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ABSTRACT

Predictability of summer climate anomalies over East Asia and the northwestern Pacific is investigated using observations and a multimodel hindcast ensemble initialized on 1 May for the recent 20–30 yr. Summertime East Asia is under the influence of the northwestern Pacific subtropical high (PASH). The Pacific–Japan (PJ) teleconnection pattern, a meridional dipole of sea level pressure variability, affects the northwestern PASH. The forecast models generally capture the association of the PJ pattern with the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO).

The Silk Road pattern, a wave train along the summer Asian jet, is another dominant teleconnection that influences the northwestern PASH and East Asia. In contrast to the PJ pattern, observational analysis reveals a lack of correlations between the Silk Road pattern and ENSO. Coupled models cannot predict the temporal phase of the Silk Road pattern, despite their ability to reproduce its spatial structure as the leading mode of atmospheric internal variability. Thus, the pattern is rather unpredictable at monthly to seasonal lead, limiting the seasonal predictability for summer in East Asia.

The anomalous summer of 2010 in East Asia is a case in point, illustrating the interference by the Silk Road pattern. Canonical anomalies associated with a decayed El Niño and developing La Niña would have the PJ pattern bring a cold summer to East Asia in 2010. In reality, the Silk Road pattern overwhelmed this tendency, bringing a record-breaking hot summer instead. A dynamical model experiment indicates that European blocking was instrumental in triggering the Silk Road pattern in the 2010 summer.

1. Introduction

The 2010 boreal summer marked a worldwide abnormal climate. A long-lived blocking high was established over eastern Europe, resulting in a drought that caused several hundred wildfires in western Russia (Grumm 2011). A severe flooding in late July affected about 20 million people in Pakistan with nearly 2000
deaths (Houze et al. 2011). An unprecedented heat wave hit East Asia in July and August. The summer was the hottest in Japan since 1898 when record keeping began. An accurate prediction of such abnormal climates is important for economical activities, agriculture, and human lives.

Generally, the chaotic nature of the atmosphere precludes deterministic predictions longer than a few weeks. Seasonal predictions depend on oceanic anomalies of far longer time scales. Thus, the seasonal predictability is limited by the extent to which oceanic anomalies determine atmospheric variance.

Summertime East Asia is under the influence of the northwestern Pacific subtropical high (PASH)—the westward extension of the larger, basin-scale north PASH (see dashed–dotted lines in Fig. 1a). The El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) changes the intensity and location of the northwestern PASH, which in turn influences the East Asian summer climate. The ENSO generally peaks in boreal winter and influences the northwestern PASH both in its developing and decaying summers. The Pacific–Japan (PJ) pattern (Nitta 1987) is an important teleconnection that mediates ENSO influence on summertime East Asia (Xie et al. 2009; Kosaka et al. 2011). Figure 1 shows the PJ pattern extracted through an empirical orthogonal function (EOF) analysis applied to interannual variability of lower-tropospheric vorticity. The pattern is characterized by meridional dipoles of sea level pressure (SLP) and rainfall anomalies between the tropical (10°–25°N) and midlatitude (30°–40°N) northwestern Pacific (NWP). Since this dipole straddles the ridge of the climatological northwestern PASH over 25°–30°N (Fig. 1a), the pattern marks a meridional displacement as well as a westward expansion/eastward retreat of the high. Specifically, the PJ pattern, in the particular polarity shown in Fig. 1, displaces the northwestern PASH southwestward, bringing a cool (Wakabayashi and Kawamura 2004) and wet (Kosaka et al. 2011) summer to East Asia.

We have examined historical forecasts using several coupled general circulation models (GCMs) initialized on 1 May of each year. Table 1 evaluates skills of the model predictions by indicating correlations of ensemble-mean SLP with that in a reanalysis over the tropical and midlatitude lobes of the PJ pattern. The correlation for the tropical lobe is significantly high in all the models, at a typical value of 0.7. The high forecast skill suggests the dominant role of oceanic variability on the PJ tropical anomalies. In contrast, prediction skills in the midlatitude lobe are low and insignificant in all the models. The low skill in midlatitude predictions is confirmed by correlations of 850-hPa vorticity (Table 1). The present study investigates what limits the seasonal predictability for the summer midlatitude NWP. Specifically, we examine how the ENSO influences seasonal predictability over the summer NWP, and the factors that limit predictability in midlatitude East Asia.

In addition to the PJ pattern, another dominant teleconnection called the Silk Road pattern (Enomoto et al. 2003; Enomoto 2004) is known to influence the strength and location of the northwestern PASH in boreal summer. Although it is suggested that both the PJ and Silk Road patterns are modes of atmospheric internal variability [Kosaka and Nakamura (2006, 2010) for the PJ pattern, and Sato and Takahashi (2006), Kosaka et al. (2009), and Ding et al. (2011) for the Silk Road pattern], our analysis shows that only the former is significantly correlated with, and thus modulated by, the ENSO. The Silk Road pattern

1 Located north of these dipoles are additional SLP and rainfall anomalies, forming a tripolar anomaly pattern (Hirota and Takahashi 2012). The northernmost anomalies are beyond the scope of the present study.
is much less predictable on the seasonal time scale and thereby reduces the seasonal predictability for the summer NWP. The 2010 hot summer in East Asia demonstrates this interference by the Silk Road pattern. The 2010 summer followed an El Niño event that peaked in the 2009/10 boreal winter, and featured a developing La Niña event. Both the decayed El Niño and developing La Niña brought seasonal predictability via the PJ pattern. The present study shows, however, that the Silk Road pattern overwhelmed the impact of the PJ pattern over East Asia and the midlatitude NWP. Our dynamical model experiment further indicates that the European blocking contributed to the 2010 hot summer in East Asia, illustrating the difficulty of seasonal predictions in summer.

The present study consists of statistical analyses and a case study for the 2010 summer, based on observational data and models. Section 2 describes the observational data and coupled model hindcasts/predictions used in the present study. Based on these data, section 3 discusses the seasonal predictability, with an emphasis on the PJ pattern. Motivated by a failure of seasonal predictions for the midlatitude NWP for the summer 2010, section 4 evaluates the extent to which the Silk Road pattern is predictable. Using a nonlinear dynamical model, section 5 examines the influence of the European blocking on the Silk Road pattern. Section 6 gives the conclusions. In this paper, seasons refer to those for the Northern Hemisphere.

2. Data and models

The present study uses monthly data of the Japanese 25-Year Reanalysis (JRA25) (Onogi et al. 2007), Climate Prediction Center (CPC) Merged Analysis of Precipitation (CMAP) (Xie and Arkin 1997), and the Met Office Hadley Centre Sea Ice and Sea Surface Temperature dataset (HadISST) (Rayner et al. 2003). Statistical analyses are performed for a 31-yr period from 1979 to 2009, together with the Niño-3.4 (5°S–5°N, 170°–120°W) sea surface temperature (SST) for November–January (NDJ) from 1978/79 to 2009/10.

Table 1 lists coupled general circulation models examined in the present study. These models have been used in the semioperational real-time long-lead coupled prediction of the Asian-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) Climate Center (APCC) (Sohn et al. 2012) in cooperation with the Climate Prediction and its Application to Society (CliPAS) project (Wang et al. 2009; Lee et al. 2010). All of the models are initialized on 1 May of each year with ensemble sizes given in Table 1. The present study examines hindcasts for June–August (JJA) in the years listed in Table 1 and their 2010 summer predictions.

Table 1 consists of statistical analyses and a case study for the 2010 summer, based on observational data and models. Section 2 describes the observational data and coupled model hindcasts/predictions used in the present study. Based on these data, section 3 discusses the seasonal predictability, with an emphasis on the PJ pattern. Motivated by a failure of seasonal predictions for the midlatitude NWP for the summer 2010, section 4 evaluates the extent to which the Silk Road pattern is predictable. Using a nonlinear dynamical model, section 5 examines the influence of the European blocking on the Silk Road pattern. Section 6 gives the conclusions. In this paper, seasons refer to those for the Northern Hemisphere.
sizes $N_{\text{eff}}$ for correlations/regressions of time series $X$ and $Y$ as

$$N_{\text{eff}} = RN/\max \left[ 1, 1 + 2 \sum_{\tau=1}^{\tau_{\text{max}}} (1 - \tau/N)r_X(\tau)r_Y(\tau) \right], \quad (1)$$

and for variance,

$$N_{\text{eff}} = RN/\left[ 1 + 2 \sum_{\tau=1}^{\tau_{\text{max}}} (1 - \tau/N)r_X(\tau)^2 \right]^\frac{1}{2}, \quad (2)$$

(Metz 1991; Livezey 1995). Here $R$ denotes ensemble size ($R = 1$ for observation or model ensemble means), $N$ represents data length, and $r_X(\tau)$ is an autocorrelation of time series $X$ with a lag of $\tau$ years. For simplicity, the maximum lag $\tau_{\text{max}}$ is set as the maximum number that does not exceed $N/2$.

Both observational and model hindcasts/prediction data are given on a $2.5^\circ \times 2.5^\circ$ grid except HadISST, which has $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ resolution. To extract large-scale features, we applied a horizontal smoothing filter to relative vorticity by multiplying a spherical harmonic component of the total wavenumber $n$ by $\exp(-K[n(n+1)]^\frac{1}{2})$ (Hoskins 1980) in which the coefficient $K$ has been set in such a way that the harmonic component of $n = 24$ is reduced by 50% (Kosaka et al. 2009; Kosaka and Nakamura 2010). We evaluate a wave-activity flux formulated by Takaya and Nakamura (2001), which is parallel to local group velocities of stationary Rossby waves. Tropospheric temperature denotes temperature averaged between the 250-hPa and 850-hPa levels. We have repeated all of our statistical analyses based on linearly detrended data and obtained the same results qualitatively.

3. Predictability for the summer NWP via the PJ pattern

ENSO affects the NWP climate in both developing and decaying summers. This section first outlines such ENSO influences based on historical analysis and then discusses anomalies of the 2010 summer and their predictions.

a. The PJ pattern in summers following ENSO events

Figure 2 shows anomalies observed in summers following El Niño events. SLP and precipitation anomalies highlight a meridional dipole over the NWP (Figs. 2a,b), reminiscent of the PJ pattern (Fig. 1). This association between the decayed ENSO and the PJ pattern has been pointed out by Yang et al. (2007) and Xie et al. (2009). Since the PJ pattern in this particular polarity displaces the northwestern PASH southwestward, Fig. 2 suggests that a summer following an El Niño event tends to be cooler and wetter over the midlatitude NWP, including Japan.

The El Niño induces SST warming over the tropical Indian Ocean by changing net surface heat flux (Klein et al. 1999; Lau and Nath 2003; Tokinaga and Tanimoto 2004) and inducing downwelling oceanic Rossby waves in the southern basin (Xie et al. 2002; Huang and Kinter 2002). The Indian Ocean warming maximizes in boreal spring following El Niño, and continues into the following summer (Fig. 2c; Du et al. 2009) when SST anomalies have almost disappeared over the equatorial Pacific. The warmer Indian Ocean heats the troposphere and forces an equatorial Kelvin wave in the atmosphere (Fig. 2c), which extends into the western Pacific. Xie et al. (2009) proposed that this warm Kelvin wave is accompanied by surface Ekman convergence over the equatorial western Pacific, inducing surface divergence to its north over
the tropical NWP and triggering the PJ pattern. Sui et al. (2007) and Wu et al. (2009) suggested that the local Hadley circulation anomaly associated with enhanced precipitation over the Maritime Continent suppresses atmospheric convection over the tropical NWP, forcing the PJ pattern.

b. Influence of developing ENSO on the summer NWP

The developing ENSO produces significant SST anomalies in summer and influences the NWP climate (Alexander et al. 2004). Figure 3 summarizes anomalies observed in La Niña–developing summers. We choose the polarity of La Niña rather than El Niño for its consistency with the 2010 summer case discussed later. The equatorial Pacific is significantly cooler, and a horseshoe pattern of warm anomalies prevails to its west (Fig. 3d). Rainfall decreases over the equatorial Pacific and increases over the Maritime Continent (Fig. 3b), representing an intensified Walker circulation. A precipitation increase is also observed around Central America.

Over the NWP, precipitation anomalies show a meridional dipole with dry and wet anomalies along 10°N and around 25°N, respectively (Fig. 3b). This dipole is accompanied by anomalously high (low) SLP over the tropical (midlatitude) NWP (Fig. 3a). Although the midlatitude SLP anomaly is insignificant (Fig. 3a), the anomalous circulation is significantly cyclonic, as observed in lower-tropospheric vorticity anomalies (Fig. 3c). This SLP anomaly pattern represents an equatorward displacement of the northwestern PASH.

This SLP dipole has a certain projection onto the PJ pattern (Fig. 1a), although displaced southward. It is unclear how the developing ENSO induces this PJ-like meridional teleconnection in summer. We leave details of this mechanism for future investigations. Li and Wang (2005) and Wu et al. (2009) speculated that the cold Rossby wave response to La Niña over the tropical Pacific, as seen in a tropospheric temperature anomaly field (Fig. 3d), causes suppressed convection over the off-equatorial NWP (Fig. 3b), which may trigger the meridional teleconnection pattern.

c. 2010 summer anomalies and predictions

Summer 2010 was sandwiched between a preceding El Niño and a developing La Niña event. The Niño-3.4 SST anomalies were +1.40°C and −1.64°C (+1.23 and −1.49 standard deviations) in NDJ 2009/10 and 2010/11, respectively. Figure 4 shows observed anomalies for JJA 2010. Here the climatology (means and interannual standard deviations) is derived from 1979–2009 seasonal-mean data.

SST was warmer than normal over the Indian Ocean, South China Sea, the Maritime Continent, and western
Pacific and cooler over the equatorial and eastern Pacific (Fig. 4c). The overall distribution can be regarded as a combination of decayed El Niño (Fig. 2c) and developing La Niña (Fig. 3d) signals. Warming trends since the 1980s account for ~30% of the warm anomalies over the southwestern Pacific and equatorial Indian Ocean. Tropical precipitation anomalies in the 2010 summer (Fig. 4b) are largely consistent with those observed in La Niña–developing summers (Fig. 3b). Additionally, the Indian Ocean SST warming induced by the preceding El Niño (Figs. 2c, 4c) might contribute to rainfall increases over the northern Indian Ocean (Fig. 4b).

Ensemble predictions for the 2010 summer are summarized as multimodel ensemble (MME) mean anomalies in Fig. 5. The corresponding climatology is based on ensemble-mean data from individual models for 1982–2001, for which all model hindcasts are available. The models are successful in predicting SST anomalies and the zonally asymmetric distribution of precipitation anomalies in the tropics, except for an overestimation of precipitation increases along ~8°N over the western and central Pacific (Figs. 5b,c). Rainfall anomalies are somewhat weaker over the Maritime Continent and the equatorial Pacific. The overall successful prediction of tropical conditions derives from model skills in simulating ENSO, and illustrates that SST anomalies cause rainfall changes in the tropics. We expect the models to be able to capture the tropical influence on the extratropics qualitatively. Our statistical analyses of historical data show that the NWP anomalies both in El Niño–decayed and La Niña–developing summers feature a meridional SLP dipole with positive (negative) anomalies over the tropics (midlatitudes) (Figs. 2a, 3a). SST anomalies of both decayed El Niño and developing La Niña (Fig. 4c) force SLP anomalies over the NWP. Indeed, the coupled models predict such a SLP dipole, although the pattern is considerably displaced northeastward (Fig. 5a) compared to observations (Figs. 2a, 3a). Unexpected from the statistical and model predictions, positive SLP anomalies in the 2010 summer covered the entire NWP south of 45°N (Fig. 4a). This SLP monopole exceeds five standard deviations at its center. This anomaly pattern indicates an enhancement and northwestward expansion of the northwestern PASH, causing the record hot summer in East Asia. The failure of statistical and model predictions for this summer demonstrates the low seasonal predictability of summer climate over the midlatitude NWP shown in Table 1.

Compared to a typical summer following El Niño (Fig. 2c), the warm atmospheric Kelvin wave response shifted eastward in summer 2010 (Fig. 4c), possibly due to the warmer SST in the Maritime Continent region in addition to the Indian Ocean. Meanwhile, SST was cooler over the tropical North Pacific east of 170°E, associated with the developing La Niña. These conditions presumably resulted in an eastward-shifted rainfall decrease over the tropical NWP (Wu et al. 2010): the dry anomalies were, indeed, restricted east of 140°E between 10° and 25°N (Fig. 4b). The models reproduce the eastward-displaced precipitation decrease (Fig. 5b), resulting in an eastward-shifted PJ-like meridional
dipole (Fig. 5a). The larger meridional extent of the predicted PJ pattern is typical of model prediction (see the appendix). Through the PJ pattern, tropical anomalies would have induced negative SLP anomalies over the midlatitude NWP in this summer but, in reality, some other processes dominated the extratropical anomalies.

4. The Silk Road pattern and its seasonal predictability

The Silk Road teleconnection pattern influences the northwestern PASH (Enomoto et al. 2003; Enomoto 2004; Sato and Takahashi 2006; Kosaka et al. 2009). The pattern is characterized by a quasi-stationary Rossby wave train along the summer Asian jet, and can be regarded as the Eurasian part of the circumglobal teleconnection pattern in the Northern Hemisphere summer (Ding and Wang 2005). The pattern has an equivalent-barotropic structure with significant circulation anomalies reaching the lower troposphere to affect the northwestern PASH (Kosaka et al. 2009) and East Asian rainfall (Huang et al. 2011). Figures 6a,b show the Silk Road pattern extracted through an EOF analysis of the 200-hPa meridional wind velocity over the Asian jet region (30°–50°N, 30°–130°E) for JJA.

The first and second EOF modes (EOF1 and EOF2) are wavelike anomalies with a common zonal wavenumber ~6 and their zonal phases displaced by nearly a quarter wavelength. EOF1 is well isolated from EOF2 based on the criteria by North et al. (1982), consistent with the zonal phase locking of the pattern (Kosaka et al. 2009). The Silk Road pattern emerged in the 2010 summer with a vigorous amplitude. Two wave trains appeared in the upper troposphere in July 2010, accompanied by eastward-directed wave-activity fluxes (Fig. 7a). One was along the polar front jet (65°–70°N) (Nakamura and Fukamachi 2004), including a strong anticyclone over eastern Europe that corresponds to a blocking high. The other aligned along the Asian jet (~40°N), with zonal wavenumber ~6 and zonal phases consistent with the Silk Road EOF1 pattern (Fig. 6a) east of 50°E. This Silk Road wave train continued into the following month with a slight retrogression of its zonal phase (Fig. 7b).

Associated with this Silk Road wave train was an anomalous anticyclone over the midlatitude NWP, centered east of Japan in July and covering Japan in August (Figs. 7a,b). This anomalous anticyclone had nearly an equivalent-barotropic structure with a slight poleward phase tilt with height (figure not shown). It is thus likely that the Silk Road pattern induced the anomalous development of the surface northwestern PASH in summer 2010 (Fig. 4a). This section discusses predictions of the summer 2010 Silk Road pattern and seasonal predictability of the pattern.

a. The Silk Road pattern in coupled models and predictions for the 2010 summer

Figure 8 compares observed and predicted ensemble-mean anomalies of the upper-tropospheric circulation in the 2010 summer. Apparently, no model is successful in predicting the Silk Road pattern in the ensemble mean. Only in the SNU model, the predicted anomalies have comparable amplitudes (Fig. 8e), but the model shows a different wavelike structure aligned in the northwest–southeast direction over the Eurasian Continent.
Actually, the SNU anomaly pattern is considerably different from observations (Fig. 8a) as well as the model’s Silk Road pattern discussed later. The NCEP CFS, BMRC POAMA, and FRCGC SINTEX-F predict zonally elongated anomalies over the NWP (Figs. 8b–d), likely as upper-tropospheric manifestations of the PJ pattern (Fig. 1d). Consistent with our 2010 case, Lee et al. (2011) and Lee and Wang (2012) reported that the coupled models involved in the CliPAS project have difficulties in predicting the Silk Road pattern.

There are two possible reasons for models’ failure in predicting the Silk Road pattern. First, the models are unable to reproduce the Silk Road pattern as a mode of internal variability. Second, the temporal phase of the Silk Road pattern is unpredictable as represented in model ensemble means with the present initialization systems.

To examine the first possibility, the same EOF analysis as applied to the observations (Figs. 6a,b) is repeated with the model hindcast archive (Figs. 6c–l). Note that the ensemble mean is not taken before the EOF analysis: anomalies include both the ensemble-mean and inter-member variability. All of the models successfully re-produce midlatitude wave trains as the leading modes...
Figs. 6c–l), albeit with reduced amplitudes compared to the observed EOF1 (Fig. 6a). Except for the SNU model, EOF1 is well isolated from EOF2 based on the North et al. (1982) criteria with \( N_{\text{eff}} \) degrees of freedom obtained from Eq. (2), indicative of the zonal phase anchoring. The exact zonal phase varies among models. The FRCGC SINTEX-F exhibits surprisingly high reproducibility of the structure of the Silk Road pattern with spatial correlations \( \approx 0.9 \) (Table 2). Thus model failure in predicting the 2010 Silk Road pattern is not due to that in reproducing the pattern as the internal mode.

Table 2 evaluates the signal-to-noise (S/N) ratios of principal components (PCs), defined as variance of the ensemble-mean PC divided by intermember variance of the PC. S/N = 1 indicates that ensemble-mean
anomalies have the same amplitude as the ensemble spread. For $S/N < 1$, the prediction becomes unreliable. By definition, the $S/N$ ratio tends to decrease as model ensemble size increases (Table 2). Typically, the $S/N$ ratio is low ($0.1 \sim 0.3$), indicating that the temporal phase of the Silk Road pattern is rather unpredictable. In many models, PCs cannot be distinguished from a white noise based on statistical insignificance derived from the Monte Carlo method. These results support the second possibility mentioned above: the models with their initialization systems cannot predict the temporal phase of the Silk Road pattern, despite their ability for the spatial pattern as the internal mode.

### TABLE 2. Summary of EOF1 and EOF2 for JJA 200-hPa meridional wind velocity over 30°–50°N, 30°–130°E: pattern correlations with observational counterparts, and the $S/N$ ratios measured as variance of the ensemble-mean PC divided by intermember variance of PC. The $S/N$ ratio is shown in boldface if the ensemble can be significantly distinguished from white noise at the confidence level of 90%, based on a Monte Carlo method with the same ensemble size and $N_{eff}/R$ length.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Pattern correlation with JRA25 EOFs</th>
<th>S/N ratio as (ensemble mean/intermember) PC variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EOF1</td>
<td>EOF2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCEP CFS</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMRC POAMA1.5</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRCGC SINTEX-F</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNU</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JMA</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Confidence level 99%.

** Confidence level 95%.

---

**b. The observed Silk Road pattern and ENSO**

The unpredictability of the temporal phase of the Silk Road pattern suggests that oceanic variability does not effectively force the pattern. The present subsection examines relationships of the Silk Road pattern to SST anomalies including ENSO, based on historical observations. Since at the present stage we do not know any preferred phases of the Silk Road pattern forced by ENSO, we allow for a linear combination of observational EOF1 (Fig. 6a) and EOF2 (Fig. 6b):

$$\xi = \sqrt{\lambda_1 \hat{\xi}_1 \cos \theta} + \sqrt{\lambda_2 \hat{\xi}_2 \sin \theta}.$$  

---

FIG. 8. (a) Observed and (b)–(f) predicted ensemble-mean anomalies of 200-hPa vorticity in JJA 2010 (black contours): contour interval $4 \times 10^{-6}$ s$^{-1}$. Shading shows anomalies normalized by climatological standard deviation [including intermember variability in (b)–(f)]. Purple lines indicate 20, 25, and 30 m s$^{-1}$ contours of climatological zonal wind velocity. Arrows indicate the associated wave-activity flux.
Here $\xi_i$ denotes the $i$th nondimensional EOF pattern and $\lambda_i$ the $i$th eigenvalue. By changing $\theta$ we permit arbitrary zonal phases of the Silk Road pattern as $\xi$. One can easily show that the corresponding time series also derives from Eq. (3) by regarding $\xi_i$ as the $i$th normalized PC.

Figure 9 shows correlations of the combined PC with Niño-3.4 SST in preceding and following winters. Correlations are lower than $\approx 0.2$ for all $\theta$ and do not attain the 90% significance level with $N_{\text{eff}} = N$. Therefore, the Silk Road pattern does not significantly correlate with ENSO. This result suggests that models’ inability to predict the phase of the Silk Road pattern is due to the nature of the pattern as an internal mode unforced by the ocean.

ENSO actually induces significant circulation anomalies in its decay summers over the Eurasian Continent. A regression map, shown in Fig. 10a, reveals that the anomalies are incoherent and out of phase with the Silk Road pattern observed in summer 2010. The NWP anomalies are associated with the PJ pattern (Fig. 1d). In ENSO-developing summers, circulation anomalies are restricted to Europe and West Asia (Fig. 10b). The absence of organized zonal wave trains in Fig. 10 is consistent with our result in Fig. 9.

Correlation maps between the Silk Road PCs and SST anomalies confirm the absence of associations between the Silk Road pattern and ENSO (Fig. 11). Neither EOF1 nor EOF2 are accompanied by any significant SST anomalies over the equatorial Pacific and Indian Ocean (Fig. 11). Cooler SST over the equatorial eastern Pacific in EOF2 is largely insignificant (Fig. 11b). The correlation is significant over the subtropical North Atlantic and Caribbean Sea in EOF1 (Fig. 11a). While causality of these SST anomalies and the Silk Road pattern is unresolved at this point, the correlations may indicate a weak potential predictability of the latter. Yet, the weak or nonassociation of the Silk Road pattern with major SST modes is consistent with the result from coupled model hindcasts (section 4a), suggesting seasonal unpredictability of the pattern.

5. Influence of the European blocking in the 2010 summer

The 2010 summer marked a strong blocking event over eastern Europe (Grumm 2011). In Fig. 7a, the wave-activity flux emanates from the blocking anticyclone, with a southward component on the southern periphery (Fig. 7c). Following intensification of the blocking (Fig. 7c), anomalous wave trains, stationary in zonal phases, formed with eastward group velocity along the Asian jet (Fig. 7d), leading to an enhanced northwestern PASH (Fig. 7e). Thus, the possibility is suggested that the blocking anticyclone fed the Silk Road pattern in summer 2010.

The present section examines this possibility by using a nonlinear baroclinic model (NLBM) based on a dry atmospheric general circulation model used in Watanabe (2009) and Yasui and Watanabe (2010). The horizontal...
resolution is T42 with 20 σ layers in the vertical. The model includes biharmonic horizontal diffusion with e-folding time of 1 day for the smallest wave.

The NLBM is initialized with July climatology derived from the JRA25. In our control experiment this initial state is sustained by artificial forcing, which has been obtained as sign-reversed quantities of one time step changes in temperature, vorticity, divergence, and surface pressure in an unforced experiment. In addition to this artificial forcing to maintain the initial state, our sensitivity experiment prescribes a hypothetical vorticity forcing, whose horizontal distribution and vertical profile are shown in Figs. 12a,b, to mimic the blocking anticyclone as its response. A response to the vorticity forcing is defined as the difference between the two experiments averaged from day 11 to 20. Actually, the result is almost unchanged if we adopt differences from the initial state as the response since drift in the control experiment is weak.

Figure 12c shows the response to the vorticity forcing. In addition to an anticyclonic perturbation over eastern Europe and an associated wave train along the polar front jet, a wave train develops along the Asian jet. Although an anticyclonic perturbation over 80°–110°E is too strong, the wave train has zonal phases consistent with those observed in July 2010 (Fig. 7a), accompanying an anomalous anticyclone centered just east of Japan (Fig. 12c). The NLBM experiment indicates that the blocking high over eastern Europe contributed to the development of the Silk Road pattern in the 2010 summer. This result is consistent with the Hong et al. (2011) observational analysis that the cyclonic anomaly centered south of the Aral Sea (~45°N, 60°E) in summer 2010 (Figs. 7a,b and 8a) was induced by the blocking, causing the severe flood in Pakistan. The cyclonic anomaly can be regarded as a part of the Silk Road pattern.

We have also conducted additional experiments with the vorticity forcing displaced eastward/westward by 5° and 10° and northward/southward by 5°. The Silk Road wave trains have almost the same structure and amplitude as shown in Fig. 12c, consistent with the zonal phase locking of the pattern (Kosaka et al. 2009).

Since blocking phenomena owe their existence largely to atmospheric nonlinear dynamics, their seasonal prediction is highly difficult (Dole et al. 2011). Matsueda (2011) showed that the summer 2010 blocking was

![Figure 11](image1.png)

**Fig. 11.** Correlations of SST (shading) and precipitation (contours) with the (a) leading and (b) second PCs of the 200-hPa meridional wind velocity over 30°–50°N, 30°–130°E in JJA based on the observational data. Contours are plotted for ±0.3, ±0.4, ±0.5, . . . . Stippling indicates confidence levels >95% for correlations of SST.

![Figure 12](image2.png)

**Fig. 12.** (a) The horizontal distribution at σ = 0.23 and (b) vertical profile at 60°N, 33.8°E of stationary vorticity forcing prescribed to the NLBM. (c) Response of the NLBM to the vorticity forcing, shown in (a),(b), initialized with July climatology. Contours and shading show 200-hPa vorticity perturbations, while arrows indicate the wave-activity flux evaluated from zonally asymmetric perturbation of 200-hPa streamfunction. Contour intervals are 4 × 10⁻¹¹ s⁻² in (a) and 6 (±3, ±9, ±15, . . . ) × 10⁻¹⁶ s⁻¹ in (c).
predictable at a lead time of 9 days—too short for seasonal predictions. This is consistent with our results in section 4 that the seasonal prediction skills for the Silk Road pattern are low in general.

While our experiment demonstrates that blocking is an effective trigger of the Silk Road pattern, Yasui and Watanabe (2010) showed that optimum thermal forcing for the Silk Road pattern is distributed globally, including that associated with Indian monsoon anomalies (Ding and Wang 2005). However, an experiment using the linear version of NLBM (Watanabe and Kimoto 2000) forced with the JRA25 diabatic heating anomalies in July 2010 was unsuccessful in reproducing the observed Silk Road pattern (figure not shown). This result further highlights the importance of European blocking in the 2010 summer.

6. Conclusions and discussion

The PJ (Fig. 1) and the Silk Road (Fig. 6) patterns are the major teleconnections that change the location and strength of the northwestern PASH and thereby influence East Asian climate in summer. Correlations of the PJ pattern with decayed (Fig. 2) and developing (Fig. 3) ENSO suggest its seasonal predictability. Indeed, the coupled models that have participated in the CliPAS project demonstrate their ability to predict the tropical lobe of the PJ meridional dipole (Table 1, Chowdary et al. 2010). The seasonal predictability is low in the midlatitude lobe of the PJ pattern because of unpredictability of the Silk Road pattern. This low seasonal predictability comes from the lack of significant correlations between the Silk Road pattern and both developing and decayed ENSO (Figs. 9, 10). In fact, the coupled models cannot predict the temporal phase of the Silk Road pattern in their ensemble means (Table 2), despite their ability to reproduce the spatial pattern as the leading mode of internal variability (Fig. 6). Thus, the seasonal prediction of the Silk Road pattern remains challenging.

The anomalous summer 2010 demonstrates this interference of the Silk Road pattern over East Asia. Summer 2010 followed an El Niño event and preceded the peak of a La Niña event, bringing seasonal predictability to the PJ pattern. Both our statistical analysis and coupled models predict a southward shift of the northwestern PASH, which would have brought an anomalously cold summer to East Asia (Figs. 2, 3, and 5). However, the actual summer 2010 anomalies were the opposite of this prediction, featuring an enhancement and northwestward expansion of the northwestern PASH that caused a record-breaking hot summer in East Asia (Fig. 4). The noncanonical development of the northwestern PASH was caused by the Silk Road pattern that overwhelmed the PJ midlatitude anomalies (Fig. 7). None of the coupled models successfully predicted the temporal phase of the Silk Road pattern (Fig. 8), consistent with its seasonal unpredictability. The large internal variability in the Silk Road pattern calls for a probabilistic forecast for East Asia, with uncertainty estimates derived from multimodel and multimember predictions.

Our NLBM experiment suggests that the blocking, developed over eastern Europe, was instrumental in triggering the Silk Road pattern in the 2010 summer (Fig. 12) (Hong et al. 2011). Blocking events are generally unpredictable beyond one to two weeks, as was the case for the summer 2010 blocking (Dole et al. 2011; Matsueda 2011), illustrating the intrinsic challenge in predicting the 2010 summer Silk Road pattern. The experiment demonstrates that the Silk Road pattern can develop from nonlinear behavior of the dynamical atmosphere, in addition to diabatic heating (Yasui and Watanabe 2010), both processes contribute to the large intermember variance of the Silk Road pattern.

If the PJ pattern dominated the midlatitude NWP and were determined by anomalies in the tropics, the summer climate in East Asia would be highly predictable. The present study shows that the interference by the Silk Road pattern, which is of low predictability on the seasonal time scale, makes it difficult to predict the East Asian summer climate even though the anomalies in the PJ tropical lobe are highly predictable. Hsu and Lin (2007) indicated that the Silk Road pattern is more effective in inducing dry and warm than wet and cool conditions in East Asia, an asymmetry that might further complicate the summer 2010 predictions.

It should be noted that the high predictability of the PJ tropical lobe would not be attained if the prediction models were decoupled from the ocean. Precipitation correlates negatively with local SST over the tropical NWP in summer (Wang et al. 2005) (cf. Figs. 2b,c also). In atmospheric general circulation models with prescribed SST, convection is rather sensitive to the local SST, yielding unrealistic precipitation in the PJ tropical lobe (Wang et al. 2004; Wu and Kirtman 2007). Indeed, AGCMs show low skill in predicting summer NWP variability (Kang and Shukla 2006; Zhou et al. 2009). Coupled models are therefore crucial for predictions of the PJ pattern (Chowdary et al. 2010).

Complicating the issue of predictability, the midlatitude lobe of the PJ pattern is sensitive to local precipitation anomalies (Lu and Lin 2009), not simply a passive response to tropical anomalies, as a casual inspection of the PJ pattern might imply. This indicates a positive feedback between latent heating and circulation. Models are known to have a problem in simulating
the mei-yu/baiu rainband (Ninomiya et al. 2002; Ninomiya 2009). Thus, low skill in predicting variability in the PJ midlatitude lobe might be due partly to model inability to simulate the feedback between latent heating and circulation. Recent studies show that the mei-yu/baiu rainfall develops in a favorable large-scale dynamical environment, in particular in response to warm advection by the Asian jet in the midtroposphere (Sampe and Xie 2010; Kosaka et al. 2011). Increased resolution in general circulation models appears to help improve their skills in simulating the mei-yu/baiu rainband (Kawatani and Takahashi 2003; Lau and Ploshay 2009) and thereby latent heating–circulation feedback. In addition, the coupled models examined in the present study show an unrealistically large meridional extent of the PJ pattern (Fig. 5a, also see the appendix), leaving room for model improvements. Progress in these areas holds promise of improving predictability in the East Asian summer via the PJ teleconnection pattern.
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APPENDIX

Reproducibility of the PJ Pattern in the Models

Chowdary et al. (2010) showed considerable skill of coupled models in reproducing the PJ tropical lobe of SLP in an ENSO-decay summer (Fig. A1a). The models are also able to predict the precipitation dipole (Fig. A1b). However, the midlatitude lobe of SLP anomalies exhibits a poleward displacement (Fig. A1a) compared to observations (Fig. 2a). The EOF analysis, as applied to observations in Fig. 1, also reveals an unrealistically large meridional extent of the PJ SLP dipole.
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