HD}$ to balance the sum of $\Omega_{PG}$ and $\Omega_{COR}$. Meanwhile, the mixing-induced upward flux can also strengthen both $\Omega_{PG}$ and $\Omega_{COR}$ in the middle layer. Thus, a stronger anticyclonic circulation is needed there to intensify $\Omega_{HD}$ for the vorticity balance. For the upper layer, the mixing-induced EV can redistribute the layer thickness to modulate PG. Since $\Omega_{WS}$ is fixed,
the cyclonic circulation there must be weakened to produce a weaker $\Omega_{\text{HD}}$ to accommodate the larger sum of $\Omega_{\text{PG}}$ and $\Omega_{\text{COR}}$.

Our aim in this study is to illuminate the total effect of the abyssal mixing on a multilayer dynamical system, which may be extended to other marginal seas or some special areas in the open oceans where the mixing is as strong as that in the SCS (e.g., Ferron et al. 1998; Ledwell et al. 2000; Marshall and Speer 2012). It should be noted that the water mass transformation, which is closely associated with the mixing and significantly affects PG field in the SCS (Zhao et al. 2014; Wang et al. 2017), cannot be simulated by our present model since the density variation is not considered. Observations and a more comprehensive model (e.g., further development of the layered model to include thermodynamic and tidal forcing) are needed in our future study to achieve a better understanding of the role of mixing in the SCS dynamics.

FIG. 10. Annual-mean dominant terms (m$^3$s$^{-2}$) in the basin-integrated vorticity equation of each layer for (a) $Q = 0$ and (b) $Q = 1$ Sv. From top to bottom, the upper, middle, and deep layers are separated from each other by the black dashed lines.

FIG. 11. Meridional component of PG force ($10^{-6}$m$^2$s$^{-2}$) in the deep Luzon Strait for (a) $Q = 0$ and (b) $Q = 1$ Sv. Negative (positive) values in blue (red) contours represent the southward (northward) PG force component.
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